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PREFACE

THE following chapters are the outcome of two periods of study undertaken during the tenure of research scholarships awarded by Girton College, and form an attempt to discover the amount of historical truth underlying the allusions to persons and events in the Old English heroic poems.

The essay deals with an aspect of these poems, which has not, so far as I know, been treated systematically by anyone who has previously written on the subject. Thus, in the absence of any model, I have had to work on independent lines, especially as regards the grouping and arrangement of different traditions, and the method of discussion followed in the several chapters. The actual arrangement has been adopted for convenience of discussion, according to the nationality of the persons concerned, except in cases where a particular section forms a complete epic narrative with a personal (as opposed to a national) interest of its own: in these cases the tradition has been discussed under the heading of the poem in which it is contained, or that of the character round whom the narrative centres.
Each chapter is arranged thus:—

I. An account of the tradition respecting the nation or hero in question, pieced together from the scattered allusions in the poems.

II. The evidence of other authorities dealing with the same traditions or with the same characters.

III. A comparative view of the evidence of these authorities in its relation to the substance of the Old English poems.

IV. A summary of the inferences as to historical truth which may justifiably be deduced from the allusions in the poems.

The positive results obtained are often extremely meagre, and in no case do they make any claim to finality. Though much work has been done in this direction, much still remains: and any value which this study may possess lies chiefly in exploring new possibilities and in indicating the paths along which further research may in time lead to more definite conclusions.

NOTE. In the first three chapters a certain amount of repetition has been inevitable owing to the close relations existing between Swedes, Gautar and Danes, and the frequent necessity of citing the same facts in connection with each.

Some explanation must be added regarding the use of proper names, which may at times appear somewhat inconsistent: each name has, as far as possible, been given in the form in which it occurs in the particular authority under discussion at the moment, e.g. in dealing with English evidence the English form has been given, as 'Beowulf,' 'Offa,' while in citing Scandinavian evidence, the Scandinavian forms have been employed, as 'Bödvar,' 'Uffo,'
and so on. (The exceptions to this are well established English forms such as 'Swanhild,' 'Walther.' I have used these wherever possible, usually giving in brackets the particular forms employed by different authorities.) On more neutral ground, viz. sections III and IV of each chapter containing the comparison of evidence and probable historical value of the tradition in question, the most generally accepted form of the name—where possible its English equivalent—has been adopted.

In Scandinavian personal names, it may be added, the final inflexional consonant has been discarded, except in the case of names ending in a vowel.

The subject of the study was originally suggested to me by Mr H. M. Chadwick, Fellow of Clare College, Cambridge: I have been under constant obligation to Mr Chadwick for the help he has given me in the course of my work, and many valuable ideas, which I owe to him, are incorporated in the essay. My thanks are equally due to Professor Napier of Oxford University for assistance especially in the latter stages of the work, including many useful criticisms and suggestions. Many facts regarding Icelandic literature and sociology have been brought to my notice by Miss B. S. Phillpotts, lately Librarian of Girton College. I have also to acknowledge the assistance which I have received from Miss Bentinck Smith, Headmistress of St Leonard's School, St Andrews, formerly Lecturer and Director of Studies in Mediaeval and Modern Languages at Girton College; Mr Eiríkr Magnússon, late Assistant Librarian, Cambridge University Library; Sir W. M. Ramsay, Professor of Humanity in the University of Aberdeen; Mr H. J. C. Grierson, Professor of English Literature in the University of
Aberdeen; my father, Mr John Clarke, Lecturer in Education in the University of Aberdeen, and others: as also the facilities for study and the courtesy and kindness extended to me by the Librarian and Assistants of the Cambridge University Library.

M. G. CLARKE

St Andrews
28 January 1911
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INTRODUCTORY CHAPTER

About the middle of the fifth century, bands of Teutonic invaders began to land on the south-east coasts of Britain. The flow of immigration, once started, continued steadily for the next hundred years, and by the end of the sixth century the new-comers had conquered and colonized a great part of the southern half of Britain, pushing the Celtic population westward into the mountainous recesses of Cornwall, Wales and Cumberland. These Teutonic invaders appear, according to the latest authority*, to have been of two distinct nationalities, viz. Anglo-Saxon and Jutish, who migrated across the sea to Britain from Jutland and Schleswig-Holstein. The Jutes, who were numerically in the minority, seem very soon to have lost their national identity and to have become incorporated with the Anglo-Saxon tribes; for their name does not long survive, and in the end of the ninth century we find King Alfred writing—presumably with reference to the whole population—of the nation as Angelcynn and their language as Englisc. At the time of the migration the English were still heathen, but Christianity was introduced in 597, the date of Pope Gregory the Great's first mission

* Cf. Mr H. M. Chadwick, The Origin of the English Nation, pp. 88 ff.
to Kent, after which the new faith spread steadily throughout the country.

The settlement of Teutonic tribes in Britain was one of the products of the Great Age of National Migrations, which corresponds roughly to the fourth, fifth and sixth centuries. These stirring times, when life meant warfare and every man was a warrior, gave birth to "hero saga,"—the record of the lives and exploits of great kings or other national heroes—usually in the form of song. Our Teutonic ancestors, like other nations, delighted in such songs, which were probably performed before them at banquets and on similar occasions. These—still of course in an unwritten state—were carried in the mouths and memories of the people from the continent to their new home, where they very soon attained literary form. Thus, during the first centuries of our forefathers' occupation of England, signs are found of the existence of an heroic, national poetry—essentially heathen in tone—which has its roots in the Migration Period, and therefore goes back to a time previous to the Teutonic Invasion of Britain. There is considerable ground for believing that the poems, as they stand, are the work of professional court minstrels rather than of regular literary men, and in the case of two of them—Widsith and Deor—we have indeed definite statements to this effect. But in no event are the poems to be regarded as popular; that is, in their present form they do not represent merely the transmission of a common stock of oral tradition amongst the untaught masses of the people; they are the highly-finished productions of men trained to their art, and are probably, in almost every case, to all practical intents the work of single poets. Judging from the—for the most part fragmentary—
survival of our early heroic national poetry, it seems likely that only a small part of it has been preserved, but notwithstanding this, our literature is richer in this respect than that of any other Teutonic nation, and is unique in the possession of one complete great epic poem dating from this period, viz. the *Beowulf*.

The Old English heroic poems possess then more than a purely literary interest: they are full of allusions to persons, events and traditions of a distant, almost prehistoric age. These allusions are, however, for the most part, of such an obscure and fragmentary nature as to be at times unintelligible, while their interpretation presents in every case a considerable amount of difficulty.

The names of some of the characters which occur in the poems are known to us in history, and for one or two of the events alluded to or described we have also a sure background of historical fact; but the majority of the persons referred to, with the deeds ascribed to them, are known to us only from the popular traditions and sagas of other nations, while some again are unknown from any other source whatever.

The *Beowulf*, which is by far the most important specimen of early English national poetry, is an epic poem of 3183 lines. The MS., which is preserved in the British Museum, dates from the tenth century. In view of the many excellent descriptions of the *Beowulf* which already exist it is not proposed to give here more than the briefest outline of the argument of the poem: those who have the misfortune to be unacquainted with its contents are referred to any good history of English literature, where a full account of it will be found.

The scene of the poem is laid entirely in Baltic
lands: the hero Beowulf belonged to the people of the Geatas (i.e. Götar), who occupied the southern part of Sweden*, but the action of the most important part of the poem takes place in Denmark, at the court of the Danish king, Hrothgar. Lines 1–63 trace the descent of the Danish kings from their earliest ancestor, Scyld Scêning, down to Hrothgar, who was then on the throne. Lines 64–2199 relate how Beowulf, a noble warrior and the nephew of King Hygelac of the Geatas, freed King Hrothgar’s court from the ravages of two monsters of the fens—Grendel and his mother—by killing them both, and how he returned home from the Danish court, covered with glory and laden with rich gifts. This section of the poem contains several important episodes dealing with persons and events not directly concerned in the story. The chief of these are: the so-called Finn episode (ll. 1068–1159), containing the story of Hnaef and Finn (cf. Ch. v), the passage referring to Thrytho, the wife of Offa (ll. 1931–1962), and an account of the relations between the Danish kings Hrothgar and Hrothulf (Hrothgar’s nephew and joint occupant of the throne with him) and the princes of the Heathobearadan Froda and Ingeld (ll. 2020–2069).

Some years after the events recorded in the first part of the Beowulf, Hygelac fell in battle—as we hear later on, he was killed in the land of the Frisians, while Beowulf escaped by swimming (ll. 2354–2372). He was succeeded by his son Heardred, who, however, was slain by the Swedish king Onela, for having given sanctuary

* I.e. with the exception of Skåne and, probably, Halland, which belonged to Denmark. Blekinge belonged to Denmark in the eleventh century and later, but at the time of Wulfstan’s voyage (Alfred’s Orosius) it seems to have belonged to Sweden.
and showed kindness to Onela’s nephews Eanmund and Eadgils. Beowulf then became king of Geatland. He assisted Eadgils to take vengeance on his uncle Onela, and reigned gloriously over his people for fifty years. At the end of that time he was killed, as the result of a fight with a fiery dragon, whose ravages had reduced the whole land to a state of misery. Beowulf was successful in slaying the monster, and in setting free the hoards of hidden treasure over which it kept guard, but he was mortally wounded in the combat. His body was burnt on a huge funeral pyre, and over his ashes a large barrow was erected, in which was placed all the treasure found in the dragon’s den. The poem ends with a panegyric on the dead king, spoken by twelve warriors who rode round his barrow (ll. 2373–3183). The chief digression from the direct course of the narrative in the last section of the poem deals with the past history of the royal family of the Geatas.

Of equal importance with the Beowulf, though very much shorter, is Widsith*, a poem of 143 lines, chiefly of a narrative character, though it cannot, like the Beowulf, claim the name of epic. The poem is an account of the fortunes of a professional minstrel (such minstrels, as we have seen, flourished during the Migration Period), told by himself under the figurative name of Widsith (“the Far-Travelled”). In the prologue of the poem, the singer tells that he belonged to the people of the Myrgingas, and that, in company with a princess named Ealhild, he visited the court of the Gothic king Eormenric. From l. 10 onwards he gives a list of the chief nations and kings known to him, with special reference to any deeds of note

* MS. Exeter Book.
which are associated with their names*. In l. 50 the poet resumes the thread of his narrative, and gives a further account of his travels, again enumerating the nations whom he has visited. He also tells of his own skill in song, and of the gifts which he received from Guthhere, king of the Burgundians, from Alboin in Italy, from Eormenric, king of the Goths, and from Eadgils, his own patron, the prince of the Myrgingas. Then follows a list of the chief Gothic heroes whom the poet visited, most of whom are known to us from other sources: two of these, Wudga and Hama, are treated at somewhat greater length than the rest, on account of their great prowess. The poem closes with a short epilogue containing reflections on the lives and fortunes of travelling singers.

The great importance of Widsith for the history of the Migration Period lies in the catalogues of kings and nations incorporated in the poem, which in many cases confirm the evidence of other authorities. The poet has apparently gone on the principle of naming in every case the most famous king of the nation or tribe in question, irrespective of time considerations; for many of the persons mentioned side by side with one another are, as we shall see, separated from each other in history by large spaces of time.

The remainder of Old English heroic poetry consists but of three fragments, Finn†, Waldere‡ and Deor§, of which the first two are also epic. The Finn fragment is apparently part of a lost epic, which—if we can make any

* Many of these are already familiar figures, while others are quite unknown.
† MS. lost. ‡ MS. in Royal Library, Copenhagen.
§ MS. Exeter Book.
surmises from the *Beowulf*—was probably of considerable length. It deals with the same story as that referred to in the so-called Finn episode of the *Beowulf* (ll. 1068-1159). (Cf. Ch. v, pp. 177 ff.)

The other two poems, *Waldere* and *Deor*, go off into quite a new field for their subject-matter. The two *Waldere* fragments—presumably like *Finn*, part of an epic poem—deal with the Walthari saga, of which several continental (chiefly German) versions exist: this saga was a very favourite theme of song and story on the continent all through the Middle Ages. (Cf. Ch. vii, B.)

*Deor's Lament* is a short elegy and the only Old English poem in strophic form which has survived: like *Widsith* it purports to be the work of a court minstrel, but, in marked contrast to that poem, is an outburst of grief, describing the troubles attending a singer's career, and the misfortunes which have fallen in his later life on the minstrel himself, who has been ousted from his office as bard of the Heodeningas by a rival singer. The value of the poem for us lies in the references made by the poet to well-known characters of the Heroic Age, whose misfortunes he cites in order to illustrate his own unhappy state. The poem consists of six strophes of unequal length, each of which ends with the refrain *Thaes ofereode, thisses swā maeg*! ("That came to an end, this may likewise").

Old English, like other early northern poetry, is written in alliterative metre, and it is generally supposed that all old Teutonic poetry, in addition to being alliterative, was strophic. The extant Old Norse heroic poems are without exception in this form, and there are distinct traces of a similar structure in *Widsith*, while in *Deor* the
division into strophes is made quite clear by the recurrence —unique in Old English poetry—of a lyrical refrain at the end of each. Strenuous attempts have been made to reconstruct the *Beowulf* in strophic form, but so far without success*.

We have evidence for only the most general conclusions with regard to the age of the Old English heroic poems. None of the MSS. date from before the tenth century, but the poems themselves belong without doubt to a very much earlier period, for the subject-matter of all of them, as we have seen, relates to the continental period of our nation's history.

The *Beowulf*, as will be seen more clearly at a later stage, contains no reference to any event known to have taken place after the middle of the sixth century, and there is, further, no evidence of communication between England and the Baltic lands for about two hundred years onward from that time. It is a reasonable presumption, therefore, that all the information regarding the persons and events celebrated in the *Beowulf* had reached England by the middle of the sixth century, and that the materials for the poem and even songs on the subject were already in circulation within the next fifty years, that is, before the close of the century.

Yet another circumstance has to be taken into account in determining the probable age of the *Beowulf*. All the ceremonies described in the poem are heathen, and the poet is perfectly conversant with heathen rites. An instance in point is the practice of cremation, which is described in detail (ll. 3110–3183). Now this practice must have died out early in England, for we nowhere hear of the

* Cf. also Cambridge History of English Literature, p. 219.
Christian missionaries forbidding it, as they did elsewhere, and as they certainly would have done in England, had the occasion arisen. As missionary activity spread very quickly over England after the introduction of Christianity in 597, it is therefore difficult, taking this and other circumstances into account, to place the composition of the Beowulf, as a whole, later than the earlier part of the seventh century (c. 630)*. The Christian invocations and other passages were probably added when objection began to be made to the heathen background of the poem. There are difficulties in the way of assigning the composition of the Beowulf to any one part of England, but the language of the poem seems on the whole to indicate that the first MS. was of Anglian origin.

The Widsith can make perhaps a claim to even greater antiquity than the Beowulf. The poet represents himself as contemporary with Ermanric, the great king of the Ostrogoths, who is known to have died c. 375 (Amm. Marc. xxxi. 3, 1), and characters belonging to the same period predominate in the poem. But there are also references of a later date, and the latest-known historical character alluded to (in the poem) is Alboin (O.E. Aelfwine), king of Lombardy, who died c. 572 (cf: Paulus Diac. Hist. Langob. i, 27). The most recent hypothesis with regard to the composition of Widsith† is that it is based on the work of an unknown fourth-century minstrel: this existing nucleus was at a later time added to and enlarged, with the idea of following out the earlier poet's

† Cf. Cambridge History of English Literature, i, p. 36.
apparent intention, and making the poem a kind of encyclopaedia of national heroes and their deeds. As such, in fact, it is invaluable to us, for no poem goes further back in its description of persons and events of the Heroic Age than Widsith.

Little positive evidence can be adduced regarding the date of composition of *Finn*, *Waldere* and *Deor*. There is nothing to prevent us assigning them to the same period as *Beowulf* and *Widsith*, for they contain very few allusions to events which took place even as late as the first half of the sixth century, and if the account of the authorship of *Deor* which we possess is reliable, the poem must be of very great age indeed. In any case it seems improbable that the composition of any of the Old English heroic poems is to be placed later than the first part of the seventh century. The Christian element present in the poems is quite foreign to their main structure and tendency, and may probably be attributed to monastic revision at a later date, which revision did not, however, otherwise affect their form or contents to any appreciable extent.

It may be thought that too much space has been devoted to a consideration of the age of these poems, but the question is an important one, inasmuch as it is just their age which determines their value as historical evidence. What we have learned so far on this point only increases our regard for the poems as amongst the most reliable documentary evidence which we possess regarding the events of the Migration Period, and the circumstantial nature of the facts which they relate tends to show, further, that their contents were not regarded in any way as accounts of legendary or mythical exploits,
but as records of the most recent history, which had a claim on the attention and interest of the nation as a whole.

About a hundred years ago the scholastic world awoke to the existence of the Old English heroic poems, which have proved themselves a most fruitful subject of study and discussion ever since. It is difficult to estimate the amount of erudition and industry which has been lavished on these poems—on their every line and almost every word—chiefly by foreign authors. The pioneers in this study were Thorkelin and Grundtvig, Danish scholars, and Kemble, an Englishman, to whom we owe the first really critical edition of the *Beowulf* and *Widsith* in 1833. Kemble’s edition was only superseded in 1857 by Grein’s, which included all the heroic poems in addition to many others, and which—revised by R. P. Wülcker, 1881–3—has remained the standard edition up to the present time notwithstanding the presence of many rivals in the field*.

It is impossible here to do more than very briefly touch on the work of one or two of the principal writers on this subject. Besides those already mentioned, the names of Grimm, H. Möller, Ten Brink, Sarrazin, Bugge, Olrik, and above all, Müllenhoff, stand out from amongst a host of scholars who have made these poems, and in particular the *Beowulf*, the subject of special study. The first impulse towards the systematic historical investigation of the *Beowulf* was given at an early stage, for by 1820 Grundtvig had discovered the identity of Hygelac, king of the Geatas, who figures in the poem, with Chochilaiicus, whom the historian Gregory of Tours reports to

have been killed by the Franks c. 520*. Thus one time-point in the story was fixed, and interest in this aspect of the poems having been once aroused, soon developed in the works of Kemble, Grein, Müllenhoff and many others. Curious, hitherto-unnoticed coincidences of names and of descriptions and events between the Old English poems and continental—chiefly Scandinavian—poems, sagas, and historical works of a later date began to be discovered, and when attention had been called to these, the clues were followed up—and are still being followed—with great zeal and with excellent results throughout the whole of early Teutonic literature. Much information valuable for the interpretation of the Old English poems has also been obtained from the works of early historians (cf. *inf.* p. 23).

The poems, owing to the extremely meagre data which exist concerning them, offer a wide field for conjecture, of which in some cases full advantage has certainly been taken; for Grundtvig, Sarrazin, Bugge and others go even the length of attributing a Scandinavian origin to the *Beowulf!* As a rule too, great weight has been laid on the mythological aspect of the *Beowulf*, which has sometimes indeed been allowed to overshadow its historical significance†. Of all those who have approached the poem from this side the greatest is probably Müllenhoff. His view, which has been shared by many others, but which in the light of subsequent study it is very difficult to accept, is that Beowulf’s exploits against Grendel and his mother are of purely mythical interest, although *Beowulf*

† This remark is specially applicable to the works of Kemble, Leo and Ettmüller.
in his relation to Hygelac, Hrothgar, etc. is probably to be regarded as an historical figure. There is still a tendency amongst many scholars to emphasize the mythical element in the poems, and though there can be no doubt that such an element is present in them, it is equally certain that its importance has been much over-rated in the past. Although much has been done along the lines of the historical investigation of the English heroic poems, much still remains to do: but the good results already obtained give promise of a not too far distant time when research shall have firmly established their position as historical documents, as well as the actual value of the characters and events which they describe.

NOTE.—In the following chapters there will be frequent occasion to quote, and otherwise refer to, works of Scandinavian origin. It has therefore been thought desirable to add to this chapter a brief survey of Old Norse literature. This section can make, however, no claim to completeness, as it only professes to deal with those works of Old Norwegian and Old Icelandic literature which contribute in some way towards the elucidation of the Old English heroic poems.

**OUTLINE SKETCH OF OLD NORSE LITERATURE*.**

The expression "Old Norse" is commonly used as a generic term to cover both Old Norwegian and Old Icelandic literature: for the latter, as it were, grew out of and inherited the greatness of the former. Iceland

was politically an offspring of Norway, and was founded in the last quarter of the ninth century (870), by those Norwegian chiefs who were dissatisfied with the newly assumed supremacy of Harold Fair-Hair, and who left their country in order to obtain freedom in voluntary exile. The new democratic state founded on the western shores of Iceland enjoyed complete political independence for four centuries: but during that time, although they refused to acknowledge Norwegian supremacy, the Icelanders never lost their "national Norwegian self-consciousness," but preserved throughout a close bond of union with the mother-country. Movements, begun in Norway, developed and came to fruition in Iceland. The history of culture and literature in the two countries during these centuries cannot be separated, for it really forms one continuous growth, towards which the existence up to the twelfth century of a common language was a powerful contributory factor.

The literary, like the political history of Norway, does not really begin until the ninth century, and in O.N. literature three periods are clearly distinguishable, the outstanding features of which may be tabulated as follows:

I. Scaldic Period.

From the beginning of historical times, i.e. c. 850–1100.

_Edda_ and scaldic poems.

II. Saga Period.

C. 1100–c. 1300.

Original prose works, viz. sagas and laws. Translations: revisions of sagas.
III. Copying and collecting of older works. 

I. The *Edda* Poems are anonymous poems in simple metre, the subjects of which were first drawn from the ancient northern mythological system, and later on—like O.E. heroic poetry—from hero saga. In view of their language and metre these poems are assigned by the best authority to various dates between c. 850 and c. 1050. The great majority are probably of Norwegian origin, and they appear—once more like the O.E. heroic poems—to have been the work of professional minstrels. Closely allied to, and a secondary development of Eddic poetry, was scaldic song, which was, however, of a much more formal and elaborate nature. The scaldic poems also made use of oral tradition, mythology and hero saga, but unlike those of the *Edda*, which were of general import, they always celebrated the person or deeds of a king or prince, and thus had a special significance.

The scalds, in fact, probably cultivated their art fully as much from a practical as from a poetic point of view, as a young warrior immediately made a name and procured himself advancement by the composition of songs in praise of his master.

We are here directly concerned with only a few of the Eddic poems. Undoubtedly one of the oldest of them is *Skirnisnál*, dating probably from c. 900. It is an ardent love poem and relates how the God Frey passionately loved a maiden named Gerda, and how he at last won her love in return.
Volundarvīta belongs to the same period as Skírnismál. It dates, according to Finnur Jónsson, from the first quarter of the tenth century, and deals with one aspect of the well-known Wéland saga. Of later date, from the second half of the tenth century, is Grottasongr, the mill-song sung by two giant handmaidens, who grind out, first wealth and peace but then death and destruction, to the tyrant king their master who will grant them no rest from their labours (cf. p. 75). The poem unites myth with hero saga, and the story of the mill seems to symbolize the Golden Age of peace and security, before the entrance of discord.

Hyndulljóð, which in contents forms a transition from myth to hero saga, belongs to approximately the same date as Grottas. It is the account of a conversation between the Goddess Freyja and the giantess Hyndla, and its importance for us lies in the genealogies of various families which Hyndla recites at the request of the Goddess.

A number of the Eddic poems deal with the exploits of Sigurð, the dragon-slayer—the famous Siegfried of German hero saga. Sigurð, although of little importance in the present connection, was one of the chief heroic figures of the Migration Period, and as such necessarily enters to some extent into any attempt to reconstruct the history of that period.

We must finally note the fragmentary Bjarkamál which appears to have been originally a poem celebrating the death of Bóðvar-Bjarki, the famous warrior of the Danish king Hrolf Kraki, but of which only a few lines have been preserved.

The only two specimens of scaldic poetry to which we shall have to refer are Eiríksmál and Hákonarmál, both
of which have, in theme and treatment, much in common
with the heroic poems of the Edda. The former is an
anonymous poem on the death of King Eric Blood-Axe
(A.D. 950), composed by order of his widow. Håkonarmál
is a similar poem—in fact an imitation of Eiriksmál—in
honour of King Hákon the Good (A.D. 961), written by
the scald Eyvind Fimsson, the devoted follower of Hákon
and himself of royal blood. Both poems follow the device
common to scaldic poetry of deriving the genealogy of
the prince in question from the Gods, and of connecting
him with the life in Valhalla. Eyvind was one of the
last great Norwegian scalds, for by the end of the tenth
century, scaldic poetry had emigrated to the new settle-
ment in Iceland, which was henceforth regarded as its
home*. Up to this time, i.e. for about a hundred years
after the first settlers landed in Iceland, the process of
colonization and settling of the land had continued. It
was only now, when a period of comparative peace
succeeded that of internal dissension, that the age of
Iceland's literary greatness began, culminating at the end
of the twelfth and the first half of the thirteenth century
in the works of Snorri and Sturla.

II. The Saga Period, c. 1100–c. 1300, is the Golden
Age of Northern literature. The historical prose saga was
a distinctively Icelandic growth, and arose from the Ice-
landers' love of recording their genealogies, and from the
weight which they laid on a good pedigree. These narra-
tives of individuals or of families were told first as a

* In Bråvallakvad, the song of the Battle of Bråvalla, which is
contained in Saxo's history (see beginning of Book viii) and there
attributed to Starkad, Dr Olrik sees the remains of a Norwegian scaldic
poem, dating probably from about the middle of the eleventh century.
means of entertainment (at skemta) round the fire on the long winter evenings, but they are of enormous historical and sociological importance, for almost all we know of northern family and intellectual life, culture, architecture, and heathen religion is derived from them.

The climax of the period was reached in the work of Snorri Sturlason, perhaps the greatest figure in the whole history of O.N. literature. Snorri lived from 1178–1241. To his great literary ability he added civic distinction, and was well known both as a politician and diplomatist. Snorri was greatest as a prose writer and pre-eminently as an historian, for not only did he test all his sources most carefully, but he possessed the power—invaluable to the writing of good history—of discriminating between true and false, between good authority and bad. His greatest work is Heimskringla, which belongs to the period 1220–1230. The title of the work means "the Globe," and it is a history of the kings of Norway from the earliest times up to the year 1177, based partly on tradition, partly on scaldic verses and partly on previous written works. Heimskringla is composed of a series of single sagas, but these Snorri has skilfully linked up to form one complete whole. The first of them is Ynglingasaga, being an account of the earliest kings of south Norway and their Swedish ancestors, and forming a kind of introduction to the work. It is based on the Ynglingatal of the scaldic poet Thjodolf, who lived about the end of the ninth century. The only other section of Hskr. to which we shall have to refer is St Ólafssaga, containing the history of the reign of St Olaf (1016–1029).

Snorri, in addition to his other claims to fame, was a poet of some note, but he lived at a time when scaldic
poetry had become highly artificial, and his own verses owe more to art than to inspiration: they are remarkable for their technical skill, and the author’s intimate knowledge of prosody and the laws of versification is further manifested in the prose *Edda*. The *Edda* is a treatise in three parts illustrative of the art of poetry. The first part—*Gylfaginning*—contains a survey of northern mythology, and a description of the courses of the world and of nature. The second and third parts—*Skáldskaparmál* and *Háttatal*—are concerned with the nature of poetry, and deal, as their names imply*, with language and metre respectively. *Skáldskaparmál* (“poetical diction”) illustrates, by examples drawn from myth and hero saga, the peculiar figures of speech, and in particular the “kennings,” i.e. artificial circumlocutions, commonly used by the scalds. *Háttatal* is a poem illustrating by example every known kind of metre. The *Edda*, which was completed about 1222, still holds its own as an excellent handbook on the language and technique of the verse of that time. It is systematic and well arranged, and Snorri has brought to bear on his materials great knowledge, a love of his subject, and much critical insight.

In *Hskr.* and the prose *Edda* Snorri refers to one of his authorities as the *Skjöldungasaga*. The greater part of this work is lost; a sixteenth-century scholar, Arngrim Jonsson, has, however, preserved some fragments of it which he published in 1597 under the title *Rerum Danicarum Fragmenta* (repr. *Aarb. f. nord. Oldk.* 1894). *Skjölds*. was probably composed about 1200; it is believed to have contained an account of the earliest kings of Den-

* *Skáldskapr*—scaldship; *mál*—speech, i.e. the speech of poetry. *Háttr*—metre; *tal*—list, i.e. a list of metres.
mark, and may very possibly have been intended as a prologue to a history of the kings of Denmark. It would thus form a parallel to Ynglingasaga, which stands as a prologue to Heimskringla the history of the kings of Norway. This work, could it be recovered, would be of very great value to us, for it seems likely that the relations between Denmark and Sweden during the period covered by the action of the Beowulf, as represented not only by Snorri but by all works subsequent to c. 1200, were derived from this source.

By the thirteenth century the decay of the saga had already set in in Iceland, largely on account of (a) a prevalent literary tendency to compile and add to already existing materials, and (b) a growing taste for the French mediaeval romances. This led to the introduction of all sorts of extraneous matter into the sagas, often of an extravagant nature, and the historical sense, the sincerity and truthfulness, which had hitherto been their outstanding characteristic, became in great part lost. Grettissaga and Orm rátturr Stórólfsson, both composed about the year 1300, are examples of this tendency. The groundwork of both sagas is historical, but many folk-tales and legendary traits are introduced, which gives them a romantic and altogether post-classical tone.

The chief product of the post-classical saga period in Iceland consists of the so-called Fornaldar Sögur, i.e. Old-Time Sagas. These dealt with historical, pseudo-historical or purely fictitious characters, and were usually compiled from a number of single episodes: sat sammen was the phrase employed to describe their composition. The Fornaldar Sögur cannot, like the historical sagas, be considered reliable, for they were designed merely to
amuse, and drew largely on myth and fairy lore for their contents. Many of them also took their materials from foreign sources. There is some evidence for the existence of the *Fornaldar Sögur* as early as the twelfth century, but they were probably first committed to paper in the latter half of the thirteenth, as for long they were not considered worthy of being written down. We shall have to take account of several of these in our present survey.

The *Thiðrekssaga* deals with the life and deeds of Thidrek, i.e. Dietrich of Bern, the great figure of German hero saga. The chief sources of this saga were probably songs and stories which were carried to Scandinavian lands by North German traders at the end of the twelfth and beginning of the thirteenth century. These materials were collected and worked up into a complete saga probably before the middle of the thirteenth century. The composition of the *Thiðrekssaga* may have been due in part to the love of chivalry and of the saga of chivalry which prevailed in the north during the reign of Hákon the Old (1217–1263); on the other hand, it reacted on current taste by increasing a love of foreign literature, and thus affected indirectly both literary language and style.

One of the best of the *Fornaldar Sögur (Fas.)* in style and composition is the *Hervarar oc Heidrekkssaga*, which in the trenchant simplicity of its language and characterisation recalls the poems of the older *Edda*. A good deal of the action of the story takes place amongst the Huns and Goths, and the saga is unique amongst the *Fas.* in the information which it gives about these peoples.
Hrolfssaga Kraka\textsuperscript{7} is a compilation of several detached episodes dating in its present form probably from the later fourteenth century. The work is lacking in unity, and several of its episodes have little direct connection with the king from whom it takes its name. The saga must without doubt at one time have existed in an earlier and better form.

Sørlapáttr\textsuperscript{7}, which is in some MSS. more rightly entitled "Sagas about Héðin and Hógni," is peculiar on account of its mythical framework, which, although corrupt, is doubtless derived from old tradition. It cannot date from before the first half of the fourteenth century.

III. Bjarkarímur\textsuperscript{9} ("The Rhymes of Bjarki") deals with the same set of events as those which form the subject matter of the Hrolfss., and is the only rhyming poem which falls under our consideration. It belongs to c. 1400, and, judging from its language and metre, belongs to the oldest group of rhymes. The form of tradition contained in Bjr. is nearly related to that of Skjólds., and the authority of the poem is, therefore, valuable.

The Flateyjarbók\textsuperscript{10}, which takes its name from the island of Flatey to the north of Iceland, is a great collection of sagas about Norwegian kings, written, or rather compiled, from older sources by two Icelandic priests between the years 1387 and 1395. It also contains annals up to the year 1394. The Flateyjarbók, in spite of many defects, still remains a most valuable authority for the history of Norwegian politics and culture.

Landnámabók. Landnámabók is really an inventory of Iceland from the time of its first colonization, but contains many anecdotes and tales in addition to the
names and genealogies of the settlers. The book exists in three texts, by different authors, all from the twelfth century, and the original compilation of the work underlying these must have been made about the beginning of that century.

There is still another class of authorities from whose works has been obtained much information valuable for the interpretation of the Old English poems, viz. professed historical writers, but these are difficult to characterise collectively, as they belong to a variety of ages and nationalities. The earliest of them are Tacitus and Pliny in the end of the first century, while the latest to whom we shall have occasion to refer are a little group of mediaeval Danish historians who flourished about 1200, and the chief of whom was Saxo Grammaticus. All these early chroniclers, being learned men, wrote in the learned tongues, viz. Greek or Latin. For our subject Saxo far surpasses any of the others in importance. His great work Historia Danica\(^\text{12}\) carries back the history of Denmark to the very earliest times. The first books are probably chiefly based on oral tradition, and form a history of northern myth and saga the importance of which it is difficult to over-estimate.

N.B.—The German poems and sagas, to which reference may be made in the following pages, are generally known, and it has, therefore, not been considered necessary to add here any description of them.

   Hrolfssaga Kraka, vol. i, pp. 1 ff.
   Völssungasaga, vol. i, pp. 113 ff.
CHAPTER I

THE GEATAS.

I. THE GEATAS IN BEOWULF AND WIDSITH.

A prominent part is played in Beowulf by the Geatas. Beowulf himself, whose exploits form the subject of the poem, belonged to the royal family of the Geatas on his mother’s side, and other members of the same family occur frequently throughout the poem.

The scattered references to the Geatas when collected and arranged yield a fairly connected, although meagre, account of the history of this people during the period with which the poem deals. The account of Beowulf’s expedition against Grendel forms a complete epic narrative, which is quite independent of the fortunes of the royal family of the Geatas, and will, therefore, be discussed separately.

The Geatas are merely mentioned in passing by the Widsith poet: the traveller speaks of having visited them in the course of his travels (Wids. ll. 57 f).

The genealogy of the royal family of the Geatas appears from Beowulf to have been as follows:
The first king of the Geatas of whom there is explicit mention is Hrethel. Hrethel's son Hygelac is described as *nefa Swertings* (l. 1203), but as the meaning of the word *nefa* varies in A.S. this expression is too vague for us to draw any definite conclusions as to the relationship between Hrethel and Swerting.

King Hrethel had three sons, Herebeald, Haethcyn, and Hygelac, and one daughter who was married to Ecgtheow and became the mother of Beowulf (ll. 373–5). At the age of seven Beowulf was adopted by his grandfather, Hrethel, and brought up with the royal princes his young uncles (ll. 2428 ff.). Hrethel's eldest son, Herebeald, was accidentally killed by an arrow shot by his brother, Haethcyn, and this occurrence preyed upon the mind of King Hrethel to such an extent that he died of a broken heart (ll. 2435–2471). He was succeeded by Haethcyn, whose reign does not, however, seem to have been of long duration. It appears that shortly after Hrethel's death, strife broke out between the Geatas and the Swedes (*Svear*), but the course of the campaign is obscure. The sons of Ongentheow, king of Sweden, made a series of raids on the territory of the Geatas in the neighbourhood of Hreoerna-beorh (ll. 2472–2478), and Haethcyn apparently retaliated by carrying war into the heart of the enemy's country, in the course of which he succeeded in taking prisoner Ongentheow's queen. Ongentheow then appears to have sallied out against the Geatas before they had time to get away with their spoil. In a great battle near Hrefnesseholt he regained his queen and killed Haethcyn. Haethcyn's younger brother, Hygelac,
then assumed chief command of the Geatas, and showed conspicuous bravery by marching into the wood and rescuing Haethcyn's troops, which had been surrounded there by Ongentheow after the fall of their leader. This exploit turned the tide of events, and, the army of the Geatas having been relieved, a second battle immediately took place, in which the Swedes began to get the worst of it, and fell back, pursued by the Geatas, Ongentheow being singled out for attack by the brothers Wulf and Eofor. Wulf and Ongentheow met first and Ongentheow felled his opponent with a blow; this was, however, quickly avenged by Eofor, who, with one mighty stroke, clove the skull of the Swedish king. After the victorious homecoming of the Geatas, Hygelac rewarded Wulf and Eofor for their services with gifts of treasure and land, while to Eofor he also gave his only daughter in marriage (ll. 2479-2489, 2922-3007).

Hygelac's wife was Hygd, the daughter of Hæreth (l. 1929): but as, after Beowulf's return from Heorot, she is spoken of as "the very young Hygd" (l. 1926), it seems impossible that she should have had a daughter of marriageable age at the beginning of her husband's reign. The question, therefore, arises, whether Hygd was not the second wife of Hygelac, and the latter had not a previous wife, of whom we hear nothing in the Beowulf.

There is no further reference in the poem to any events of Hygelac's reign previous to his fatal expedition against the Franks, Frisians, and Hugas, but Beowulf's visit to the court of Hrothgar, and his exploit in killing the monster Grendel, must, of course, belong to this interval.

Various scattered and fragmentary references are made in the poem to Hygelac's ill-starred expedition against the
Frisians and Franks. Hygelac himself was slain in Friesland by the combined forces of Franks and Frisians (sometimes designated by the poet as Hetware and Hugas (ll. 2914 ff. Cf. Appendix i, p. 268), while Beowulf escaped by swimming; he is reported to have carried away with him thirty* coats of mail.

On his return home Beowulf was offered the throne by the widowed Hygd, Hygelac's son Heardred being as yet only a boy. Beowulf would not accept the throne, but he offered to act as Heardred's guide and adviser till the young king should reach mature years. Heardred was, however, killed shortly afterwards by an attack made on the Geatas by Onela, king of Sweden. (For a fuller treatment of this incident, cf. Chap. III on Swedish traditions.) (ll. 2354–2390.)

Beowulf was then chosen king of the Geatas, and is reported to have reigned gloriously for fifty years, but the history of his reign is a blank, except for a mention of the assistance which he rendered Eadgils, an exiled prince, in what proved a successful endeavour to obtain the Swedish throne. As Onela was killed by the combined forces of Eadgils and Beowulf, the expedition also served from the point of view of the latter as a revenge for the death of Heardred (ll. 2208 f., 2390–2396).

Beowulf was killed in an attempt to free his people from the ravages of a dragon which devastated the land; he slew the dragon, and obtained the stores of hoarded treasure over which it kept guard, but was mortally wounded in the fight, and died immediately after achieving his triumph over the monster. At the end of the

* We may notice in passing that the strength in Beowulf's arm is elsewhere said to equal that of thirty men (cf. l. 380).
first bout in the long struggle Beowulf's warriors, panic-stricken at the terrible appearance of the fire-spewing dragon, all deserted him with the exception of the faithful Wiglaf, the son of Weohstan, a Swedish knight (cf. inf. p. 153), who stayed with him to the end and in whose arms he expired. The body of Beowulf was burned on a huge funeral pyre raised by his sorrowing people (ll. 2496–3183).

The account of Beowulf's expedition to the court of Hrothgar, king of the Danes, and of the exploits which he there performed, is briefly as follows:

Hrothgar, king of the Danes, had built for himself and his court a great hall which he called Heorot; this hall was rendered uninhabitable by the ravages of Grendel, a gigantic monster, half beast, half man, who had his home in the marshy fen-lands near at hand. At night, after court had been held in Heorot, Grendel would steal up from the fens, and snatch away for his prey as many as thirty of Hrothgar's sleeping thanes. These ravages had continued for twelve years.

Beowulf, son of Ecgtheow, thane of Hygelac, and a warrior of great strength, had heard of Grendel's inroads, and resolved to undertake an expedition to Denmark in hopes of being able to free the land from this scourge.

With fourteen companions, Beowulf sailed across the sea to Denmark: on their arrival, the warriors were warmly received by King Hrothgar, to whom Beowulf was already well known both by name and reputation.

Beowulf, having stated his name and errand, gave, after the fashion of these times, a long account of all his previous exploits, the most important of which was his swimming-match with Breca. Hrothgar, in his turn, told
of Grendel's inroads, and of the reign of terror under which he and his men had lived at Heorot for so long. At nightfall, Hrothgar and all his household retired to rest, leaving Beowulf and his men to guard the hall, but Beowulf alone remained awake keeping watch for Grendel.

When all was still, up from the fen-land stalked Grendel on his nightly visitation to the hall Heorot; breaking into the hall, he seized and devoured one of the sleeping thanes, but before he had time to snatch a second, Beowulf (who was unarmed) closed with him in a desperate hand-to-hand struggle. They struggled and strained for a long space, until at last, with a mighty effort, the monster succeeded in breaking away; but he left his hand and arm in Beowulf's grip, and got back to the fen only to die.

In the morning there was great rejoicing at Heorot over Beowulf's victory. The day was passed in feasting, and Hrothgar bestowed many rich gifts on Beowulf and his followers in recognition of the service they had rendered him. Next evening the warriors went to rest in light-hearted assurance of safety, which was rudely disturbed by the avenging entrance of Grendel's mother: Beowulf being absent, she snatched away, unhindered, Aeschere, the most beloved of Hrothgar's thanes. Joy was thus again turned to sorrow. In the morning Hrothgar appealed to Beowulf to avenge the outrage, and gave him a description of the water-fiend and her haunts in the fens. Beowulf expressed himself willing to undertake the adventure, and attended by the blessings of his companions he pursued the monster to her home at the bottom of a lake; with him he took the sword Hrunting which had
been lent by Unferth, the *thyle* or "spokesman" of Hrothgar's court, but it proved of no avail against the troll. He then tried to overpower his opponent in a wrestling-bout, but in spite of his immense strength she had almost overpowered him, when Beowulf, laying hold of an old sword which he found amongst the war-gear lying in the den, succeeded in killing the monster.

On rising to the surface of the lake, Beowulf was received with great joy by his companions, who had almost given him up for lost; together, they returned to Heorot, where rejoicing and feasting were renewed. Beowulf had brought back with him various trophies of his victory, amongst them Grendel's head, which four men had to carry back across the fens to Heorot.

In recognition of his great services Hrothgar again gave Beowulf many rich gifts. The Geatas then took their leave, and with many regrets set sail from Denmark. On their return Beowulf related his adventures to Hygelac, and presented him with a helmet, sword, and coat of mail, which were among the gifts which he had received from Hrothgar: Hygelac, on his side, rewarded Beowulf richly for the valour which he had displayed, and for the honour which his deed reflected on the whole nation of the Geatas (ll. 53–2199).

II. (a) Evidence derived from Scandinavian Literature regarding the person of Beowulf.

The person of Beowulf and the story of his struggle with Grendel have usually been taken as possessing a purely mythical interest—as, for example, that of the culture-hero bringing deliverance to a nation harassed by
the ravages of the North Sea. This so-called myth, it is argued or assumed, has been furnished with an historical setting and attached to the person of Hygelac, king of the Geatas, an historical character who lived and reigned at the beginning of the sixth century. It is, however, surely somewhat rash to dismiss the character of Beowulf as purely mythical, and in so doing to ignore an important fact which has been pointed out by various scholars, namely that there is another character within the range of ancient Teutonic tradition whose personal traits and the events of whose career correspond in a very striking way to those of our hero.

Our knowledge of the early history of the Scandinavian peoples rests in large measure upon the materials to which we have access in the great wealth of saga and folk-tale of Old Norwegian and Old Icelandic literature; we are indebted to a slightly less extent to Saxo Grammaticus and other early Danish historians, who, in addition to sagas of a somewhat earlier date but of similar character to those which we possess, were also able to draw from certain old Danish traditions which have not been otherwise preserved; but the evidence furnished by these authorities although of great value must be carefully tested, as the liberties which the writers have taken with their materials, in many cases, detract considerably from the value of their work.

In our poem, the scene of Beowulf’s exploits is laid at the court of Hrothgar, king of the Danes, who is represented as the ideal monarch—wise, brave, gentle, and generous. All Scandinavian sources agree as to the existence of a Danish prince, Ro or Hroar (Hrothgar), the son of Halfdan (Healfdene) and the brother of Helgi
(Halga). But Ro is a very shadowy character: he has none of those characteristics which mark a man out as a leader among his fellows. The figure of his nephew, Hrolf Kraki (Hrothulf in Beowulf), the hero of Scandinavian song and story, corresponds much more closely to that of Hrothgar in Beowulf. King Hrolf Kraki was the national hero, the king admired, beloved, and feared by all.

Amongst the many stories and traditions which centre round Hrolf Kraki, our attention is arrested by one concerning a certain Bodvar-Bjarki, a warrior in Hrolf's service, on account of its remarkable resemblance to the story of the life and exploits of Beowulf; this story is most fully contained in the Icelandic Hrolfssaga Kraka.

According to Hrss. (Chs. 24–36) Bodvar was the son of Bjorn, the son by a first marriage of Hring, a king of the Norwegian Uplands. Bjorn's mother died, and Hring took as his second wife Hvit, the daughter of the king of the Finns.

On one occasion when King Hring was away, Hvit made professions of love to Bjorn, but because he would not fall in with her wishes, she, through her magic powers*, turned him into a bear, and laid on him a curse that he should ever be forced to prey upon his father's cattle. When Hring returned home, his son had disappeared, but on all sides he was met with reports of a devastating bear which worked havoc on his flocks.

Now Bjorn had before loved a peasant maiden named Bera; Bera recognised the eyes of the bear as those of her

* "Throughout O.N. literature as well as in Saxo, Olavus Magnus, and others, the Finns are held in high repute as magicians"; cf. Handbooks on the History of Religions—The Religion of the Teutons, by de la Saussaye, p. 95.
lover, Bjørn, and she followed him to his cave, where every night he was able to lay aside his bear's mask, and become a man until the morning. For some time, Bera and Bjørn thus spent every night together, until eventually, the bear was killed by the King's men. After his death Bera bore three sons—Elgfróði, who was half a man and half an elk; Thorirhundsfót, who was a man except for his dog's feet, and Bødvar. (Bødvar appears occasionally in Hrolfss. as Bødvar-Bjarki.) It is with the last alone that we are here concerned.

When Bødvar grew up, he avenged his father by killing the queen Hvit. Soon after this King Hring died, and Bødvar ruled the kingdom for a short time. He then started on his travels and first sought out his brothers, Elgfróði and Thorir. With the latter, who had become king of Gautland, he remained some time, but finally left him in order to join the service of Hrolf Kraki*.

On his way to Hrolf's court at Hleidrgardr (Leire), Bødvar came to the house of some people who were in great trouble on account of their son Hött; this Hött was in Hrolf's service, but as he was somewhat of a weakling, the king's men took advantage of this, and tormented him by throwing bones at him. Bødvar promised to do what he could to help Hött, and on his arrival at Hleidrgardr, he found the unfortunate man in the corner of the hall entirely hidden by a heap of bones. He succeeded in rescuing him from this plight, but when the king's men

* In ancient times, the determining factor in the choice of an overlord or warrior entering upon military service appears to have been not patriotism, but the personal qualities of individual leaders, e.g. Weohstan was in the service of Onela, king of Sweden, while his son Wiglaf served under Beowulf, king of Geatland.
saw it, they attacked both of them, and again with bones: Ḥött was so frightened that he could do nothing, but Bǫðvar retorted, killing some of the assailants by one or two well-aimed shots, and the strife was soon at an end. Hrolf Kraki, learning of the incident, commended Bǫðvar's bravery, and took him into his service. Bǫðvar took Ḥött henceforth under his own protection.

With the approach of Christmas, gloom and sadness became visible on the faces of Hrolf's warriors. Bǫðvar, enquiring the cause of the depression, was told by Ḥött that for the last two years, at the same season, a great winged beast (*dyr*) had appeared in the land, working great havoc and causing much loss of life; weapons availed naught against it. Bǫðvar expressed wonder that in the domain of such a king as Hrolf Kraki a thing like this could come to pass, but Ḥött explained to him that the creature was a troll rather than an animal, and that more than ordinary bravery was necessary in order to vanquish it.

When Christmas Eve came, the king gave orders for silence in the hall and forbade any of his warriors to attack the monster when it appeared. Bǫðvar, however, determined to be beforehand, and went outside to await its coming, with Ḥött, who was in such a state of terror that Bǫðvar had to carry him. When the troll appeared, Ḥött screamed; Bǫðvar dropped him and attacked the beast, killing it by a sword-thrust through the belly. He then made Ḥött eat of its heart and drink of its blood, saying that he would thereby become strong and fearless. Together they propped up the monster as though it were still alive, and stole back to the hall, where their absence had not been noticed.
In the morning, the king asked if anything had been seen of the animal: he sent out messengers who returned saying that it was outside the hall. Thereupon Hōtt, encouraged by Bōðvar, offered to go out and slay it with the sword of Gullinhjalti, which he asked for this purpose from the king. This request was somewhat reluctantly granted, as Hrolf was well acquainted with Hōtt’s reputation for cowardice.

Armed with Gullinhjalti, Hōtt sallied forth and attacked the monster, which at once fell dead from the blow! The king congratulated Bōðvar on all that he had done for his protégé, and decreed that Hōtt should henceforth be called Hjalti, after the sword with which he had performed his exploit.

After these events, Bōðvar remained in the service of Hrolf Kraki: he took part in many expeditions with the king, married his daughter, and finally fell in battle while fighting at his side (cf. inf. p. 49 f.) (Chs. 47–52.)

Even the casual observer cannot fail to note the striking similarity between the account of the exploits of Beowulf at the court of Hrothgar, and those of Bōðvar at the court of Hrolf Kraki. In either case a warrior arrives at the court of a Danish king, and earns the lasting gratitude of the king by slaying a monster which has been the scourge of the country.

Saxo Grammaticus, the Danish historian of the twelfth century, relates a story (Bk II, pp. 56–68, ed. Holder) concerning one Biarco a warrior of Rolvo “Krake”: this Biarco clearly corresponds to the Bōðvar-Bjarki of Hrolfss. as, in addition to the evidence of the name Biarco, which is obviously a Latinised form of “bjarki,” the two accounts are practically identical.
According to Saxo (II, 56, ed. Holder), as in *Hrolfss.*, Biarco and Hjalti were companions in arms: the monster which devastated the country each Yule-tide has been replaced by a huge bear, which Biarco slays in the forest, but Saxo does not lay nearly so much stress on the incident as does the writer of the *Hrolfss*. On the other hand, the story of Rolvo Krake's last battle, and the part played in it by Biarco and Hjalti, is treated in greater detail than in *Hrolfss*. (II, pp. 58–68, ed. Holder). Both accounts are based on the O.N. *Bjarkamál*, but while Saxo's version is apparently a complete translation of the poem, that of *Hrolfss* is very corrupt.

Although strictly speaking outside the scope of this treatise, we may notice the striking parallel to the story of Beowulf and Grendel with which we are confronted in the Icelandic *Grettissaga* (chs. 64–67).

The *Grettissaga* dates from about the end of the thirteenth century, and deals with the adventures of an outlaw Grettir, who was an historical character, and who died about the year 1031. The story of Grettir's encounter with two water-demons corresponds so remarkably to the account of Beowulf's fight with Grendel and his mother that it is impossible to look upon the resemblance between the two as merely accidental. The *Grettissaga* contains no sign of having been influenced by the *Beowulf*, and it is in itself almost inconceivable that the O.E. poem should have exercised any such direct influence as would be necessary to account for the similarity of the two narratives. It has been suggested by Mr H. M. Chadwick (*Cambridge Hist. of Eng. Lit.* vol. I, p. 27) that there may have existed some old folk-tale of a fight with water-demons on which the portions of the
Beowulf and of Grettissaga in question may both have been based, the adventure being in the one case attributed to an historical prince of the Götar—Beowulf—and in the other to an historical Icelandic outlaw—Grettir. This explanation certainly seems more satisfactory than any other, and, if it were proved correct, would remove one of the chief difficulties at present brought forward against regarding Beowulf as an historical character, namely, the alleged mythical nature of his contest with Grendel and Grendel’s mother.

For a fuller treatment of this subject, the reader is referred to an article by Hugo Gering entitled “Der Beowulf und die isländische Grettissaga,” which is to be found in Anglia iii, pp. 74 ff. Bugge (PBB. xii, pp. 57 ff.) has pointed out that the saga ofOrm Stórólfsson has, like the Grettiss., marked affinity with the account of Beowulf’s struggle with Grendel and that reminiscences of the same legend of a life and death struggle with a monster are also contained in several Faroëse and Swedish ballads.

The question of the apparent confusion between the Hrothgar (Ro Hroar) of Beowulf, and the Hrolf Kraki (Hrothulf) of Northern tradition, will be discussed in the following chapter on Danish traditions. A further account of the Hall Heorot, the palace of King Hrothgar, and the scene of Beowulf’s struggle with Grendel, is also reserved for the next chapter.

b. Evidence derived from Scandinavian literature regarding the Geatas.

We are now in a position to consider the actual identity of the people who are called in Beowulf the Geatas; regarding this question, controversy has been rife. Some scholars (Bugge) would identify the Geatas with the O.N. Jótár—Jutes, who inhabited Jutland. The other and more generally accepted theory (Müllenhoff)
is that the Geatas represent the O.N. Gautar (which is quite a different word from O.N. Jótar), and, therefore, correspond to the modern Götar who occupy the southern part of Sweden (with the exception of the southern extremity Skaane which was formerly Danish). In *Heimskr. St Ólafss.* ch. 76, there is given a geographical description of the divisions of Sweden, which are arranged thus:

1. Swíthjóð, with its provinces.
3. Eystrgautland, with Gottland, and Eyland.

The chief reasons for identifying the Geatas with the modern Götar are these:

1. As regards phonology Geatas corresponds exactly to O.N. Gautar, whereas it does not correspond to O.N. Jótar, in which jo represents an earlier eu*.

2. What is said regarding the relations between the Geatas and the Svear (Swedes) in *Beowulf* indicates that the lands respectively occupied by the two peoples lay in close proximity to one another. Hostilities were constantly going on between Geatas and Svear; e.g. there was the campaign of Haethcyn and Onela (*Beo.* ll. 2472 ff. 2922 ff.), and again, when Eadgils undertook an expedition against Onela, he was assisted by Beowulf and an army of the Geatas, the combined forces eventually defeating Onela, who fell in the battle, and was succeeded by Eadgils (*Beo.* ll. 2391 ff.). It is most unlikely that such close relations should have existed between Swedes and Geatas, had they not been near neighbours as the Svear and Gautar were: we know, too, that there was

* Cf. also p. 183.
constant friction between the Svear and Gautar throughout the Middle Ages, although by that time both peoples were nominally united under a common ruler.

3. In the *Skáldsk.* (ch. 44) Böðvar who, according to *Hrssl.*, came from Gautland, is found in alliance with or assisting Ædils (Eadgils), king of Sweden, in the campaign which is, as we shall see, identical with that described in *Beowulf* as undertaken by Eadgils and Beowulf, king of the Geatas. According to *Skáldsk.* and *Yngls.* the battle in which Böðvar assisted Ædils took place on Lake Wener, which lies between Götland and Sweden on the one side, and Norway on the other. The passage is as follows:

"Another instance of the bravery of Hrothgar is related, that a king ruled over Upsala whose name was Ædils; he married Yrsa the mother of Hrothgar. He was engaged in war with the king who ruled over Norway whose name was Áli. They agreed to fight each other on the frozen lake Vaenir ("on the ice of the lake which is called Vaenir"). King Ædils sent word to Hrothgar, his kinsman, to come to his assistance, and promised payment to all of his army who took part in the expedition; and the king himself should possess three treasures which he might choose from Swithjóð. King Hrothgar was not able to go, because of his strife with the Saxons, but he sent to Ædils his twelve berserks; there was Böðvarr Bjarki and Hjálmi Hugprúði, Hvitserkr Hvati, Vótrr Véseti, the brothers Svipdagr and Beigaðr. In that battle King Áli fell, and a great portion of his army."

It may be objected that the value of this evidence is conditional on our acceptance of the characters of Beowulf and Böðvar as identical. This is true, but it is, at the same time, also true, that both sets of facts act
and re-act upon one another to such an extent, that the

evidence which we have just been discussing is in itself an
additional and almost a convincing proof of that identity.

4. The Gautar were as a people much more impor-
tant than the Jótar at the time when the events described
in the poem were gradually becoming crystallised in
literary form. Procopius mentions the Gautoi as one of
the most populous races of Northern Europe (vide inf.
p. 42); and they are certainly the only Northern people
powerful enough to have fought the Swedes on equal
terms as the Geatas undoubtedly did.

The sum total of this evidence seems to show satis-
factorily and conclusively that the Geatas of Beowulf
represent the people known in modern times as the Götar
(O.N. Gautar) and not the O.N. Jótar, O.F. Jutae—the
inhabitants of Jutland. The mistake appears to have
been due in the first instance to a passage in the O.E.
translation of Bede (Eccles. Hist. i, 15) where the Jutae
of Bede has, on one occasion, been translated as Geatas.

The following are the chief classical and Scandinavian
authorities from which we obtain information about the
Geatas in early times:

Ptolemy. Geographia Claudii Ptolemaei. Part i. (Müller, Paris,
1901.)

Procopius. ?

Jordanes. (J. P. Migne, Patrologiae cursus completus. Ser. i.
Vol. lxix.)

Gregory of Tours. (Recueil des Historiens des Gaules et de la

Merov. Vol. i.

Liber Monstrorum. (Traditions Tératologiques. Berger de Xivrey.)
Sagas.
HISTORICAL REFERENCES TO THE GEATAS (GÖTAR) IN FOREIGN AUTHORITIES.

Ptolemy, writing in the second century, mentions the Goutai as one of the peoples inhabiting the southern part of the island of Scandia*. 

Procopius†, writing in 550, mentions the Gautoi as the chief people inhabiting the island of Thule. 

Jordanes‡ mentions the Visigauti as a keen, fierce race, ready for war. 

In Northern Sagas dealing with the events of the fourth and fifth centuries, we find mention of kings of the Gautar, but in historical times Gautland (Götland) was no longer an independent kingdom. It was governed by an earl and had its own laws, but was subject to the kingdom of Sweden of which it formed a part. At the time of the events recorded in Beowulf, the Geatas were still an independent people, but their kingdom may have —and probably did—come to an end comparatively soon afterwards. Gregory of Tours (III, 3) and the Gesta Regum Francorum give an account of Hygelac's expedition to the Lower Rhine between the years 512 and 520. In both records, however, the expedition is described as a

* ἀνατολῶν δὲ τῆς Χερσονήσου τέσσαρες αἱ καλοβৃমεναι Σκανδαίαι, τρεῖς μὲν μικρὰ ὁν ἡ μέσῃ ἔπεχει μοῖρας μα Λ' ὑ, μα μὲ μεγίστη καὶ ἀνατολικωτάτη κατὰ τὰς ἐκβολάς Οὐστώλα ποταμοῦ ἢς τὸ μὲν δυτικώτατον ἔπεχει μοῖρας μν ὑ τὸ δ' ἀνατολικώτατον μὲν ὑ τὸ δ' ἀρκτικώτατον μὲλ Λ' ὑ τὸ δὲ μεσιμβρινὸν μεν ζ'γ'ο'. καλεῖται δὲ θᾶλας καὶ ἀυθ' Σκανδία καὶ κατέχουσιν αὐθ' σα μὲν ἀρκτικὰ Κυνέωνες, τὰ δὲ δυτικὰ Χαϊδευολ, τὰ δ' ἀνατολικὰ Φανώναι καὶ Φιραίνοι, τὰ δὲ μεσιμβρινὰ Ροῦται καὶ Δαυκłoνες, τὰ δὲ μέσα Λευκόνα.

† Goth. ii, 15. οὕτω μὲν θουλίται βιοῦσιν ὃν ἕθνος ἐν πολυάνθρωπον οἱ Γαυτοὶ έστιν, παρ' ὅς δὲ ἔρουλων τότε οἱ ἐπηλύται ἱδρύσαντο.

‡ Get. iii, 22. Post hos Athelnni, Finnaithae feri, Visigautigoth, acre hominum genus et ad bellum promptissimum.
Danish one, and Hygelac is spoken of as king of the Danes. The passages are as follows:

Greg. Tur. iii, 3.

*His ita gestis, Dani cum rege suo, nomine Chlochilaicho, evectu navali per mare Gallias appetunt. Egressique ad terras, pagum unum de regno Theuderici devastant atque captivant: oneratisque navibus tam de captivis quam de reliquis spoliis, reverti ad patriam cupiunt. Sed rex eorum in litus residuebat, donec naves altum mare comprehenderent, ipse deinceps secuturus. Quod cum Theuderico nuntiatum fuisset, quod scilicet regio ejus fuerit ab extraneis devastata, Theudebertum filium suum in illas partes cum valido exercitu ac magno armorum apparatu direxit. Qui, interflecto Rege, hostes navali praelio superatos opprimit, omnemque rapinam terrae restituit.

Gesta Regum Francorum, ch. xix.

†In illo tempore Dani, cum rege suo nomine Chochilago, cum navale hoste per altum mare Gallices appetunt,

* After this, the Danes, with their king by name Chlochilaichus, took ship and made an attack upon the Gauls by sea. Having disembarked, they devastated a part of the kingdom of Theuderic, and took captives. They then loaded their ships with spoil and prisoners, and prepared to return home. But their king remained on shore, until the ships had reached the open sea, intending then to follow himself. When the news was brought to Theuderic that his land had been laid waste by a foreign army he sent his son Theudebert with a strong force and ample equipment for war into those regions. He first killed the king, after which he defeated the army in a naval battle, afterwards recapturing the whole of the booty.

† About this time the Danes, under their king Chochilagus, crossed the ocean with a fleet to attack the Gauls. They laid waste a part of the kingdom of Theuderic inhabited by the Attoarii and others, taking many prisoners. Having filled their ships with captives they made for the ocean, while their king remained on shore. However, when news of this was brought to Theuderic, he despatched his son Theudobert with a
Theuderico pagum, Attoarios vel alios devastantes atque captivantes, plenas naves de captivis habentes, alto mare intrantes Rex eorum ad litus maris resedit. Quod cum Theuderico nuntiatum fuisse, Theudobertum filium suum cum magnis exercitibus in illis partibus dirigens. Qui consequens eos, pugnavit cum eis caede maxima, atque ipsis prostratis, Regem eorum interfecit, praedam tulit, et in terram suam restituit.

In addition to these historical references, there is in the Liber Monstrorum a collection of mediaeval texts edited by Berger de Xivrey, a passage which bears on Hygelac of the Geatas. The allusion is as follows:

*"Of tremendous stature was king Huiglaucus (var. lect. Huncglacus) who ruled over the Getae, and was slain by the Franks. At the age of twelve years, no horse could carry him. His bones rest on an island at the mouth of the Rhine, and are shown as a wonder to visitors from afar."

III and IV. Comparison of Evidence, and Summary of Historical Facts underlying the Reference to the Geatas (Götar) in Beowulf and Widsith.

Amongst the references of Latin historians to the Götar, the only two which make definite mention of any event connected with this people are the Gesta Francorum and Gregory of Tours.

great army into those regions. The latter pursued them, fought with and overcame them with great slaughter, put their king to death, and having recovered all the booty departed with it to his own country.

* Et sunt mirae magnitudinis: ut rex Huiglaucus qui imperavit Getis et a Francis occisus est. Quem equus a duodecimo anno portare non potuit. Cujus ossa in Rheni fluminis insula, ubi in Oceanum prorumpit, reservata sunt, et de longinquo venientibus pro miraculo ostenduntur.
In both of these sources there is explicit reference to a marauding expedition made by a Danish king, Chochilaichus, on the territory of the Franks, between the years 512 and 520, which terminated in the defeat and slaughter of Chochilaichus by a Frankish army under Theoderic and Theodobert. This account coincides so exactly with that of Hygelac’s fatal expedition against the Franks and Frisians as related in *Beowulf*, that they may be taken without doubt as referring to one and the same event. Scholars have accepted the date given by Gregory of Tours and the *Gesta Francorum*, as a starting-point on which to base time calculations with regard to the whole subject matter of the poem.

The results gained from an investigation of the historical value of the part played by the Götar in *Beowulf* are but meagre. They can be expressed in very few words: there was in South Sweden at the time of the events recorded in *Beowulf* a people called the Gautar, who had been there, as we learn from Ptolemy, at any rate since the second century, and who were a large tribe of considerable importance. At the beginning of the sixth century they were ruled by a king named Hygelac*, who was killed.

* The only discrepancy which occurs between the historical references to the Götar, and those contained in *Beowulf*, is in connection with Hygelac. Gregory of Tours refers to Chochilaichus-Hygelac as king of the Danes. In the document quoted by Berger de Xivrey, Huiglancus is described as ruling over the Getae (Götar) ("qui imperavit Getis"), which would agree with *Beowulf* where Hygelae is king of the Geatas.

We may venture to assume that Gregory of Tours is inaccurate in his description as regards this point. There is no reason to suppose that the Franks had very minute knowledge of the geography of the Northern kingdoms at this time, and as the Danes who were notorious marauders lay comparatively near to them, it was natural that they should describe other Northern invaders as Danes also.
probably about 520 (cf. note p. 185) by the combined forces of Franks and Frisians in a raid which he made on their territory.

It is strange that historical sources should make no mention whatever of Beowulf the great warrior of the Geatas, whose figure completely overshadows that of Hygelac in the poem, and the question arises: what grounds have we for believing in Beowulf's actual existence as an historical character?

All the evidence which we have considered tends to establish as fairly certain the identity of the Bǫðvar-Bjarki, whose name occurs in so many Scandinavian sources, as one of the most valiant champions of Hrolf Kraki, with the English Beowulf. These two were contemporaries and both were famous warriors; both came to the court of the Danish king, whom by their prowess they freed from an ever-recurring source of danger, thus earning his deepest gratitude; both were involved in the contemporary war of succession in Sweden; both assisted Eadgils-Adils to gain the Swedish throne; lastly, supernatural traits of a similar kind appear in the character of each. In view of the striking agreement of the facts related of these two men, and in the absence of any important negative evidence, it is difficult to resist the conclusion that they were not two, but indeed one and the same person.

The belief here expressed in the identity of the O.E. Beowulf and the Scandinavian Bǫðvar-Bjarki may perhaps be considered unjustified, in view of the very different attitude adopted with regard to this point by such an authority as Dr Axel Olrik in his recent book *Danmarks Heltedigtning* (Copenhagen 1903). Dr Olrik denies most emphatically the identity of Beowulf and Bǫðvar-Bjarki
on the ground of the different nature of the struggles ascribed to the two warriors. Beowulf fights against a monster Grendel—neither human being nor animal—while Bōdvar-Bjarki simply kills a beast of prey—most probably a bear. It is true that in the Icel. Hrolfss. of the fourteenth century the bear of Saxo has become a winged monster (Fas. 1, 69), but this is merely one of the many exaggerations and embellishments of the old stories which mark the compositions of the period; late Icelandic tradition shows everywhere a tendency to degenerate into the fantastic. Thus Dr Olrik concludes that the two cases are essentially different, and can have nothing in common with one another. He even goes the length of discrediting the truth of the Scandinavian bear-story, which he regards merely as an invention to account for the transformation of Hjalti from a coward to a warrior! Surely, however, in consideration of the many other points of similarity in the two stories, the minor difficulty of identifying the Beowulf, who killed a demon monster, with the Bōdvar-Bjarki, who killed a great bear, may be overlooked, if it is not altogether removed, by the time that we have made allowances for the imaginative treatment and the liberty of thought and expression, which are of the essence of every great poem such as the Beowulf. From a devastating beast of prey the transition to a monster or even a demon is an easy one, especially if we have to take into account in the Beowulf the influence of some old folk-tale, as the striking parallel version of a fight with water-demons in the Icel. Grettissaga would seem to suggest (cf. supra p. 37).

The acceptance of the identity of Beowulf and Bōdvar-Bjarki makes it a degree easier to tackle the question of
the historical validity of this character—Beowulf-Boğvar (as for convenience sake we may style him). The fact that English and Scandinavian traditions, which are quite distinct, which have developed independently of one another, and which belong to altogether different periods, both testify to his existence with abundance of circumstantial detail, although not conclusive, certainly goes a long way towards proving that he was an actual historical character, and not, as has been suggested, a mythical or legendary one. It further makes some facts concerning him so highly probable as to be almost certain. Beowulf-Boğvar was a warrior of notable strength and valour, and probably belonged to the people of the Götar* (O.N. Gautar, O.E. Geatas): he was at some time during his life, though perhaps only temporarily, a thegn of the Danish kings, and apparently rendered them some signal service, the exact nature of which cannot be determined. Beowulf-Boğvar took part in the Swedish dynastic struggle and assisted Eadgils-Adils to gain the Swedish throne, notably in a decisive battle which was fought on the frozen Lake Wener. There is also no reason why he should not have taken part in the expedition of Hygelað (Chochilaicus) to the Lower Rhine, as the Beowulf reports him to have done.

Even apart from the question of his identity with Beowulf, Dr Olrik believes firmly in the historical existence of Boğvar-Bjarki; he bases his conclusions mainly on the evidence of Bjarkamál, in which Bjarki, though serving as

* Dr Olrik points out (DHD., pp. 215 ff.) that Boğvar-Bjarki appears for the first time as a Norwegian in the Bravállakvad written in 1066, and later in the Hrolfses. and Bjarkarímur, where a further account of his upbringing has been added.
the personification of a type—that of stern, warlike valour in contrast to the mildness and geniality of Hrolf Kraki—is, notwithstanding, a purely individual figure; Bødvar-Bjarki takes part further in the campaigns between the Danes and Heathobeardan, which we have reason to regard as historical, and the names with which he is associated go back to old tradition, and, therefore, presumably to historical characters and events (D. H. D. p. 66).

An objection has been raised that the figure of Beowulf in the poem is not that of a normal human being, and that the elements of the supernatural which appear in his character are incompatible with its historical truth. But this objection can easily be met on the ground that amongst primitive peoples there was no clear line of division between the natural and the supernatural; as a matter of fact, the figures of almost all early heroes unite elements of both, as for example, Dietrich of Bern, in whose case mediaeval German tradition has interwoven history and myth in the most extraordinary way.

An examination of the Scandinavian authorities will help to make clear the significance of the supernatural traits in the character of Beowulf-Bødvar.

As we have seen, Bødvar was, according to Hrolfss., the son of Björn, who was turned into a bear, and of Bera (O.N. bera = she-bear). When Bødvar first came to Hrolf's court, he earned his reputation by slaying a great troll, which came every Yule-tide and carried off warriors, doing great havoc throughout the country (cf. sup. p. 35).

In Saxo, Bjarco (Beowulf-Bødvar) first became famous through slaying a bear. In the last great battle in which Hrolf Kraki was defeated and slain, Bjarco had to be roused three times before he came out to the fight c. 4
(Saxo, ed. Holder, pp. 59 ff.). Saxo makes no comment on this curious fact, but some light is thrown on it by the parallel account in the Hrœs.

In Hrölf's last fight, as in Saxo, so too in the saga, Æðvar at first did not come out to fight: but a huge bear fought in front of Hrölf, and killed as many of the enemy as any five of his warriors. When Æðvar was at last roused and came out, the bear disappeared (Fas. i, pp. 102 ff.).

From this tradition it appears that the conception of Æðvar in the minds of the people was so intimately associated with the figure of a bear, that finally the one came to be regarded as the metamorphosis of the other.

In the O.E. Beowulf this same metamorphosis may be traced, although its existence is nowhere explicitly stated. Beowulf generally fought in the dark, or where no man could see him, and he very seldom fought with weapons. Cf. the whole passage on the killing of Grendel, ll. 745–818, especially such expressions as:

1. 764. Wiste his fingra geweald on grames grāpum*.
lla. 788–90.  Heóld hine tó faeste

se the manna waes maegene strengest
on thaem daege thysses lifes†.
1. 813 f. Ac hine se môdega maeg Hygelaces

haeðde be hondat‡.
lla. 963–6. "Ic hine hraedlice heardan clammum
on wael bedde wîthan thōhte.
thaet he for mund-gripe mínun scolde
licegean lif-bysig, bûtan his lif swice.§"

* He knew that the power of his fingers was in the grip of the enemy.
† He, who was of men the mightiest in strength, in the day of this life, held him too fast.
‡ But the bold kinsman of Hygelac had him by the hands.
§ "Quickly I thought to pin him down on the bed of slaughter with hard grip, that he should lie struggling for life, by reason of my hand-clasp, unless his body escape."
and again such passages as these:

ll. 2506—8. Ne waes ecg bona
ac him hilde-grâp heortan wylmas
bân-hûs gebraec*.

ll. 2682—4. Him thaet gifethe ne waes
thaet him frenna ece mihton
helpan aet hilde; waes sió hond tô strong
se the mêca gehwane mine gefraege
swenge ofersôhte†.

These passages, with others which might be quoted, seem to show plainly that Beowulf fought like a wild animal, not killing his enemies by sword and spear, but squeezing them in a fierce embrace—thus more like a bear than any other animal‡.

This exactly corresponds to the figure of Bôdvâr in Hrolfss. Thus the entering in of the spirit of Beowulf-Bôdvâr into a bear in times of battle seems to be an essential part of the legend concerning him, occurring in all its versions, and we have here an instance of metamorphosis, the idea of which was not only possible, but common amongst early Teutonic peoples. Beowulf-Bôdvâr was

* Nor was the sword the slayer, but the battle-grip crushed his "bone-house" (i.e. body), the surgings of his heart.

† That was not granted him, that iron blades might help him in battle: too strong was the hand which, as I have heard, surpassed every sword in its stroke.

‡ It is true that Beowulf did, on two occasions, fight with a sword, viz., against Grendel's mother, and in his last fight against the dragon. But this second struggle may be, as is thought by many writers, a later addition made by the poet to the original story of Beowulf. When Beowulf attacked Grendel's mother, the main part of the combat consisted of a deadly wrestling-match, which is quite consistent with what we know of his methods of fighting at other times: it was only as a last resource that he used a sword, and that not his own, but an old one which he found hanging on the wall of the den.
the bear, and the bear was a metamorphosis of him. The force of this is brought out by the name Bødvar-Bjarki, by which Bødvar is usually known in Scandinavian tradition; indeed, in earliest Scandinavian tradition, preserved in Saxo's Latin version of the Icel. Bjarkamál, he appears merely as Bjarki, and this, according to Dr Olrik, was probably originally his real name*. Thus the fact that supernatural elements are traceable in the character of Beowulf is no argument against his historical existence. Dr Olrik believes that the bear-like qualities or the "bear-nature" was first attributed to Bødvar-Bjarki in the twelfth century, owing to the influence of a similar story told of Siward the Fat, a Danish earl who settled in Northumbria in the time of Canute (1017-1042). He asserts that in the case of Siward we have the story of a man appearing in the shape of a bear for the first time. (D. H. D. pp. 215 ff.) But this belief in the possibility of the metamorphosis of men and animals is an ancient one, and dates as we have seen from very much earlier than the eleventh century: in the particular instance of Bødvar-Bjarki there is no proof—nor on the surface at any rate does there seem any probability—that the story owes anything to the similar one told of Siward the Fat.

* Bjarki is merely a hypocoristic formed from björn= bear. It was well known as a personal name, and is also found in Danish place-names from early times. The name Bødvar is apparently derived from bōd=battle (perhaps the genitive) and was most probably given to Bjarki on account of his prowess. Dr Olrik supposes Bødvar to have been at first merely an epithet, namely, bōdvar Bjarki=Bjarki of the battle, or, freely translated, "Fighting Bjarki," which in later records was misunderstood and reproduced as Bødvar bjarki, where Bødvar has become the real name, and "bjarki" is now merely an epithet or surname (cf. D. H. D. p. 139 f.). An alternative explanation of Bødvar is that it=O.E. beaduhere, i.e. battle-army.
There is, however, a serious difficulty with regard to the later history of Beowulf-Bǫðvar: Beowulf became king of the Geatas, and had a long and glorious reign, whereas Bǫðvar-Bjarki remained in the service of Hrolf Kraki until the end of his life, fell with him in battle and was buried in the same barrow. But Beowulf, as king of the Geatas, is a very shadowy character, as we have already seen; he is much more a type than an individual; even the killing of the dragon whereby he met his own death is a thoroughly typical exploit of the ideal king—cf. Sigmund in Beowulf (ll. 884-900), Sigurd in Vōlss. (Ch. xvIII), Frotho I in Saxo (ed. Holder, p. 38 f.)—and may well be a later accretion to the original story. This supposition is rendered more probable by the consideration that the reign of fifty years attributed to Beowulf would bring us up to near the end of the sixth century, and we have no evidence for believing that communication was maintained between England and northern countries as late as that time.

But even if we reject the story of Beowulf's fifty-year reign as given by the poet, it still seems probable that there was some foundation for representing him as ruling over the Gautar at some period following on Heardred's death.

The most probable theory seems to be that Beowulf-Bǫðvar ruled over the Gautar as a vassal or tributary king of Hrolf Kraki, and as such assisted Eadgils-Adils in his struggle to obtain the Swedish throne. We have already accepted as historical the fact that he did take part in this struggle, and according to the Beowulf he did so as an independent sovereign. But we have seen that the evidence of the poem with regard to Beowulf's reign
is probably untrustworthy; on the other hand, all Scandinavian sources represent Boðgar as a vassal of Hrolf Kraki, and according to Skáldsk. (Ch. 44), it was in this capacity, and at the command of Hrolf, that he and others went to the assistance of Aðils.

There are various further indications that the kingdom of Sweden (i.e. Svealand) was at this time subject to Denmark, and that the king of Sweden paid tribute to the king of the Danes. These will be dealt with when we come to speak of the relations between Aðils and Hrolf Kraki (cf. also Yngls. Ch. 31), but the matter may be referred to at this point, as it gives evidence of the widespread power of the Danish kings, and also because the fact that Svealand was under the sway of Denmark would increase the probability of the sister kingdom of Götland being in a similar position. Regarding the end of Beowulf's life, as English and Scandinavian traditions disagree, we have no certain ground to go upon. But the account of Beowulf's death in the Beowulf stands or falls with that of his fifty-years' reign over the Geatas; if we reject this story, there seems no reason why we should hesitate to accept the account given in Scandinavian authorities, viz., that Beowulf-Boðgar met his death in battle with Hrolf Kraki in the manner described by Saxo (pp. 57 ff.) and Hrss. (Fas. Vol. i, pp. 96 ff.)*

The question of the presence of mythical features in the Beowulf is, strictly speaking, beyond the scope of this study, but it is one which is so intimately bound up with the whole interpretation of the story of Beowulf and Grendel that a few words must be said about it.

* But cf. Ch. ii, p. 153 f.
From the evidence which we have considered, we believe Beowulf to have been an historical character, who corresponds to the character of Ægvar-Bjarki in Scandinavian tradition, and who performed some exploit at the court of a Danish king through which he acquired great fame. The constant association of Ægvar-Bjarki with a bear, as also the name itself, would seem to indicate that it was from the ravages of some unusually fierce bear that he delivered the Danish court.

If this be true, the theory that the interest of the Grendel story is purely mythical must necessarily fall to the ground; for while an historical narrative may easily develop some mythical traits, it cannot, as such, contain a fully-developed myth, except where the lapse of centuries has caused the historical interest, which attaches to persons, time and place, to become merged with, and lost in, the more general interest of the myth. In the case of the Grendel-story there was not time for this to take place, as the poem must have been composed comparatively soon—probably within two or three generations—after the events recorded in it took place, at any rate long before they could have lost their historical significance in order to become merely the vehicle of a myth.

The most we can say about this story is, that there are possibly some mythical features in the description of Grendel (cf. suggestion made on p. 37), who differs considerably from the bear, which we believe to have been the corresponding figure of the original story. Beowulf no longer fights against an animal, but against some monstrous being, more man than beast, whose outstanding feature is the malignance of his personality. This Grendel lurked in the fens and marshland surrounding Heorot
which were evidently uninhabitable by any other creature, for in every reference to him stress is laid on his solitary existence, and the curse which his presence always carried with it; cf. Beo. ll. 102–6, l. 160, deorc deáth-scéla, l. 165, atol án-gengea, l. 712, mán-scatha. A further significant feature of the story is that Grendel's ravages only took place when court was being held at Heorot, and the great hall itself was actually in use. At other times, the monster does not appear to have left his home in the marshes.

It is possible that in this one instance the poet may have read into his very much elaborated description of the creature slain by Beowulf more than the actual facts warranted, in short that he may have taken this opportunity of representing in mythical form the encroachments of the sea on the low-lying fenland, or the ravages of the agues and fevers which lurked in the miasmic swamps of Zealand (cf. suggestion on p. 38).

Further than this it is, however, impossible to go, and even this is merely surmise, as the whole description of Grendel in Beowulf may merely be due to poetical exaggeration. In any case, the idea that Beowulf himself could have been conceived by the poet as a myth seems extremely improbable. In the first place the Beowulf, as already mentioned, appears to have been composed within about a century after Beowulf's death, when the memory of his personality and of his deeds must still have been fully alive in men's minds; and further, if the figure of Beowulf has mythical significance, it can only be that of representing in a general way the progress of civilisation and of the arts—for no one will, surely, attempt to prove that his victory over Grendel
represents anything so concrete as the invention of a new system of sanitation or the building of a dyke, by one single man. It seems quite possible that the pictorial imagination of early peoples was sufficient to conceive of the ravages of sea or disease as those of some fierce monster; but there are no grounds for believing—nor is it in itself at all probable—that these same peoples were capable of rising to such heights of abstract thought as the general conception of a culture-hero would imply.
CHAPTER II
THE DANES.

I. THE DANES IN BEOWULF.

The Danish royal family is traced in Beowulf from its first founder, Scyld Scêfing, whose mythical coming and departure are described (ll. 1–52). Scyld was succeeded, as king of Denmark, by his son Beowulf the Dane, who in his turn was followed by his son Healfdene (ll. 56–57). Healfdene had three sons, Heorogar, Hrothgar, Halga, and one daughter, who apparently became the wife of Onela of Sweden (l. 62), although the text of the poem is unfortunately corrupt at this point. Heorogar died young, but he may have reigned for a short time (cf. l. 2158 f.); the story of his early death was told by King Hrothgar to Beowulf on his arrival at the Danish court (ll. 467 ff.).

Hrothgar reigned in Heorot, the beloved and venerated king of the Danes, but owing to Grendel’s ravages gloom hung over his court for many years, until, through Eowulf’s victory, joy was restored in Heorot. Hrothgar’s wife was Wealththeow of the Helmingas (ll. 612 f.); he had by her two sons, Hrethric and Hrothmund (l. 1189), and a daughter Freawaru (ll. 2020 ff.). He had also a nephew Hrothulf (ll. 1017, 1163 f.), who was held in high favour at his uncle’s court; Wealththeow showed great
The Danes (Dene), also called in *Beowulf* Scyldingas (ll. 30, 53, &c.), in O.N. Sagas, Skiöldungar.

The relationships of the Danish royal family were according to *Beowulf* as follows:

```
Scyld Scēfing
   Beowulf the Dane
       Healfdene

Heorogar  Hrothgar = Wealthow of the Helmingas
          Halga  daughter = Onela of Sweden

Heoroweard  Hrethric  Hrothmund  Freawaru = Ingeld Hrothulf
```

The Heathobeardan

---

Ted E. Hulme
confidence in Hrothulf, and said that he would make a
good guardian for her sons in the event of Hrothgar's
death (ll. 1180 ff.). The poem hints surprise (cf. also
Widsith, ll. 45–49) that such friendly relations should
have existed between Hrothgar and Hrothulf (l. 1164).

The subsequent history of Freawaru, Hrothgar's
daughter, introduces a new set of characters. Froda,
the king of the Heathobeardan, had fallen in battle
against the Danes. The strife was ended by the promise
of Freawaru in marriage to Froda's son Ingeld. Hrothgar
was glad to settle the dispute in this way, but the
marriage ended unhappily. Freawaru took with her as
esquire a young Dane, who boasted to the Heathobeardan
of Froda's defeat, and Ingeld, at last roused from apathy
by the repeated admonitions of an old warrior, took
terrible vengeance on the Danes for his father's death
(ll. 2022–2069).

THE DANES IN WIDSITH.

The allusions made to the Danes in Widsith consist
merely of isolated references.

Lines 45–49. "Hrothwulf and Hrothgar, the nephew
and uncle, maintained their friendship for a very long
time, after they had expelled the 'Wicinga cyn' and
brought Ingeld's spear low, cutting down at Heorot the
host of the Heathobeardan*.”

Line 58. The singer also visited the South Danes.

* Hrothwulf and Hrothgar heoldon lengest
sibbe aetsomne suhtorfaedran
siththan hie forwraecon Wicinga cynn
and Ingeldes ord forbigdan
forheowan aet Heorote Heatho-Beardna thrym.
II. Other References to the Danes.

The chief authorities which contain evidence about the Danes in general, and about the period of their history in particular with which the Beowulf deals, are the following:

Historians and Records.

Tacitus.
Saxo Grammaticus’ Danish History.
Jordanes (Migne, Patrologia, Vol. i, p. 69).
Procopius.
Landnámabók.
Aethelweard (Sir Henry Savile. Rerum Anglicarum Scriptores).
Scandinavian Sagas, &c.
Hrolfssagakraka (Fas. i).
Ynglingasaga (Hskr.).
Skáldskaparmál (Sn. Edd.).
Flateyjarbók.
HISTORICAL REFERENCES TO THE DANES.

We do not find the Danes mentioned by name earlier than in works of the sixth century.

Tacitus, *Germania*, Ch. 40, mentions a confederation of tribes who worshipped the Goddess Nerthus on an island in the ocean, the most probable identification of which is Zealand, but the name Dane does not occur.

Jordanes, Ch. III, refers to the Danes, but the passage is obscure and corrupt.

The Danes, he says, had dispossessed the Heruli of their (the latter’s) country, but we do not know where the country of the Heruli was.

Procopius (A.D. 513?), *Goth. II*, 14, says that the tribe of the Heruli on their migration northwards ran past the tribes of the Dani.

Gregory of Tours (*Hist. Franc. III*, 3), writing late in the sixth century, gives an account of the raid of Chochilaichus in which *Rex Danorum* is used wrongly. But the reference shows that the name of the Danes was known at that time.

Venantius Fortunatus (*VII*, 7, 50 and *IX*, 1, 73): *

* Tacitus, *Germania*, Ch. 40: “Reudigni deinde et Aviones et Anglii et Varini et Eudoses et Suardones et Nuithones fluminibus aut silvis muniuntur—nec quiequam notabile in singulis, nisi quod in commune Nerthum, id est, Terram matrem colunt, eamque intervenire rebus hominum, invehi populis arbitrantur. Est in insula Oceani castum nemus dicatumque in eo vehiculum, veste contectum; attingere uni sacerdoti concessum.”

† “Dani.....Herulos propriis sedibus expulerunt.”

‡ μεθ’ οὖσ δὴ καὶ Δανῶν τὰ θυν παρέδραμον.

§ Venantius Fortunatus, *vii*, 7, 50: “Quae tibi sit virtus cum prosperitate superna, Saxonis et Dani gens cito victo probat”

ix, 1, 73: “Ne ruat armatus per Gallica rura rebellis, nomine victoris hic est et ampla tegis;
writing about A.D. 580, speaks of Saxons, Danes and Jutes as the enemies of the Franks.

A Danish tradition which is preserved in several mediaeval chronicles is that King Dan (the eponymous ancestor of the Danes) reigned over Zealand, Moen, Falster and Laaland; this kingdom was called Vihtesleth.

Peter Olavus, who belongs to the late Middle Ages, writes that the Germans attacked the Jutes, who called in King Dan to help them; they afterwards offered Dan the kingdom so that he thus acquired Jutland as a possession. He also made subject Frisia, Fyn, Scania and other Danish islands (S. R. D. Vol. 1, p. 68).

In the Chronicle of King Eric, we are told that Dan came from Sweden and ruled over four islands; he was afterwards called in by the Jutes (S. R. D. Vol. 1, p. 148).

**HROLFSSAGA KRAKA.**

There were two brothers named Hálfdan and Fróði who were both kings; Hálfdan had two sons, Hroar and Helgi, and a daughter, Signy, who was married to Earl Saevil. Fróði was jealous of his brother, who was king of Denmark; he surprised and killed Hálfdan, after which he ruled Denmark in his stead. He would also have killed Hroar and Helgi, but they were rescued by their foster-father Regin, and taken by him for protection to the court of Vifill, who had been Hálfdan's best friend. Fróði, however, traced the boys and followed them to their hiding-place, and Vifill only succeeded in saving

quem Geta, Vasco tremunt, Danus Euthio, Saxo, Britannus, cum patre quos acie te domitasse patet."
their lives by disguising them as his two dogs, Hopp and Ho, until the king had gone away again; but he saw that they were no longer safe with him, so Hroar and Helgi, now aged twelve and ten respectively, left Vifill and went in disguise to the home of their brother-in-law Saevil, where they were kindly received. After three years, when accompanying Signy and Saevil on a visit to King Fróði, they were accidentally recognised by their sister, but in spite of all warning and persuasion to turn back, they insisted upon completing their journey. Their presence was revealed to Fróði by a sibyl, and they were in imminent danger of their lives, had not the faithful Regin helped them a second time to escape. Shortly afterwards, with the assistance of Regin and Saevil, the brothers succeeded in killing Fróði by burning him in his hall.

Fróði was succeeded as king of Denmark by his nephew Helgi, while Hroar went to England, and settled with the king of Northumberland, whose daughter Ógn he afterwards married.

King Helgi was a rover, and on one occasion when on a sea-raid he sought the hand of Ólof, the queen of Saxland, in marriage, but was repulsed with scorn. In revenge for the treatment he had received, Helgi returned after some time to Saxland, and forced Ólof to be his mistress. In due time Ólof bore a daughter, Yrsa. Some years afterwards, while on another raid, Helgi met Yrsa, and without recognising her, took her home as his wife; he had by her a son, Hrolf Kraki. Helgi and Yrsa remained unconscious of their relationship to one another, until Ólof, who had known how matters stood from the first, came and told him the truth. When Yrsa heard that her husband was her own father she went back to Saxland
with Ólof. There she was wooed by King Adils, whom she married and accompanied to Sweden.

Helgi, in the meantime, having lost his wife, was left disconsolate. One night a woman arrived at his house, and stayed with him until the morning. This woman was an elf. Three years later she sent Helgi a child named Skuld, who, she said, was his daughter. Skuld was herself half an elf, and grew to be a woman of a very strange disposition. She practised magic, and was, later on, the means of bringing destruction to many.

After a time Helgi discovered that Yrsa was living at Upsala as the wife of King Adils, and he followed her there. Yrsa received her former husband with great joy; but Adils surprised Helgi and treacherously killed him.

Hrolf Kraki succeeded his father as king; he built a castle, Hleiðgarðr (Leire), where he lived surrounded by his thanes—kappar and berserkir—and his fame soon spread, so that other warriors came from foreign courts in order to join his service. (This was apparently a very common practice in ancient times, and one which lasted indeed into the Middle Ages.)

Hrolf's sister, Skuld, the elf-maiden, married King Higrvard. Hrolf had two daughters, Scur and Drifa, the latter of whom was married to his warrior, Bodvar. Some insight into the court-life of Hleiðgarðr is given in the story of Bodvar and Hjalti or Hótt (cf. supra, pp. 34 ff.).

Hrolfss. dwells at length on an expedition made by Hrolf to the court of King Adils; to this Hrolf was incited by Bodvar, who urged him to go and regain his father's inheritance—consisting of the money which Helgi had had with him when he was killed—which had been
appropriated by Adils. Hrolf consented to the plan somewhat reluctantly, and set out for Sweden with a retinue consisting of his twelve chosen warriors (kappar), twelve berserks, and a hundred fighting men.

In the course of their journey the Danes halted at the house of a man named Hrani, who, after testing their powers of endurance, advised Hrolf to take with him to Sweden only his twelve champions (kappar) and to send all his other men home again, as they would be of no use to him. Hrolf followed this advice; he despatched his whole retinue back to Hleidrgardr, with the exception of the twelve champions, in whose company he continued his journey.

Once at Adils' court, Hrolf and his warriors had a series of hairbreadth escapes, and finally only succeeded in getting away with their lives.

Adils, who was determined to kill his stepson, tried various ruses in order to achieve his purpose. He caused warriors to be concealed under the benches where the Danes were to sit, but they, fearing treachery, had persuaded their master not to reveal himself to Adils; so this scheme failed, as the Swedish soldiers did not know which of the strangers was King Hrolf. Adils gave orders for a great fire to be kindled in the hall, hoping thus to make an end of his enemies; but the Danes threw their shields on the fire, leapt over it, and almost succeeded in killing Adils. Queen Yrsa was, however, favourably disposed towards her son; she tended him and his followers hospitably, and assigned to him as faithful servant a man named Vøgg. It was Vøgg who first gave Hrolf the name of "Kraki,"* on account of his lean stature, and who at the

* Icel. kraki, Dan. krage = pale, stake.
same time promised solemnly to avenge his master, if, when Hrolf should be killed, he himself should remain alive. Vogg also warned Hrolf of the danger which threatened him from Adils.

Adils made a final attempt against his enemy's life by setting fire to the house in which the Danes were, and surrounding it with an army. Hrolf and his men succeeded, however, in escaping, and having been furnished by Yrsa with much treasure—including Adils' prized ring Sviagris—and fresh horses, for their own had been maimed by the Swedes, they got away as far as Fyrisvellir, but were pursued and overtaken there by Adils and an army. The Danes flung down the treasure they were carrying, in hope of checking the pursuit. But while his soldiers grovelled on the earth for the gold, Adils himself pressed on, and only when Hrolf threw down the ring Sviagris did avarice triumph over hatred; as he stooped to pick up the precious gem, Hrolf lamed him with his sword Sko/nung, at the same time revealing his identity to his baffled enemy. Adils gave up the chase and returned to Upsala, while Hrolf was left in possession of Sviagris; after this the Danes had no difficulty in getting away.

On the return journey they again fell in with Hrani, who offered to give Hrolf a sword, shield and coat of mail. Hrolf refused these gifts although warned by Hrani that he would suffer for doing so. Only after they had parted, and the Danes had gone some way, did they realise that the man with whom they had been speaking, and who called himself Hrani, was in reality the all-powerful Odin. King Hrolf turned back quickly, hoping to make good his mistake, but found that both Hrani and his house had vanished. Having thus incurred the wrath of the great
God of war, the Danes went home filled with presentiments of impending misfortune.

Their fears were realised, for after an interval of peace, a conspiracy was formed against Hrolf by Skuld and her husband Hiðrvarð. Hiðrvarð was bound to pay Hrolf a yearly tribute, and this vexed the soul of Skuld, who felt it as a deep humiliation, and, therefore, incited her husband to revolt against Hrolf. The payment of the tribute was postponed for three years, at the end of which time Hiðrvarð arrived at Hleidragnarðr with great piles of what purported to be tribute-money, but which consisted in reality of weapons for his followers. A great battle took place in which Hrolf was defeated and his army annihilated. Hiðrvarð himself was also killed on the same day. Skuld took the kingdom and governed very badly for a short time. But Vøgg (who had taken a vow to avenge Hrolf's death) led against her an army which had been gathered by Thorir and Elgfroði (the brothers of Bœðvar) with the assistance of Yrsa. In the battle which ensued, Skuld's army was defeated, and she herself was killed.

A barrow was made for Hrolf Kraki, and his sword was buried with him.

_Landnámaðbók_ (Twelfth century).

An entry in the _Landnámaðbók_, Ch. 140, relates that a pirate merchant, named Miðfiardar-Skeggi, who was journeying in the Baltic, landed in Zealand and harried the barrow of Hrolf Kraki. He seized Hrolf's sword and Hjalte's axe, but was prevented from further plunder by
the shade of Bøðvar which fell on him and would have done him great hurt, but for the intervention of Hrolf Kraki.

**Ynglingasaga.**

Ch. xxv. "Aun or Áni was the name of Jórnund's son, who was king of the Swedes after his father: he was a wise man and offered many sacrifices: he was not a warrior, but stayed at home and ruled his kingdom. During the time that these kings reigned at Upsala, of whom we have now spoken, there reigned over Denmark, first Dan the great (hinn mikillati); he lived to be very old; then his son Froði the great, or the peaceful (hinn mikillate eða hinn frithsamí): then his sons Halfdan and Fridleif, who were great warriors. Halfdan was the elder and took the lead in everything. He went with his army to Swidjoð against King Aun, and they fought some battles, and Halfdan was always victorious. And in the end King Aun fled to West Gautland: he had then been king of Upsala for twenty-five years. He was also in Gautland for twenty-five years, while King Halfdan was at Upsala. King Halfdan died of a sickness at Upsala, and he is buried there."

Ch. xxvi. At the beginning of the chapter it is related how, after the death of Aun, when Egil his son had ascended the throne, Tunni, the former herdsman of Aun, formed an insurrection and was successful over Egil in several battles.

* Lándnámarbók Hauksbók, c. 140: "hans svn var Miðfiardær-Skeggi Garpr mikill, hann heriadi i Austrveg ok la i Danmork vid Sioland, hann var lutadr til at briota haug Rolfs konungs kraka ok tok hann thar or Sköfnung sverd Rolfs ok exi Hialta ok mikit fe annat, enn hann nadi ei Laufa thvi at Bodvarr villdi at hanum enn Rolf konung vardi."
"After that King Egil fled from the land away to Sjaelland in Denmark to Froði the Bold (hinn fróðni); he promised tribute from the Swedes to King Froði in order to obtain his help: thereupon Froði gave him an army and his chosen warriors (kappa). So Egil journeyed to Sweden. But when Tunni heard that he went out against him with his army. Then there was a great battle, in which Tunni fell, so Egil took his kingdom, and the Danes went home. King Egil sent King Froði many large and valuable presents every season, but paid no tribute to the Danes; however his friendship with King Froði still continued. After Tunni's fall, King Egil ruled over the kingdom for three years."

Ch. xxvii. "The son of Egil was named Óttar: he took the sceptre and the kingdom after his father: he did not enter into friendly relations with King Froði. Then King Froði sent men to King Óttar to demand the tribute which Egil had promised him. Óttar answered saying that the Swedes had never paid tribute to the Danes, nor would he. The ambassadors returned. Froði was a great warrior. During one summer Froði went with his army to Swiðjoð, made raids, harried, killed many, and took some away as prisoners. He took from there a great deal of booty: he also burned houses far and wide, and did a great deal of damage. Another summer Froði went on a raid to the Baltic: King Óttar heard that King Froði was not at home. So he got into his galley, sailed away to Denmark and harried there without encountering any resistance. He heard that a host was being gathered in Sjaelland, so he sailed westwards to Eyrarsund, then sailed south to Jutland, ran into Limfjorth, and harried in Vendill, burning and devastating the land. Vøtt and
Fasti were the names of Froði's earls, whom he had set to protect Denmark during his absence. But when the earls heard that the king of the Svear was raiding in Denmark they collected an army, took ships and sailed south to Limfjorth. There they came upon Óttar quite at unawares, and at once engaged him in battle; the Swedes responded manfully and soldiers fell on both sides. But as fast as men fell on the Danish side other large contingents from the surrounding districts came to take their place, and thus all the ships in the neighbourhood were engaged in the combat. The end of the battle was, that King Óttar fell and the greater part of his army. The Danes took his body to land, and laid it on a mound and let the beasts and birds devour his flesh. Then they made a wooden trunk and sent it to Swidjod, and said that their King Óttar was not worth any more than that. And after that they called him Óttar the Vendil-crow."

Ch. xxviii is included in the discussion of Swedish traditions.

Ch. xxix contains an account of the relations of Helgi, Adils and Yrsa of Saxland, three figures with whom we are already familiar. Yngls. differs from all other sources in representing Yrsa as the wife of Adils previous to her marriage with Helgi.

Ch. xxix. "At that time King Helgi the son of Halfdan was ruling at Hleidra: he came to Swidjod with such a large army that Adils saw no alternative but flight. King Helgi landed with his army, harrying and taking much booty. He captured Queen Yrsa, and took her with him to Hleidra, where he married her: their son was Hrolf Kraki. But when Hrolf was three years old, Queen Álof came to Denmark: she told Yrsa
that her husband, King Helgi, was her father, and that she was her mother. Then Yrsa went back to Sweden to King Ædils, and was queen there as long as she lived. King Helgi fell while on a raid: Hrolf Kraki was eight years old at that time, and was made king at Hleidhra. King Ædils had a great strife with King Áli of Uppland (hinn Upplenzenki): he was from Norway. They fought a battle on the ice of Lake Wener: King Áli fell there, while Ædils was victorious. Much is said concerning this battle in the Skjöldungasaga, and also concerning Hrolf Kraki’s coming to Upsala to Ædils; it was then Hrolf Kraki sowed gold in Fyrisvellir.

Ch. xxx. The Fall of Hrolf Kraki. “The son of Ædils who reigned next over Svealand was called Eystein; in his days Hrolf Kraki fell at Hleiðra. In these times many kings harried in Sweden, both Danes and Northmen. There were many sea-kings who had many men but no land. He alone was thought to have a right to the name of sea-king, who never slept under sooty rafter, and never drank at the chimney corner.”

Saxo*.

(Ed. Holder, pp. 57–68.) Saxo’s account of Hrolf Kraki (Rolvo Krake) is in its essentials the same as that contained in Hrolfss., although the two vary in some points of detail.

Saxo differs from most other authorities in what he says of Hrolf’s predecessors. According to him, Frotho I (Frodi) had three sons, Haldanus (Halfdan), Roe and Scatus (Skat). Haldanus killed his two brothers and took

* It is impossible in this section to separate Danes from Swedes, as the fortunes of the two peoples were so intimately connected during this period.
the kingdom: he had two sons, Roe (Ro, Hroar) and Helgo (Helgi), who reigned jointly in Denmark after their father's death. Roe (Ro, Hroar) was the founder of Roeskilde: he was killed by the Swedish king, Hothbroddus (Hothbrodd) the son of Regnerus (Ragnar). The sons of Hothbroddus were Athislus (Adils) and Hotherus (Óttar).

Helgo (Helgi) avenged the death of Roe by killing Hothbroddus and putting the Swedes into bondage, and from this time onwards he reigned alone in Denmark. The relations of Helgo (Helgi) to Ursa (Yrsa) and her mother Thora (Ólof) are the same in Saxo as in all other records. In later years Helgo became ashamed of the sins of his youth, and of the wild life he had formerly led: he left his kingdom and went to the East, where he died: it was rumoured that in his despair he had committed suicide.

Helgo was succeeded by his son Rolve Krake (Hrolf Kraki) who, in the words of the historian, "was comely with every gift of mind and body, and graced his mighty stature with as high a courage." In the meantime Hothbroddus had been followed on the Swedish throne by Athislus (Adils) who contrived to marry Ursa (Yrsa) the mother of Rolve Krake (Hrolf Kraki) in the hope that by this stroke of diplomacy he might win his stepson's friendship, and thus, ultimately, free Sweden from the yoke of Denmark. But Adils was of a miserly disposition, and was thoroughly despised by Yrsa, who longed to get rid of him. With this end in view, she invited her son Hrolf to Sweden, and laid a plot to make her escape with him.

While Hrolf remained at the court of Adils, appearances of friendship were carefully kept up between the two kings: they vied with one another in giving proofs of

* Saxo, Books i—ix, trans. by O. Elton.
endurance and generosity respectively, and Adils, much against his will, was constrained to present Hrolf with a beautiful necklace, one of his most prized possessions. On the third day after Hrolf’s arrival, he and Yrsla succeeded in stealing away with much treasure. They were pursued by Adils, and in order to make good their escape, had to throw down all that they carried with them. Adils grovelled on the earth in order to re-obtain the necklace which he had been forced into giving Hrolf, and the fugitives succeeded in getting away*.

Various other isolated incidents of Rolvo Krake’s reign are recorded by Saxo, amongst which may be noted the episode of Wiggo (Icel. Vøgg) who, on entering Rolvo’s service, bestowed on him the nickname of “Krake,” and who, in return for the king’s gifts, vowed to avenge his death. From this Saxo passes to the last scene of Rolvo’s career, the attack on Leire made by the treacherous vassal Hiartuarius at the instigation of his wife Sculda (Rolvo’s sister) and Rolvo’s subsequent defeat and death. Saxo has incorporated in his narrative what is apparently a Latin version of the Old Icelandic Bjarkamál. This poem is a dramatic dialogue between Bjarki (Biarco) and his companion in arms Hjalti (Hjalto), in which the course of the battle is gradually unfolded.

**SKÁLDSKAPERMÁL.**

*Skáldsk.* Ch. xliii gives the following account of the Danish kings:—

“The son of Odin was named Skjøld, from whom sprang the Skjøldungar: he lived in and ruled over the

* Cf. story of Atalanta in Gk mythology.
land which is now called Denmark, but which was then called Gotland. Then Skjöld had a son named Fridleif who ruled over the land after him. The son of Fridleif was named Frodi, he took the kingdom after his father; at this time Augustus Caesar ruled over the Roman Empire, and brought about a universal peace: then Christ was born. But because Frodi was the most powerful of all the kings in Scandinavian lands, therefore the peace was ascribed to him in all Danish-speaking countries, and the northmen call it the peace of Frodi (Froða-frid).

Skáldskaparmál goes on to tell how Frodi bought from the Swedish king two giant handmaidens Fenja and Menja to grind a huge wishing mill which he possessed. He made them grind him out gold and prosperity without ceasing: but, because he gave them nó rést, they cursed him by causing the mill to grind out destruction instead of prosperity. As Fenja and Menja turned the mill, they sang the Grottasong, in which these words occur, "the son of Yrsa will avenge the death of Halfdan on Frodi*.

In so far, this agrees with Hrolfss., which also represents Frodi as killing Halfdan. But Frid-Frodi was, according to Skáldsk., a contemporary of Augustus Caesar, and his name occurs very high in the genealogies, while Halfdan, the father of Hroar, belongs to the fifth century! The various Frodis have been confused with each other throughout Scandinavian tradition; we shall have to

* This seems the most probable reading of the words:

"Mun Yrsa sonr
vid Halfdani
hefna Frotha."

Some scholars read "vigs" for "vid."
THE DANES

attempt later on the difficult, if not impossible, task of disentangling their respective identities (cf. *inf.* pp. 114 ff.).

Ch. xliv, xlv. *Skáldsk.* relates the story of Hrolf Kraki’s visit to Adils with little variation from the other accounts. The visit was in this case a hostile one, and was caused by the failure of Adils to pay certain of Hrolf’s warriors rewards which he had promised them in return for their assistance in a campaign against King Áli of Norway.

The Danes were helped by Yrsa, who gave her son Hrolf treasure (namely the Sviagris, a bracelet or necklace (*baugr*) which was an heirloom in Adils’ family) and assisted him to escape. He was overtaken by Adils at Fyrisvellir. In order to gain time for his flight, Hrolf threw down the necklace, and exulted when he saw Adils bow to the ground to pick it up, for he was glad that the most powerful of the Swedes should bend before him.

**ARNGRIM JONSSON’S EPITOME OF SKJÖLDUNGSAGA.**

The genealogy of Danish kings contained in *Skjöldungasaga* will be found with the other genealogies in Appendix II. The figure of FриÐ-Fроði (called by Arngrim, Frode fridgode) appears at the same point, and in the same connection as in *Skáldsk.*, Ch. xliv (Arngr. Ch. iii). Six generations later than this Frode fridgode (FриÐ-Fроði) we find a certain Rigus, a chieftain of noble birth who won the hand of Dana, the daughter of Danprus of Danpsted. Rigus was the first who bore the title of king. His son Dan or Danus inherited the kingdom and
it was called after him (Ch. vi). Dan II* was called hin mikkilåti; he conquered Åleifus, the king of Selandia†, and married Olafa, the daughter of Vermundus, by whom he had a son Frodo (Ch. vii). Frodo, surnamed the peaceful (cf. Froði hin friðsami), married Inga, the daughter of Ingo, king of Sweden, and had two sons Frídleivus (Friðleif) and Halfdanus (Halfdan) (Ch. vii, 2). Frídleivus succeeded his father as king; he was a valiant and warlike prince, and was surrounded by a band of famous warriors of whom the greatest was Stárkardus (Starkad). Frídleivus carried off Hilda, daughter of Alo (Ale), the king of the Norwegian Uppland. He had by her a son Alo (Áli) and by another wife a son Froði (Frodi) (Ch. viii).

Frodo, having been born in lawful wedlock, took precedence of his brother and became king; he was surnamed hin fraege (the famous), while Alo, who was a sea-king, was called hin froekeni (the bold). Alo was successful in warfare and had conquered Sweden, when Frodo bribed Stárkardus to kill him, out of jealousy of his growing power. Stárkardus performed the deed, but was afterwards disgusted at his own action, and left Frodo's service in order to go upon campaigns in Russia and Sweden. Frodo conquered Jóruni (Jórun) king of Sweden and exacted tribute both from him and from the Swedish earl Sverting; he also ravished Jóruni's daughter who bore him a son Halfdanus, while by his own wife he had a son Ingialldus (Ingjald). Ingialldus made good to Sverting the defeat which he had suffered, by marrying

* Arngrim believes several kings to have intervened between Dan I and Dan II, but is entirely ignorant of their names and histories.
† It is not clear whether Selandia is to be interpreted as Sjaelland, or as the district called by King Alfred Sillende.
his daughter. But Jorundus and Sverting conspired against Frodo's life, and the latter was murdered by Sverting and his sons (Ch. IX). Ingialldus took no vengeance on Sverting; but allowed him to expiate his crime by the payment of blood-money; his brother Halfdanus, however, avenged the death of their father Frodo by killing the sons of Sverting, while Ingialldus, at the instigation of Starcardus, put away his wife, Sverting's daughter, who had borne him a son—Agnarus (Agnar). Ingialldus rewarded Halfdanus for killing Sverting's sons by giving him a third of the kingdom, whereupon Halfdanus married Sigrida, by whom he had two sons, Roas (Hroar) and Helgo (Helgi), and a daughter Signya (Signy). But Ingialldus became jealous of his brother; so he killed Halfdanus and married his widow; they had two sons, Raerecus (Hraerek) and Frodo. In the meantime Halfdanus' daughter Signya was married to Earl Sevillus (Saevil) in Selandia; his sons Roas and Helgo were brought up in secret on a certain island of Scania, and when they grew up, avenged the death of their father by killing their uncle, Ingialldus (Ch. X).

Helgo and Roas now became kings of Denmark; Roas was peacefully inclined and remained at home, while Helgo went on viking. The story of Helgo's adventure with Olava (Ólof), wife of Geirtiofius (Geirdjóf), earl of the Saxons, is practically the same as that of Hrolfss. It may be observed, however, that here, as in Yngls. (Ch. XXXII f.), Yrsa is represented as having been queen of Sweden before she married her father Helgo. Helgo was killed on viking five years after Yrsa had finally left him (Ch. XI).

When Helgo was killed, his son Rolfo Krake (Hrolf
Kraki) was eight years old: Rolfo reigned jointly with his uncle Roas, until the latter was killed by Raerecus and Frodo the sons of Ingialldus, after which Rolfo Krake reigned alone. At this point Arngrim introduces the story of Vøgg (Woggerus) which it is unnecessary to repeat, as his account does not differ from that of other sources.

Rolfo Krake’s daughters were Driva and Skur; the former he married to his warrior Witserchus (Hvitserk) while the hand of the latter was gained by Bodvarus (Bödvar) who killed Agnarus the son of Ingialldus. Rolfo’s half-sister Scullda (Skuld) the daughter of Yrsa and Adilsus (Adils) had been taken in marriage by Hiorvardus (Híorvard) a king of Eyland (Öland), without Rolfo’s consent: Rolfo therefore made war on Hiorvardus, and having defeated him, forced him to pay tribute for his kingdom.

The account of the relations between Rolfo (Hrolf) and Adilsus (Adils) as contained in Arngrim’s Skjölds. is much the same as that of Skáldsk. (Ch. 44), with one important exception. The three treasures promised by Adils to Hrolf Kraki before the battle on Lake Wener, and afterwards withheld by him, are mentioned, but are not specified by name, and, as after events show, Arngrim at least had not realised that Sviagris was one of them. For when the Danes fled from Upsala (Arngr. Oppsala) and had thrown down gold in a vain attempt to check the Swedish pursuit, Rolfo Krake drew from his finger “a ring of enormous value, which his ancestors had brought back as booty from the vanquished kings of Sweden,” and threw it down before Adilsus, with the result which we already know (cf. p. 76). Rolfo Krake’s subsequent history as told by Arngrim, viz. Hiorvardus’
treachery, Rolfo's death (Ch. xii), and Woggerus' (Vøgg's) revenge, corresponds to the narrative of *Hrolfss.*, except that the incident of the burning down of Leire during the attack of Hiorvardus is not referred to at all in *Skjöldungasaga*.

**III. Comparison of Evidence with regard to the Danes.**

Now that we come to compare and sift all the evidence regarding the Danes, which has been collected, we shall find it a very difficult if not impossible task to separate genuine tradition from mere legend in the tangled web of saga, poem, and historical document presented to us. The allusions made by classical historians to the Danes are so bare, that they afford practically no foothold on which to base conclusions: the Scandinavian evidence, on the other hand, might be compared to the work of many artists, all of whom have attempted to make a memory sketch of some great picture: in the work of each the pictures are differently grouped, their expressions, attitudes, costumes are different, even the background is not always the same: each artist has drawn upon his imagination to fill up the gaps left by memory or by ignorance of the facts. By far the most important recent work on early Danish tradition seen through the medium of poem and saga is the *Danmark's Heltedigtning* of Dr Axel Olrik (Copenhagen, 1903), and in the course of the following pages an attempt has been made to embody his chief results, though it has not always been possible to accept his conclusions. Dr Olrik lays great stress on the evidence of the *Bjarkamál*, a poem of which the original (except for
a couple of fragments in Old Norse) has been lost, but which has been preserved in an apparently complete form in a Latin translation by Saxo (ed. Holder, pp. 59-66). It is also partially preserved, although in a very corrupt form, in the last chapter of Hrolfss. According to Dr Olrik (D. H. D. pp. 42-61, 83-114) this poem is undoubtedly the oldest and most important piece of Scandinavian evidence which we possess regarding the events in question (viz. Rolf Kraki's defeat and death at the hands of Hiðrvarð): he claims further that the poem is of Danish origin, and that it was composed about the middle of the tenth century. For these reasons its authority is a valuable criterion of genuineness in the investigation of early Danish tradition. There is no conflict of evidence among the early historical documents (Jordanes, Procopius, etc.) which mention the Danes, but, as already mentioned, these references, owing to their extreme meagreness, do not yield us results of any great value in the reconstruction of the early history of the nation.

Scandinavian sources which deal with the period of Danish history in question agree in their broad outlines, but vary in points of detail. All accounts agree as to the existence of a Danish king Halfdan, who is certainly identical with the Healfdene of the Beowulf (vide inf. pp. 130 ff.); but while according to the Beowulf, Halfdan had three sons, Heorogar, Hrothgar and Halga, and a daughter, who was probably the wife of Onela, king of Sweden, but whose name is not preserved in the MS. (cf. Beo., l. 62), Scandinavian authorities allow Halfdan only two sons, Ro (Hrothgar) and Helgi (Halga). The Halga of the Beowulf is merely a lay figure, whereas
Helgi plays a most important part in Danish tradition, although different records do not always agree as to the facts of his career. Thus, according to Saxo (p. 51), King Helgi, overcome by the thought of his former sins, went to the East and died, or committed suicide. According to Yngls. (Ch. 33) Helgi met his death in battle, while according to Hrolfss. (Ch. 12) he was treacherously slain by Aðils, during a visit to the Swedish court at Upsala.

YRSA AND HROLF KRAKI.

The version of the story of Yrsa contained in Yngls. varies from that of Saxo and Hrolfss., inasmuch as in the first mentioned authority the course of events has without doubt been confused (vide inf. p. 142); thus in Yngls. Yrsa is represented as the wife of Aðils, king of Sweden, before she married Helgi, and became the mother of Hrolf Kraki. In Yngls. and Hrolfss. the mother of Yrsa is called Ólóf or Álof of Saxland: in Saxo she appears as Thora of the island of Thorey, and is not a queen, as she is said to be in Yngls.

Dr Olrik's theory about Yrsa, which is based on philological grounds, presents her whole story in a completely new light. By means of a lengthy enquiry into the origin of the name Yrsa, and of similar names, he shows (a) that the name Yrsa is otherwise unknown in Norse, and must, therefore, be of foreign origin, (b) that it is derived from the Lat. ursus = bear, (c) that although various names derived from this root are found amongst Teutonic peoples, they always occur in districts which either bordered on the Roman Empire, or had been otherwise subject to Roman influence. As a further proof that
the name Yrsa was foreign to the North, he cites Hrolfss., where it is said that Ólof called her daughter after her dog (cf. Fas. i, p. 22). Hence he arrives at the conclusion that if Yrsa is an historical character she must have belonged not to the Danes, but to some Southern people on the borders of the Roman Empire, probably the Franks—and that Helgi must have found and married her on one of his freebooting raids. Evidence of communication between the Danes and the Franks at this time is provided by Gregory of Tours' account of Hygelac's raid (Greg. Tur. III, 3). This supposition about Yrsa leads Olrik to discard the whole story of her birth as given in Scandinavian sources, and consequently also that of Hrolf Kraki's incestuous origin. The only point in the story which he allows to stand is that "Helgi, in the course of his voyages as a sea-king, landed on a foreign shore, whence he took away with him a fair maiden as his bride*." The whole account of Helgi's relations with Yrsa's mother, Ólof or Thora, he rejects as a modern accretion to the story.

The question of Hrolf's incestuous birth is discussed at length, and it is shown that there is no epic necessity for its truth, as it may have been first ascribed to him merely by analogy with other heroes. Almost all the greatest figures of hero-saga had this stain; Sinfjötli, Cuchullin, Gawain, Roland, all sprang from the union of brother and sister. The offspring of such a union was in most cases the greatest of his race—sometimes the chief figure within the range of the national poetry—inasmuch as he had in his veins the combined strength of two members of the same great family. But with him

the whole stock usually came to a sudden and violent end; from which our natural inference is that the guilt of the parents was visited on the child. It is thus possible, according to Olrik, that as Hrold was the greatest and also the last of his race, an incestuous origin may have been attributed to him as a sort of necessity arising from the facts of his subsequent career. This necessity may even have been extended to include and consequently to account for the whole story of Helgi’s life and of his early unhappy end (cf. inf. p. 141).

The important part played by Yrsa Dr Olrik attributes to the circumstance that Hrold’s father had died while he was still an infant, in consequence of which, according to ancient usage, Hrold would be brought up by his mother and would be called by her name (D. H. D. pp. 144–159).

Closely connected with Yrsa is Hrold Kraki’s visit to Upsala. Saxo, Hrolfss. and Skjölds. (as repr. by Skáldsk. and Arngrim Jonsson) agree as to the fact of Hrold’s expedition to the court of Adils, but differ in the reason which they assign for this expedition.

According to Saxo (ed. Holder, pp. 53–55) Yrsa hated her husband Adils on account of his miserly disposition, and desired to be rid of him. She therefore invited Hrold to come to Sweden, and together they stole away, taking with them much of Adils’ treasure.

In Hrss. (Fas. i, pp. 76–95) the motive assigned for Hrold’s expedition to Sweden is that he was desirous of regaining the money which his father Helgi had had with him in Sweden when he was treacherously killed by Adils some years before.

In Skáldsk. (Ch. 44) the story differs yet again. Here Hrold’s expedition was in order to force Adils to pay
money which he refused to give up, and which he owed Hrolf and his berserks for the assistance they had given him against King Áli of Norway.

According to Skáldsk. then, Hrolf's expedition was avowedly a hostile one: according to Saxo and Hrolfss., he went to Sweden on the pretext of paying Adils a visit, but the relations between the two were no more amicable in the one case than in the other, and the details of Hrolf's stay correspond more or less exactly in all three accounts. The incident is not directly alluded to in the Yngls.

The absence of any sort of agreement amongst existing records regarding the motive of Hrolf's visit to Upsala makes us suspicious of all the explanations which they offer to account for it. It is also difficult to accept Dr Olrik's interpretation of the incident (D. H. D., pp. 37 f., 179–184, 202–208) which is largely affected by his disbelief in the identity of Beowulf and Beðvar-Bjarki. He rejects, as of late Norwegian origin, the view which regards Hrolf's visit as a sequel to the battle of Lake Wener, and, in fact, repudiates the whole incident of the fight on the frozen lake, as having no connection with Hrolf Kraki whatever. Apart from that he condemns the motive of seeking reward for past services as utterly unworthy and ignoble. Olrik also refuses to accept Saxo's explanation, which he considers improbable, but he substitutes for it one at least equally improbable, viz., that Adils probably invited his step-son to Upsala with the intention of treacherously killing him, "this being a frequent motif of hero-saga." He leaves quite out of consideration the fact that, in such cases, some reason—or at any rate pretext—usually existed for these murder-
ous designs on the part of the host, and that here there is no such reason. Hrolf has done his step-father no injury, nor is he the possessor of great treasure which could have given rise to the jealousy of Adils. Dr Olrik discards further the part played by Yrsa as a modern addition to the story, but it is difficult to see what grounds he has for so doing in the face of authority as early as that of Skaldskaparmál.

On a close examination, it will be seen that the only features of the episode of the Upsala visit which remain unchanged in the various sources are the following: (1) the raison d'être of Hrolf's visit to Upsala was to obtain money from Adils, (2) Hrolf's mother Yrsa was, at the time of her son's visit, in Upsala as Adils' wife.

The Beowulf contains no suggestion of Eadgils' (Adils') marriage with a Danish queen; according to it the only relative of Hrothulf (Hrolf Kraki) in Sweden was his aunt, the sister of Halga (Helgi) and Hrothgar (Hroar), who married King Onela (cf. Beo. 1, 62). There is however no reason to doubt the fact of Adils' marriage with Yrsa, which is well substantiated in Scandinavian tradition.

If we look at the chronology of the Beowulf we shall see that at the time of Beowulf's visit to King Hrothgar (Hroar), Halga (Helgi) was in all probability already dead. Hrothgar himself was already a very old man (cf. Beo., l. 357), and according to all Scandinavian traditions the life of Halga (Helgi) who was his elder brother was cut short at a comparatively early point in his career. By this time too, Hrothulf (Hrolf Kraki) was already grown up, in fact in both Beowulf and Widsith he is represented as ruling jointly with his uncle Hrothgar.
(cf. Wids. ll. 45–49; Beo. ll. 1074 ff., 1163 f., 1181 ff.). It is a circumstance worthy of notice that only several years after Beowulf's exploit at the Danish court, does Eadgils (Aãils) appear for the first time in the Beowulf story. He and his brother Eanmund, while apparently still young men, fled into Gautland in order to escape the vengeance of their uncle Onela, and it was some years later that Eadgils (Aãils) overthrew Onela, and became king of Sweden in his stead (Beo. ll. 2379 ff., ll. 2391 ff.) (cf. also supra, p. 28).

This survey of the chronology of the Swedish and Danish royal families as contained in the oldest known records makes it impossible for us to accept the account of Hrolfss., according to which Helgi was treacherously slain by Aãils while on a visit to Upsala. The evidence of the Beowulf shows that in all probability Helgi (Halga) and Aãils (Eadgils) were not even contemporaries, and the latter cannot, under any circumstances, have been more than an infant when Helgi's death took place. Hrolf (Hrothulf) himself must have been many years senior to Aãils (Eadgils), if, at the time of Beowulf's visit to the Danish court, he was already of an age to rule, and we may thus infer that his mother Yrsa was quite an old lady by the time that Aãils (Eadgils) was of a marriageable age. This being so, it seems more than probable that Aãils, in marrying a person so much his senior as we know Yrsa must have been, had some ulterior motive which has not been directly preserved in tradition.

Among the various reasons assigned for Hrolf's visit to Upsala, that of the Skjoldungasaga (as contained in Skáldsk. cf. supr. p. 76), which should be the most reliable,
we are obliged to reject, as it is most unlikely that Hrolf would have sent forces to take part in a campaign against Áli (Onela) his uncle by marriage. But at all events Áli was defeated and slain by Adils (with the help of Bǫðvar-Bjarki, cf. Skáldsk. Ch. 44) who then succeeded him as king of Sweden. It was a common practice amongst early Northern nations for a conqueror to marry the widow of his predecessor in order to improve his position amongst his new subjects (e.g. the marriage of Cnut after his conquest of England in 1017 to the widow of Athelred the Unready). Thus it would have been a very natural thing for Adils to take to wife the widow of Áli—who, it must be remembered, was the sister of Hrolf's father, and who belonged to an earlier generation—notwithstanding the probable disparity of age between them.

We find then on the one hand Adils married to a woman so much his senior that we cannot conceive his motives in marrying her to have been other than political ones: we have on the other hand discovered a motive which might very well account for a marriage of this nature: it remains for us to fit, if possible, marriage and motive to one another.

The chief point to be noticed in this connection is the relationship between Helgi and the wife of Adils.

Yrsta*, Adils' wife, was the daughter, and at some time in her life, the wife of Helgi (Halga); the widow of
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King Áli (Onela) whom, as we have seen, it would have been quite natural for Adils to have married on his accession to the Swedish throne, was the sister of Helgi (Halga). All versions of the story of Yrsa lay stress on her illegal marriage with Helgi, by which she became the mother of Hrolf Kraki, and it seems conceivable that Scandinavian tradition may have substituted a marriage between father and daughter for one which was originally between brother and sister, and for which parallel cases may be found in Northern tradition*.

Were this the case the name of Yrsa would supply the gap in Beowulf, l. 62, where a word beginning with a vowel is required for the alliteration: as the sister of Helgi (Halga) and the wife of Onela (Áli) her figure acquires new significance, and her marriage with Adils is at once satisfactorily explained†.

If Yrsa were really the sister of Helgi and the missing name in Beowulf, l. 62, this would establish the truth of the origin attributed to Hrolf, and would bring him into line with the long roll of heroes of the migration period, so many of whom sprang from an illicit union of brother and sister (cf. p. 83). The attempt to show that the story

* I owe this suggestion to Mr H. M. Chadwick,
† This leads on to a further suggestion regarding the motive underlying Hrolf's visit to Upsala. All authorities agree that he went in order to obtain from Adils a certain sum of money; we are led to infer that this money should have been paid before, but that it had been wrongly withheld by Adils who was of a miserly disposition.

It is possible that what Hrolf went to fetch from Sweden was the "mundr" of his mother Yrsa, i.e. the bride-price, due to the relatives of the bride, without the payment of which no marriage was legal; thus the main object of his visit and of his action in extorting the "mundr" from Adils would ultimately be to ensure the proper status and dignity of his mother as queen of Sweden (but vide inf. p. 141 f.)
of Hrolf's incestuous birth arose merely by the analogy of similar cases, would, if successful, seem to prove too much, as these cases themselves might be accounted for with as much likelihood in exactly the same way.

**HEOROWEARD.**

The Heoroweard of *Beowulf*, who was the son of Heorogar (*Beo. ll. 2161–2*), seems to correspond in name to the Hiqrvard of Scandinavian tradition (Saxo, Hiartuarius); but Scandinavian writers do not hint at any blood relationship between him and his brother-in-law Hrolf Kraki, while on the other hand, they do not seem to have any clear conception of the political situation which underlay Hiqrvara's attack and defeat of Hrolf Kraki at Leire.

Hrolf's fall at Leire is the chief theme of *Bjarkamál*, and here the only motive assigned for Hiartuarius' attack is the ambition of his wife Skuld: the soldiers of Hiartuarius are called in *Bjarkamál* Goths or Swedes (Saxo, pp. 65 f.). All later Scandinavian sagas in describing the incident lay stress on the treachery of Hiqrvard and Skuld as the sworn vassals of Hrolf Kraki, but none of them give any satisfactory explanation of the nationality of Hiqrvarð's army, and no two accounts agree as to who and what Hiqrvarð himself actually was.

The identification of Hiqrvarð with the O.E. Heoroweard would supply the key to the situation. Heoroweard was the son of Heorogar, Hrothgar and Halga's elder brother, and thus had a claim to the Danish throne, prior to that of his cousin Hrothulf (Hrolf Kraki) but one which he—perhaps like Hrethric (*vide inf.* p. 100)—was unable
to make good, on account of Hrothulf's superior power. In order to save his own life, he may, therefore, have sworn homage to Hrothulf, a pledge which, according to Scandinavian tradition, he afterwards broke. But none of the Northern sources, without exception, have any idea that Hrórvat had an excuse for his treachery in the existence of a valid claim to the throne of Denmark, and as matters at present stand we have not enough evidence to prove conclusively his identity with the O.E. Heorowead. It is, however, more probable than not that the two are the same, and that the events related in the Scandinavian sagas were in reality the outcome of the political situation, which is hinted at in the Beowulf, but which was subsequently—after the lapse of centuries—completely forgotten (cf. D. H. D. pp. 38-42).

**HROTHGAR AND HROTHULF.**

The most serious discrepancy between the account of the Danes in Beowulf, and that contained in Scandinavian tradition, concerns the person and character of Hrothgar (Scand. Ro, or Hroar).

We have seen that in no Scandinavian authority does Ro play an important part: his personality is, on the other hand, distinctly colourless. He died early and was succeeded by his brother Helgi, Helgi in his turn being followed by the great Hrolf Kraki. In Hrolfss. the insignificance of Hroar is emphasized yet more, for according to it, he did not reign at all in Denmark, but went to Northumberland where he married and settled, leaving his brother Helgi in undisputed possession of the Danish throne.
But the evidence of *Beowulf* regarding Hrothgar (Hroar) points all the other way. He is everywhere referred to in terms of the greatest admiration and esteem, and stress is laid, throughout the poem, on the length and glory of his reign (cf. *Beo.* ll. 1769 ff.).

At first sight it seems as if some confusion must have taken place between the two kings, as the part played by Hrothgar in O.E. tradition seems to correspond so exactly to that filled by Hrolf Kraki in Scandinavian records. In that case, though the bulk of evidence would favour the supposition that Hrolf Kraki was the more outstanding of their two men, it is all evidence of a late date—in no case earlier than the twelfth century—while on the other hand, the testimony of *Beowulf*, although standing alone, is several hundred years earlier than that of the Scandinavian records, and therefore cannot be lightly disregarded.

But there is another possible explanation of the difficulty. Both *Beowulf* and *Widsith* represent Hrothgar and Hrothulf (Hrolf) as reigning together in Denmark in accordance with a practice which we frequently find amongst early Teutonic peoples, viz. that in any case where there were two or more adult members of a royal family, they reigned as joint kings in the country. Both poems also lay stress on what seems to have been a remarkable fact and very contrary to custom, namely, the friendly relations which existed between these joint rulers Hrothgar and Hrothulf (cf. *Wids.* ll. 45–49, *Beo.* ll. 1074 ff., l. 1163 f., ll. 1181 ff., l. 1018 f.). As Hrothulf was the nephew of Hrothgar, and presumably a much younger man, it is very probable that he survived his uncle, and continued to reign alone after Hrothgar's death. If this was so, one can well see how his fame might in the course
of centuries have come to entirely overshadow that of Hrothgar, and how, when written records came to be made, this glorious epoch of Danish history was associated primarily with the name of Hrolf Kraki.

On the other hand, the fame of Hrothgar may have reached England during that ruler's lifetime, and before it became eclipsed by that of his nephew. Indeed, it looks as if this must have been the case, as we have no evidence that England had any communication with the Baltic countries between Hrothgar's reign and the end of the eighth century, when the Danish invasion began. This explanation would also account for the very minor part played by Hrothulf in Beowulf.

The Hall Heorot.

The scene of Beowulf's exploit against Grendel is the great Heorot, which is said to have been built by Hrothgar, and is described with reverential admiration by the poet as the national hall and sanctuary of the Danes (Beo. ll. 67–85).

The seat of the Danish kings was, according to the unanimous testimony of Scandinavian literature, Hleidrargarðr (Leire) on the island of Sjaelland (Zealand), the building of which is attributed in a good many authorities to Hrolf Kraki. In other references, however, we read of a castle of Hleidrargarðr having existed in very much earlier times (cf. Yngls. Ch. 31, where it is represented as being from the beginning the ancestral home of the Skjoldungar) and having been built by the founder of the dynasty.

The Leire of to-day is a tiny village whose present
insignificance contrasts so strongly with the accounts of its former glory that some scholars have found it difficult to believe that it could ever have been the site of a royal residence. But not many miles from Leire stands Roeskilde, which is an important town: it was formerly capital of Denmark and is the ancestral burial-place of the Danish kings. Roeskilde means "the fountain of Ro," and tradition connects the name of Ro with the building of the town. In view of these facts, it is somewhat difficult to say whether the Heorot of the Beowulf is to be identified with Leire or with Roeskilde.

Some light is thrown on the question by Dr Olrik, who has no doubt that the present Leire does represent the old Hleiðgarðr, and is sure moreover that its former greatness is no fable, but has a solid foundation in fact. For through all tradition, the one thing which stands firmly established about Hleiðgarðr is its connection with Hroar and Hrolf: that remains though all else goes. There is nothing, however, to show that it was a royal residence after the sixth century, and it is very possible that it was destroyed in the last great fight, when Hrolf Kraki met his death at the hands of the treacherous Hjorvard (cf. Bjarkam., Saxo, pp. 59-66). The fall of a great king is always the starting-point for epic narrative, and probably the position of Leire in song and story is an attempt of the scalds to develop and enhance its former glory, which had come to such an untimely end.

The view that Leire played a part in national poetry long after it had ceased to exist, and that it lived, so to speak, on its past reputation, would account satisfactorily for its later insignificance compared with the neighbouring Roeskilde. Another fact may have contributed to Leire's
loss of importance in mediaeval times: its great natural strength against any attack by sea was no longer a matter of such importance once the political status of the Danes was assured; the attention of the nation was then naturally turned rather to the increase of their commercial prosperity, than to the necessity of self-defence, and this led to the growth of Roeskilde (D. H. D. pp. 188–200).

As the difficulty of regarding the modern village of Leire as the site of the ancient Hleidrgardr has thus been cleared up, it seems more likely that Heorot is to be identified with it than with Roeskilde, and the fact that Hleidrgardr is represented throughout Scandinavian records as the national hall and sanctuary of the Danes would be in favour of this. The importance attaching to Roeskilde as the burial-place of the Danish kings probably dates only from Christian times. The tradition that a castle of Hleidrgardr existed in very early times is no argument against its identity with Heorot, for it is quite conceivable that, being built of wood, it might have been burned down (as we hear in Beo. ll. 82–3 that it was), and re-built by Hrolf Kraki.

HRETHRIC.

According to the Beowulf (l. 1189) Hrothgar had two sons, Hrethric and Hrothmund. Hrothmund is otherwise unknown, but Hrethric has been identified by Sarrazin, Olrik and others with the Roric (Hraerek, Roricus) who is a personage of considerable importance in Scandinavian tradition, although authorities are at variance with regard to the actual circumstances of his life. The following account of him is almost entirely
based on the evidence which has been collected by Dr Olrik (cf. D. H. D. pp. 28–34, 167–175), and which in some cases has led him to somewhat startling conclusions, especially in his interpretations of the Beowulf and Widsith.

Starting now as always from Bjarkamál, we find that Rolvo (Hrolf) slew Roricus the son of the covetous Bökus. The words which describe the incident are put into the mouth of Hjalto (Hjalti), and the passage is as follows*:

“But let us who honour our king...go forth in the way the king taught us: our king who laid low Rorik the son of Bok the covetous (qui natum Bökì Ròricum stravit avari) and wrapped the coward in death. He was rich in wealth, but in enjoyment poor, stronger in gain than bravery: and thinking gold better than warfare, he set lucre above all things, and ingloriously accumulated piles of treasure, scorning the service of noble friends.” Hjalti goes on to tell how Rorik, when he was attacked by the navy of Hrolf, spread out treasure before his city gates in the hope of staying the fury of the enemy, and thus saving his own life. But Rolf the righteous assailed him, slew him, and captured his vast wealth, and shared among worthy friends what the hand of avarice had piled up in all those years.

But Saxo knows another Roricus surnamed Slyngebond, a brave and warlike ruler, and the son of Hotherus who followed Hiartarius on the Danish throne (cf. Saxo, ed. Holder, pp. 82 ff.). This person appears as Rökil Slaghenback in Sven Aagesen’s chronicle, where he is the direct successor of Hrolf Kraki. According also to the genealogy of the Icelandic Lgðòg., Hrolf Kraki is

* Saxo Grammaticus, Books i—ix, translated by O. Elton, p. 75.
succeeded by a Hraerek, who however is here surnamed *Hnauggvanbaugi* (covetous of rings or treasure) and is described as *Ingjallz syn*, i.e. the son of Ingjald. Three generations further down in the genealogy of *Lófdæg.* comes *Hraerek Slaungvanbaugi*, the son of Halfdan (cf. Genealogies, p. 273).

In the later version of the lost *Skjöldungasaga*, represented by Arngrim Jonsson’s fragmentary Latin transcript (*Aarb. f. nord. Oldkynd.* 1894, pp. 104 ff.) (= A) and the recently discovered *Bjarkarlmur* (Finnur Jonsson, Copenhagen, 1904) (= B), Raerecus (*Hraerek Sløngvanbaugi*) (B) is represented as the son of Ingjalldus (Ingjald). According to A, he and his brother Frodo (Froði) kill Roas (Hroar) in revenge for their father’s death, and after Rolfo Krake’s death Raerecus divides the kingdom with Rolfo’s son Walldarus (Arngr., Ch. xii–xiii). According to B, the relationships are the same, and here also Ingjald has been murdered by Hroar and Helgi. Hraerek then comes on board Hroar’s ship, throws the ring Sviagris into the sea, and is lamed by the two brothers in revenge. He goes home and dies soon afterwards (*Bjr. vii*). In the *Hrolfss.* a similar story is told of Hrók (Hrórek?) who kills Hroar after throwing his ring into the sea; but Helgi avenges his brother’s death by crippling Hrók (*Fas. i*, pp. 24 ff.). Thus Scandinavian sources all know a Roric (Hraerek Roricus) who is contemporary with Hroff Kraki, and is surnamed *Slængvanbo* (*Slænggenbo*ghi), while in the oldest Icelandic genealogies he has apparently become two personalities, for we find *Hraerek hnøgg-vanbaugi* and his grandson *Hraerek sløngvanbaugi* (Lófdæg.). The same confusion occurs in Saxo: for according to the *Bjarkamál* (Saxo’s version) Roricus was the son of
the covetous Bōkus. *Bōki avari* would be in Old Danish *hins nygga Bōks* (O.N. *hnfggva Baugs*): this, as has been pointed out by Sarrazin (*Engl. St. xxiv*, 144 f.) and Olrik (*D. H. D.* p. 33), can scarcely be anything but a mistake for the epithet which we have already seen applied to Roric, viz. *hnfggvanbaugi*, perhaps in the strong form *hnfggvanbaugs*, in which the genitive of a noun *baugr* (= ring) has been taken as the genitive of a proper name. This emendation also fits the description of Roricus, which follows, so exactly that in consideration of the age of the *Bjarkamál* there can be little doubt that it was the term originally applied to him. It is easy to understand the scribal error which later on reproduced *hnfggvanbaugi* as *slfggvanbaugi* (*Saxo Slyngebond*). The latter was, too, a more complimentary term and applied equally well to the Roricus of *Bjarkamál*, who threw down his treasure in order to appease the anger of his enemy. The mistake, as we have seen, led in some cases to an erroneous belief in the existence of the two Rorics (*Saxo, Lfdrg.*), to the further consequences of which we probably owe all the stories of later Scandinavian tradition (*Hrolfss., Bjr.*, *Saxo*) which relate the throwing of the ring by Roric (Hraerek, Hrok, Rōricus) into the sea: they are merely late inventions to account for the curious surname of "ringslinger," the origin of which was unknown.

Apart from the story of the ring, the later accounts of Roric in Scandinavian literature agree as to the main facts of Hroar's murder at his hands, and the subsequent vengeance taken for that murder (usually by Helgi), but vary in almost every other circumstance of the narrative.

The clue to this tangle of conflicting evidence, says Dr Olrik, is to be found in the oldest records, viz. the
Beowulf and Widsith, which, taken in conjunction with Bjarkamál, alone give the true explanation and the right sequence of events. Certain passages in the O.E. poems (Beo. ll. 1014-1019, 1163-1168, Wids. ll. 45-49*) contain for Dr Olrik a clear reference to an impending catastrophe in the Danish royal house. For the present, peace reigns in Denmark: Hrothgar and Hrothulf rule as joint sovereigns in love and goodwill. But evil days are in store: treachery will part those who were bound by oaths of friendship and ties of blood-fellowship.

For the key to all these dismal prognostications we are referred by Dr Olrik to the Bjarkamál, which relates how Hrolf Kraki overcame and killed Roric (Rôricus). After Hrothgar's death dissension arises between the

* Beowulf, ll. 1014-1019.

Faegere gethaegon
medoeful manig mægas thara
swith-hieegende on sele tham heán
Hrōthgar and Hrōthulf. Heorot innan waes
freóndum ðfylled; nalles fācen-stafas
theod Scyldingas thenden fremedon.

[Hrothgar and Hrothulf the stout-hearted kinsmen took full meetly many a cup of mead in the high hall. Heorot was filled within with friends; by no means did the people of the Scyldings then use treachery.] l. 1163-1168.

tha cwōm Wealhtheó forth
gān under gyldnum beáge, thaer thā gōdan twegian
saeton suhter-gefaederan: tha gyth waes hiera sib aetgaedere,
aeghwylc ōthrum trȳwe: swylce thaer Ūnferth thyle
aet fōtum saet freάn Scyldinga: gehwylc hīora his ferhthe treówde
thaet he haefde mōd mīcel theāh the he his māgum naere
ārfaest aet eca gelâcum.

[Then came forth Wealhtheow to go under a golden circlet, where the two good men, uncle and nephew, sat: as yet there was peace between them, each to the other true. Unferth the "thyle" sat there likewise at the feet of the Lord of the Scyldings: each of them trusted to his heart that he (i.e. Unferth) had a mighty courage, though he might not have been true to his kinsman at the play of swords.]
cousins Hrethric and Hrothulf, and Hrethric is killed by the powerful Hrothulf; for nothing would check more fatally the growth of this young kingdom than internal strife, and Hrothulf would have no alternative but to suppress promptly the first indications of civil unrest by the assertion of his superior power (D. H. D. pp. 14–18, 29–34). Such is Dr Olrik's reconstruction of this passage of Danish history. The invention in late Scandinavian tradition of the story attributing the murder of Hroar to Roric (Hraerek, O.E. Hrethric), who as we see was originally Hroar's (O.E. Hrothgar's) son, he ascribes to a desire in the first instance to exculpate Hrolf (O.E. Hrothulf) from blame, and to make his action in killing Roric appear as a deed of righteous vengeance. The saga then became independent of Hrolf, and the figure of Helgi was added as the most suitable avenger of his brother Hroar (D. H. D. pp. 167–175).

This explanation of the historical significance of the Hrethric of the Beowulf is extremely ingenious, but it rests solely on the evidence of Bjarkamál, which is made to serve as the excuse for reading into the O.E. poems much which is not really there. It is a manifest absurdity to say with Dr Olrik (D. H. D. p. 330) that the tragic climax of the Beowulf is the struggle for the Danish throne between two rival branches of the great Skjoldung family. The most that the O.E. poems can be said actually to contain is a hint of surprise at the friendly relations existing between Hrothgar and his nephew Hrothulf; in the light of events incontrovertibly known to have taken place later than the action of the Beowulf, these references might perhaps be regarded as prophetic utterances. But the difficulty lies in our complete
ignorance of later events; for the sole authority of the Bjarkamál with its one reference (qui natum Bþki Rþricum stravit avari) admittedly corrupt, and conceivably pointing to some person other than the Hrethric of Beowulf, is not conclusive, and is certainly not a sufficient foundation for the airy erection of hypotheses which Dr Olrik has built upon it.

**Hrothgar's Warriors.**

In addition to the members of the Danish royal family, the Beowulf poet mentions by name four of the warriors in Hrothgar's service: Unferth, Wulfgar, Aeschere and Yrmenlaf; but, as it is only in the case of Unferth that the references consist of more than a passing allusion, and as, further, none of these characters are known in any other source, it is impossible to make even a conjecture as to their historical significance.

Aeschere and Yrmenlaf were brothers (l. 1324). The former was a doughty warrior and trusted thegn and counsellor of king Hrothgar (ll. 1323 ff.). He was snatched away by Grendel's mother on the night after Beowulf's first victory, when the monster, burning to avenge the death of her son, made a raid on Heorot (ll. 1281 ff.). The old king was overcome with grief at the loss of his knight and companion in arms (cf. Bugge, *P. B. B*. xii, 65 ff.).

Wulfgar was a prince of the Wendlas (ll. 348) and the herald of the Danish king; Beowulf and his knights were received by him at the gate of Heorot on their arrival (ll. 325 ff.). The Wendlas were perhaps the people of Vendill, which is the most northerly point of Jutland (cf. *supr.* p. 70).
Unferth is described as the "orator" (thyle) of King Hrothgar (l. 1165): his place was at the foot of the throne, and both Hrothgar and Hrothulf relied on his fidelity, although he had apparently betrayed and murdered his own brothers (ll. 587, 1166 ff.). He was a man of a surly and jealous disposition, for on Beowulf's arrival at Heorot he sought to injure his reputation by taunts (ll. 499 ff.): later on, however, he forgot his malice in admiration, and even went the length of lending Beowulf his famous sword Hrunting, when he was arming for his descent into the mere in search of Grendel's mother.

Dr Olrik regards both the character of Unferth and his rôle in Beowulf as having a purely allegorical significance. The connection in which Unferth is mentioned in the poem (l. 1165; cf. supra, p. 99) is a sign (says Dr Olrik) that he was involved in the subsequent feud between the joint sovereigns of Denmark, which is the "tragic climax" of the poem. In fact Unferth may probably be looked upon as himself the promoter of this feud, and in this light his figure may be compared with that of the wicked counsellor Bikki in the Ermanric saga, and other similar characters. His very name is symbolic of the part which he plays in the story, according to Dr Olrik's interpretation—Unferth = unfrith = strife (cf. D. H. D. pp. 25-27).

There is no justification whatever for this fanciful explanation of Unferth's appearance in Beowulf, either in the poem or elsewhere, and it is impossible to attach value to it, the more so as the practice of giving names of abstract significance to real characters is one scarcely known in Scandinavian hero-legend.
The Heathobeardan in Beowulf.

The tribe of the Heathobeardan mentioned in Beowulf cannot be identified with any certainty. Various attempts have been made to prove that they were the Langobards (Grein in Ebert's Jahrbuch, iv, pp. 260–285) or the Heruli (Müllenhoff), but without success.

The story of Frotha and Ingeld as told in Beowulf has already been given: a similar story is contained in the sixth book of Saxo's Danish history, but occurs in quite a different setting (Bk vi, pp. 200–215, ed. Holder), and the same set of events appears in a very much disguised form in Icelandic tradition.

Saxo's account is as follows:—

Frotho IV, King of the Danes, was treacherously slain by Swerting, King of the Saxons, a tributary sovereign who wished to regain his independence. At the same time, Frotho slew Swerting. Frotho was a wise, just and merciful ruler, and was beloved of all men: he was succeeded by Ingellus, who was as vicious as his father was virtuous. He was a glutton and debauchee, and was lost to all sense of honour. Ingellus made peace with the sons of Swerting, his father's murderer, heaped favours on them, and took their sister to wife.

News of Ingellus' shameful deeds reached Starcatherus, an old warrior who had formerly fought for Frotho, and who was at that time sojourning in Sweden. He was stung with anger and grief at the behaviour of the son of his old master, and straightway set off for Denmark in the hope of being able to rouse the sluggish spirit of Ingellus to action. On his arrival Ingellus was away hunting, and insults were heaped on Starcatherus by the
queen, who did not know who he was: but when the king returned and recognised the rough-mannered stranger to be Starcatherus, "he rebuked his wife and charged her roundly to put away her haughty tempers, and to soothe and soften with kind words and gentle offices the man she had reviled." But Starcatherus would have none of her: instead of partaking of the sumptuous banquet which followed, he arose in wrath and denounced Ingellus for his gluttony, and for his unfilial spirit in forgetting to avenge his father, and in allowing Frotho's murderers to occupy the seats of honour at his table.

The severe rebuke of Starcatherus at last kindled a spark in the torpid soul of Ingellus: presently this spark blazed forth into flame, and Ingellus, mindful only of the shameful deed of the sons of Swerting, rushed on them with drawn sword, and with the help of Starcatherus slew them all, thus tardily avenging his father's death (Saxo, pp. 189-215).

It is clear that this story is essentially the same as that told of the Heathobeardan in Beowulf (ll. 2022–2069), but instead of representing Frotho and Ingellus as kings of the Heathobeardan and contemporary with Hrothgar of the Danes, Saxo has represented them as Danish kings thirteen generations later than Hrothgar.

In Langfedgatal, Frode and Ingjald his son also appear as Danish kings, but here their names occur before those of Helgi and Hroar, Ingjald being only one generation earlier than these two.

But a reminiscence of the Heathobeardan, described in the Beowulf as Hrothgar's contemporaries, seems to be preserved in the Hothbroddus, king of Sweden, mentioned by Saxo (p. 51) as the father of Athislus and Hotherus,
and the slayer of Ro. An account of the relations between Hothbroddus and the kings of Denmark has been given earlier in this chapter (p. 73), and the fact that Hothbroddus was defeated and killed, and his kingdom made subject by a Danish king, confirms the evidence as to the fate of the Heathobeardan, which we already possess.

In Hothbroddus, which is apparently the same word as Heathobeard, we have an instance of the personification of a tribe or people as one man. Instances of this process are frequent in ancient times; cf. Hunding(us) (Saxo, p. 51) and the Hundingas (Wids. L, 23), Hading(us) (Saxo, p. 19) and the Hjaðningar (Skáldsk. Ch. 50).

According to O.N. tradition (with the exception of Yngls.) Halfdan was killed by his brother—who appears variously as Froði or Ingjald—and avenged by his sons Hroar and Helgi, who themselves narrowly escaped death at the hands of their uncle* (cf. supr. pp. 63 f.). A narrative similar in detail to that of Hroar and Helgi's revenge as told in Hrolfss., and probably derived from Norse tradition, occurs in the seventh book of Saxo; here it is related of the Danish king, Frotho V, who murdered his brother Harald(us) and was killed in revenge by Harald’s sons, Halfdan (Haldanus) and Harald(us) (Saxo, pp. 217 f.).

With regard to the background or framework of the events forming our story, Old English, Icelandic and

* In Skjólds. (Arngr. Jonss. and Bjr.) Ingjald and Halfdan are brothers the sons of Froði. Halfdan is murdered by Ingjald and avenged by his own sons Hroar and Helgi. According to Arngrim's version however, Halfdan had previously taken vengeance for the murder of his father Froði by the Swedish earl Sverting, by killing Sverting's twelve sons as in Saxo: and Ingjald, at Starkað's instigation, had put away his wife, Sverting's daughter, for a similar reason.
Danish traditions are strikingly at variance with one another. In the O.E. poems, the Danish kings (Scyldings, O.N. Skjöldungar) and the Heathobearadan are represented as two separate dynasties, and the struggle between them appears as a tussle for supremacy between petty kings, before the power of the Danish kingdom was firmly established. In Icelandic tradition, however, the struggle between Halfdan and his sons, on the one side, and Frođi and Ingjald, on the other, has become a blood-feud between two competing branches of the Skjöldung family: there is no longer any question of rival dynasties. Again Danish tradition (Saxo) represents both Frotho and Ingellus as kings of the Danes, and has transferred the whole story to a much later period: the murderer of Frođi is here, as in Arngr. Jonsson's Skjölds., Swerting, who is no longer a Swedish earl, but king of the Saxons, although in both cases a vassal of the Danish sovereign.

In the matter of detail, it is to be noted that different sources choose for elaboration different features of the story. For example, in the O.E. poems, Ingeld's revenge is described, while the occasion of that revenge, viz. the murder of his father Frođi, is only mentioned in passing. But in Icelandic sources, it is Frođi's murder on which emphasis is laid: it was itself a deed of vengeance and nothing is said of the revenge for that revenge except in Arngrim's version of the Skjölds., according to which Hroar was killed by the sons of Ingjald; while the revenge story proper is introduced in a different connection*. Saxo has preserved, as we have seen, accounts both of Frotho's crime and murder

* See note on p. 105.
(pp. 217 ff.), and of Ingellus' revenge* (pp. 199 ff.); probably also that of the final defeat of the Heathobeardan by the Danes (p. 53). But that he had no clear idea of the real significance and interdependence of these incidents is shown by the way in which he has treated them in his history: for they are introduced at altogether different periods, and are tacked on to the persons of kings separated from one another by generations.

Little doubt can be entertained that the strife of the Danes and the Heathobeardan was in reality the outcome of a situation such as is described in Beowulf and Widsith, viz. a bloody struggle between two dynasties of petty kings for political supremacy. The probability seems to be that the Heathobeardan were originally one of the several tribes who occupied lands on the western Baltic: they were, perhaps, in common with the Danes, one of the seven tribes mentioned by Tacitus (cf. sup. p. 62) as united by the cult of the Goddess Nerthus. In the constant struggles which mark the gradual consolidation of every great state, the Danes appear to have gained the upper hand, and to have gradually absorbed various other originally independent peoples, of whom the Heathobeardan were one.

The distinctive name and character of these peoples were in time consequently lost, while the names of some of their former rulers survived; and these names have apparently been incorporated with the genealogies of the

* Here we are told even the name of the eald aescwiga, viz. Starcatherus, and a long description of him is given; in fact Starcatherus (O.N. Starkad) is one of the chief characters of Saxo's history, and of Northern tradition in general.
Danish royal families by later historians, who knew nothing of them, further than that they were the names of kings who had once ruled in Denmark. These historians were thus—as perhaps also in the case of Hiðrvarð—driven to invent a fresh explanation for facts, whose real underlying causes had been meanwhile completely forgotten*.

The account of the feud between the Danes (Scyldungas, O.N. Skjöldungar) and the Heathobeardan resolves itself into a long story of revenge and counter-revenge. The first episode in this story of which we hear anything is the murder of Healfdene (Halfdan) by Froda (Froði) or Ingeld (Ingjald), which is recorded by O.N. authorities; this would be quite in harmony with the course of after events in the *Beowulf*, but in view of the evidence of *Yngls.*, viz. that Halfdan died and was buried at Upsala, it cannot be accepted without reservations† (cf. also pp. 130 ff., 69, 135). The Danes avenged themselves for Healfdene’s death by killing Froda (*Beo*. ll. 2047 ff., Icel. Sagas, Saxo, Bks vi and vii). Apparently a battle took place (*Beo*. ll. 2047 ff.) in which Hrothgar (Hroar) and Halga (Helgi)—or possibly Hrothgar and Hrothulf

* What has happened in the case of Froda and Ingeld appears to have also taken place with regard to Waermund and Offa, who were in reality kings of Angel, but whose names have become incorporated with the genealogies of Danish kings (cf. Ch. iv, on Offa).

† Dr Olrik regards the O.N. evidence as worthless, and prefers to accept the statement of Saxo, Sv. Aag. and other mediaeval Danish chronicles that Halfdan killed his brother and took the kingdom (*D. H. D.* pp. 175 ff.). But this seems to have been in the first instance a corruption, which was then perpetuated by later writers, the more so as Halfdan, in spite of his crime, is reported to have died a peaceful death—a circumstance which causes the chroniclers great astonishment (but cf. *inf*. pp. 130 ff.).
The Danes (Hrolf)—defeated the Heathobeardan (Beo. l. 2051), and in which Froda (Frođi) fell by the hand of Swerting (Saxo, Bk vi, p. 189; Arngr. Jonss., Ch. ix).

At a later date—probably after the lapse of several years (cf. inf. p. 111), and perhaps after another battle in which the Danes inflicted a signal defeat on Ingeld at Heorot (cf. Wids. ll. 45–49, inf. p. 110), Hrothgar (Hroar) gave his daughter Freawaru in marriage to Ingeld (Ingjald) in the hope of bringing the strife to an end. Freawaru took with her as page or aide-de-camp a young nobleman, the son of the warrior who had slain Froda (viz. Swerting)—an arrangement which, under the circumstances, can scarcely have been intended as an insult, and can, therefore, only be characterised as extremely tactless on the part of King Hrothgar. This young Dane actually wore the armour of the murdered Froda, and boasted openly of the Danish victory amongst the Heathobeardan, until at last Ingeld, roused by the exhortations of the old warrior (Starkad), murdered him one night, after which he apparently fled from the country (Beo. l. 2061 f.).

These events, viz. Ingeld’s marriage and the murder of the faemnan thegn, seem to have taken place in Denmark, at or near Heorot, where we may suppose Ingeld to have settled with his bride. Beo. l. 2061 f.* can hardly refer to anyone but Ingeld, and they certainly imply that after killing the young Dane he effected his escape, owing to his knowledge of the country. It would

* Beo. l. 2061 f.

him se ōther thonan
losath lifigende, con him land geare.

[The other got away thence with his life because he knew the country.]
be quite in accordance with the customs of these times for a warrior to settle down amongst his wife's relations rather than to take her back to his own country (cf. Hroar in Hrss., Sigurd in Völss., etc.): indeed the chief object of such marriages was, in many cases, to cement and strengthen the newly formed bond of friendship between those who had previously been enemies.

The course of events after this point is obscure. There is clear indication (Beo. ll. 82 ff.) that Ingeld returned subsequently to Heorot with an army, and succeeded in burning down the hall. This battle may have had fatal consequences for the Danes, and it is quite possible that Hrothgar himself was killed*. Many scholars believe, however, that this engagement is identical with the one mentioned in Wids. ll. 45-49, and that the passage does not point to events which took place before Ingeld's marriage. In that case the result would be just the reverse—a Heathobeardan instead of a Danish defeat.

The chief difficulty of any attempt to reconstruct the course of the Heathobeardan struggle is the question of chronology, and especially the point in the story to which the Widsith passage (ll. 45-49) should be assigned. With regard to the actual date of any of the events mentioned, we have extremely little to go upon. Beowulf's visit to king Hrothgar probably took place about the year 500 A.D., or possibly a little earlier (cf. Ch. 1). At that time Hrothgar was an old man and had reigned for a long time (cf. Beo. ll. 357, 608, 1769, &c.). The story of Ingeld's marriage and revenge, which Beowulf tells

* Cf. Saxo, ii, p. 52, according to which Ro (Hrothgar) was slain by Hothbroddus, cf. Arngr. Jonss.'s epitome of Skjölds. Ch. xii, according to which Hroar was killed by the sons of Ingjald.
Hygelac on his return to Geatland, must be regarded as a prophecy of future events, for when Beowulf was in Denmark, Freawaru's presence still gladdened the guests in her father's hall (Beo. ll. 2022 ff.). There is a chronological objection to the most usual interpretation of the episode referred to in Wids. ll. 45-49, viz. that it took place after the murder of Freawaru's thegn, and is identical with the battle hinted at in Beo. ll. 82 ff., and, therefore, the last incident in the struggle of which the O.E. poems show any knowledge. For after this battle, Hrothgar and Hrothulf are said to have ruled together "for a very long time*," and we have seen that Hrothgar was already an old man before Freawaru's marriage. The assumption that the Widsith battle took place very shortly before Ingeld's marriage to Freawaru is, of course, open to the same objection though in a lesser degree. On the other hand, it is possible that the lægest of Wids. need not be interpreted as meaning more than a few years, and again, as the quarrel between Danes and Heathobeardan seems to have continued intermittently for more than a generation, this great Danish victory may have taken place on some occasion other than the two which we have mentioned as possible. In the present state of the evidence there is no means of coming to a satisfactory conclusion on this point.

If we assume, however, that the Heathobeardan defeat of Wids. ll. 45-49, Ingeld's marriage to Freawaru, and the events subsequent to it, took place after Beowulf's

* Beo. ll. 1163 ff.

Thaer thâ gôdan twegen
saeton suhter-gefaederan: thâ gyf waes hiera sib aetgaedere
aeghwyle òthrum trýwe.
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visit to Denmark, we may assign as their approximate date the very beginning of the sixth century*: the next question is the date of Froda’s death and the interval which elapsed between that and Ingeld’s revenge.

Taking as a starting-point the marriage of Ingeld and Freawaru, we see that Ingeld and Hrothulf were of the same generation, and consequently also Froda and Hrothgar. Thus Froda was a generation later, and probably a much younger man than Healfdene. This makes us inclined to doubt the Icelandic tradition, according to which Hroar (Hrothgar) and Helgi (Halga) executed revenge on Frodi (Froda) while they were still boys. Probably this story was an invention made by Icelandic “sagamen” to balance matters, at the same time that Frodi (Froda) was moved up a generation and represented as the brother of Halfdan (Healfdene). Again it is highly probable that a considerable period—probably fifteen or twenty years—lay between Froda’s death and Ingeld’s revenge; for the admonitions of the eald aescwiga Starkad only have point if they can be taken as referring to an event, the memory of which, though still an open sore in the mind of the old man, was scarcely even a scar in that of the young. If Hrothgar and Halga executed vengeance on Froda (in a battle as has been suggested, cf. p. 108), the death of the last mentioned probably took place when Hrothulf and Ingeld were still children, and hostilities between the two tribes would in that case be suspended for some years, until Ingeld

* The presence of Starkad in the story prevents us from putting it at any later date, for, as we shall see (cf. inf. p. 117), Starkad who was an old warrior at this time is represented as serving in his youth kings who flourished during the first half of the fifth century.
was grown up. But according to the Grottas. (cf. p. 75), Hrolf (Hrothulf) was the avenger of Healfdene. If Hrothgar (Hroar) with Hrothulf (Hrolf) instead of Halga (Helgi) killed Froði, then Ingeld (Ingjald) would already be a grown man, and very little time would elapse between his father's murder and his own marriage and revenge. But this second possibility is, from every point of view, less likely than the first*, and chiefly because it is only if we can suppose a considerable time to have elapsed between Froda's murder and Ingeld's revenge that the rôle of Starkaðr receives its full poetical significance.

Note.—At this point ends the evidence of the Old English poems: indeed with Hroar's death we have already gone beyond them. But to judge from the Scandinavian evidence which we possess, the Heatho-beardan struggle seems to have continued into the next generation, for we hear that Agnar, Ingjald's (Ingeld's) son, was slain by Bǫðvar-Bjarki, the warrior of Hrolf Kraki (cf. Bjarkamál in Saxo, II, 64; Saxo, p. 66; Hrolfss. p. 104; Bjarkarímur, VII). In some sources we find for the second time the story of a wedding with a Danish princess, here told of Agnar: strife breaks out, this time at the wedding ceremony, resulting in the death of the bridegroom by the sword of Bǫðvar-Bjarki, who is rewarded for his prowess by receiving the hand of the lady. This story of a wedding disturbed by strife is combined by Scandinavian writers with another already existing motif, viz. the custom of bone-throwing at feasts. The explanation of this is probably that here, as in many other instances, the true significance of the events, viz. the

* Especially as the reading of Grottas. is uncertain.
struggle between Danes and Heathobeardan, had been forgotten, and it was therefore necessary to invent a new motivation for the story. The whole introduction of the wedding in connection with Agnar is probably due to confusion with his father Ingeld, for after Ingeld’s marriage and its results it was extremely unlikely that Agnar would be on such terms with Hrolf as to make a similar experiment.

**The Identity of Froda the Heathobeard.**

Northern tradition knows many Frodis (Froda), in fact there is no more common name in the genealogies of the Danish royal family. We saw (p. 75) that the Grottas. (which is the earliest Scandinavian work dealing with these characters) has confused the Froði (Froda) who is said to have killed Halfdan (Healfdene) with Fríð-Froði the peace-king, who is represented as living at the beginning of the Christian era, and whose reign symbolised the Golden Age of Denmark. But the figure of this peace-king has undergone duplication in Scandinavian tradition; besides the Fríð-Froði of the gold-mill (Grottas.), the genealogies have a much later Froði surnamed “the Peaceful” (hin fríðsami). It is to this later Froði that Saxo attributes the peace (Frotho III of the great Frotho biography, pp. 121–171), while he represents the Froði of the gold-mill as a viking king (Frotho I; cf. Saxo, pp. 38–57). The story of Ingellus’ (Ingeld’s) revenge for his father is told by Saxo of neither of these, but of yet another Froði (Frotho IV*, pp. 182–189).

* Frotho IV is surnamed by Saxo largus; by Sven Aagesen the same man is called frithgothae largus and appears as the peace-king; so that here also there are reminiscences of the confusion between Fríð-Froði and Froði (Froda) the murderer of Halfdan (Healfdene).
Now, everything that we hear of the Heathoboard Froda in the O.E. poems points to his having been a viking or sea-king, and in Widsith (l. 47) the Heathoboardan army is directly called wicinga cyn. Neckel (Z. f. d. A. Vol. XLVIII, p. 184) indeed points out that Froda (Froði) has become the centre of a group of viking sagas quite apart from his significance as the father of Ingeld. This viking king Froði, surnamed "the Bold" (hin frǫkni) and corresponding in character to the Froda of the O.E. poems, has in Saxo replaced Fríð-Froði of the gold-mill (cf. Saxo, Bk II) as the characteristic of Fríð-Froði has in the meantime been transferred to a later king, Frotho III; thus we find in Scand. tradition only the most thorough-going confusion regarding the identity of Froda the Heathoboard. The one thing certain is that he can have had originally nothing to do with the peace-king, i.e. Fríð-Froði. Neckel (Z. f. d. A. Vol. XLVIII, p. 185) believes that the confusion first arose from the surname hin friðsami having been added to Fríð-Froði in order to distinguish this king from the viking Froði hin frǫkni. The double epithet, viz. Fríð-Froði hin friðsami, thus led to a duplication of the figure of the peace-king*, in addition to the already existing Froði hin frǫkni! Then came the further confusion in character between the viking king and the peace-king, which is not so incomprehensible as it appears at first sight. For Saxo's conception of the peace-king is that of a great legislator:

* Dr Olrik shows not only that the character of the same peace-king occurs twice in the genealogies, but that out of the long Danish genealogies of later date can be separated two parallel and partly corresponding groups, typical of the Heroic and the Viking Age of Denmark respectively (cf. Olrik, D. H. D. pp. 316–320).
the viking Froði (Froda) also gave his warriors laws: and not only has the viking king taken the place of the early Frid-Froði in Saxo’s history, but some of his laws have even been transferred to Saxo’s Frotho III (cf. Neckel, Z. f. d. A. Vol. XLVIII, p. 185).

It has been suggested that the Heathobead Froda may be identical with the Froði of Yngls. Ch. 27, who is described as a king of Denmark, and who fights against and kills King Óttar of Sweden. In support of this suggestion, it may be said that these two kings of the same name must have been more or less contemporaries, that they both seem to have been sea-kings, and that we do not know of any other Froði (Froda) living at this time. There is unfortunately, however, not sufficient evidence on which to base a theory of their identity.

**Sigehere and Alevih.**

In addition to the passage dealing with Hrothgar and Hrothulf, the Widsith contains two scanty references to early Danish kings, viz. l. 28*: “Sigehere reigned for a very long time over the Sea-Danes,” and ll. 35 ff.†: “Alevih ruled over the Danes: he was the bravest of all these men (i.e. of the kings named), but he did not exceed Offa in valour.”

A recent attempt to supply an historical background for the figures of Sigehere and Alevih has been made by Mr H. M. Chadwick in his book, The Origin of the English

---

* l. 28, Sigehere lengest Sae-Denum weold.
† ll. 35 ff.

Offa weold Ongle, Alevih Denum:
se waes thara manna modgast ealra:
oho hwaethre he ofer Offan eorlscype fremede.
THE DANES

*Nation* (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1907), Ch. iv, p. 146, note on the Early Kings of the Danes. Sigehere is identified as usual with the Sigarus of Saxo, Bk vii: Mr Chadwick, however, by an interesting chain of evidence, shows that Sigehere in all probability ruled over the Danes previous to the middle of the fifth century, and consequently before Halfdan and his sons, whereas in Saxo he is introduced at a very much later period.

The following are the main lines of Mr Chadwick’s proof:—

Sigarus’ chief exploit was the slaying of Hagbárð, the lover of his daughter Signy (Saxo, O.N. poetry): this Hagbárð had a brother Háki, a sea-king who, according to *Yngls*. Ch. 23, fought against Jǫrund, king of Sweden. In the genealogy of *Yngls.*, Jǫrund occurs four generations earlier than Adils, the contemporary of Hrolf Kraki, and although some of the intervening kings are certainly mythical, there can be at least little doubt that Jǫrund lived before and not after Adils. The story of Starkað points towards a similar conclusion, for this warrior as quite an old man (*Beo*. ll. 2041 ff.) is associated with Ingeld, while he says that he served King Haki in his earliest youth (Saxo, p. 214); and it is evident from the *Beowulf* and *Widsith* that Ingeld was a contemporary of Hrothgar and Hrothulf.

A further clue to the probable date of Sigehere’s reign is that Sigar (Sigehere), Haki, and Hagbárð are intimately connected with Sigmund the Volsung and his family in Northern poems and sagas; the allusions which associate them with one another occur too often, and are too definite, to be considered the result of an accident. Sigmund and his family have usually been regarded as
fictitious, but there is nothing to show that the character of Sigmund was not historical: he is certainly brought into close relations with the historical Gunnar (Gundicarius, O.E. Guthere, mhg. Gunthhere) king of the Burgundians, who reigned between 400 and 437 A.D., a date which would correspond almost exactly to that which we have obtained from Sigehere from quite independent evidence.

The conclusion that Mr Chadwick comes to about Sigehere is best given in his own words:—"Now if Sigarr occupied the same throne as Hrothgar and Hrodwulf, as Saxo’s account clearly indicates, it is plain from all that has been said that he must have been a predecessor of these kings. Further, since we have no valid reason for doubting that Healfdene was immediately succeeded by his sons, we may infer with the greatest probability that Sigarr preceded him also. On the other hand, if we are to believe Saxo’s statement (p. 237) that Starkathr had come into contact with Sigarr, we shall have to suppose that this king lived until about the middle of the sixth century."

Mr Chadwick makes a further attempt to identify Alevih—whose name is associated with that of Offa in Widsith (ll. 35 ff.)—with Dan, who follows Offa in almost all Scandinavian genealogies* (cf. Sv. Aag., Saxo, Langfæg., Flateyj.†). This identification turns largely, however, on the exact translation of the lines in Widsith (ll. 35 ff.), where the names of Alevih and Offa occur side by side, and it is very doubtful whether there is enough evidence

* A knowledge of the contents of Ch. iv on Offa will help to make clear the line of argument in this section.
† Ed. C. R. Unger, Christiania, 1868, vol. i, p. 27.
to justify its acceptance, as the passage in question is exceedingly obscure.

Mr Chadwick cites the genealogies mentioned above, in all of which Dan follows the king called variously Olaf or Uffo (= O.E. Offa) but is not said to have been his son. In a second genealogy from Flateyjarbók (Flat. B.) Dan’s name is omitted, and instead of Olaf the son of Vermund we find Olof the daughter of Vermundus: the mother of Froði the Peaceful. Some explanation of this entry is afforded by Arngrim Jonsson’s epitome of the lost Skjöldunga saga, Chs. 4–7, where it is said that Danus married Olufa, the daughter of Vermundus: the original tradition may have been that Dan was the brother-in-law and successor of Olaf (Uffo, Offa). Arngrim relates further that Dan at the beginning of his reign ruled only over Jutland, but that he later conquered Åleifus, king of Selandia, and ruled over the whole of Denmark, to which he gave its present name. Arngrim does not say who this Åleifus was, but the name is obviously identical with Olaf. This being so there may be some ground for the identification of Alevih with Dan, and the very obscure passage in Widsith may contain a reference to the same event as that recorded in Skjölds. Ch. 4, viz. the conquest of Offa by Alevih. A fact which suggests the connection of Alevih with the royal family of Angel, quite independently of the above considerations, is that the name occurs at a much later date in the Mercian royal family which claimed descent from the Kings of Angel: the nephew of Penda is called Alwih (Alewih): this would be explained by the supposition of Alewih’s marriage with the sister of Olaf.

A difficulty in accepting Dan as an historical character
is his position as eponymous and hence mythical ancestor of the Danish people in Skjold's, and elsewhere. But it is quite possible that the name Dane may only have come into use about this time, as there is no early evidence for its existence (cf. p. 62), and it is perhaps possible "that it was originally a local name, perhaps that of the place from which Alevih's family were sprung."

If, however, we accept Mr Chadwick's suggestions as to the reigns of Sigehere and Alevih, we undoubtedly obtain results which add substantially to our knowledge of early Danish history. If Alevih be a contemporary of Offa, whose reign we are in a position to ascribe to the latter part of the fourth century (cf. inf. Ch. iv, on Offa), he may have lived well into the fifth century, for according to Yngls. Ch. 25, Dan lived to be an old man, although perhaps we cannot lay too much stress on this statement*. There can be but a short interval between him and Sigehere, who is said (Wids. l. 28) to have reigned for a long time. Again the death of Sigehere must bring us nearly up to the reigns of Healfdene and his sons Halga and Hrothgar. We know from the Beowulf that Hrothgar was contemporary with Hygelac, king of the Götar, who was killed between 512 and 520 on a marauding expedition against the Franks, and previous to this expedition Hrothgar is spoken of as having reigned for a long time hund missera (cf. Beo. l. 1769). Hrothgar was apparently succeeded on the Danish throne by his nephew Hrothulf (Hrolf Kraki), who reigned for some time. Hence if it is found possible to accept this reconstruction of early Danish history, we shall have succeeded in bridging over a period of about a hundred and fifty years, from the end of the fourth to

the middle of the sixth century, the point at which all relations between England and the Scandinavian countries seem to have ceased, and for two hundred years after which Danish history is a blank.

Heremod.

Although Heremod cannot be identified with any known Danish king, there are no adequate grounds for denying his existence as an historical character. Heremod is alluded to in Beowulf on two separate occasions (ll. 901–915, 1709–1722), and the facts which emerge concerning him are shortly the following:—Heremod was king of the Scyldings—i.e. presumably the Danes; he had been at one time a great man, but subsequently there was a falling off in his strength and courage (ll. 901 f.), and his pride and cruelty were notorious. He killed even his own warriors, and became a source of great wretchedness to his people. He was betrayed into the hands of his enemies and expelled from his kingdom, to the sorrow of many who had hoped to see in him a deliverer, but had been disappointed. Subsequently his existence was a joyless and solitary one.

In the first of the two Beowulf passages (ll. 901–915), the fact that Heremod is brought into direct connection with Sigmund (ll. 875–900 describe Sigmund’s fight with the dragon) is significant as suggesting that Heremod is the person mentioned in Hyndluljóð*, v. 2. There it is said that Odin gave Heremod a helm and coat of mail, and Sigmund a sword.

* Cf. supr. p. 16.
In *Etríksmál* (ll. 15, 28), Sigmund and Sinfgotli are represented as welcoming Eirik at the gates of Valhall: in *Hákonarmál* (l. 38), which is more or less a copy of *Etríksmál*, the place of Sigmund and Sinfgotli is taken by Hermod and Bragi.

**SCYLD SCEFING.**

Note.—This section on Scyld Scæfing is entirely based on, and scarcely professes to be more than an abstract of, Mr Chadwick's most interesting chapter on "King Aethelwulf's Mythical Ancestors" in his recent book, *The Origin of the English Nation*. As the present work professes to deal only with the historical aspect of the traditions underlying the O.E. poems, the subject of Scyld lies, strictly speaking, outside its scope. It is to be hoped that all who are interested in the customs and rites, and in the wonderfully realistic mythology, of our primitive ancestors, will read Mr Chadwick's book for themselves. Of great interest is also Dr Olrik's chapter on *Skjöld*, which presents an altogether new view of the story (*D. H. D.* pp. 223–277).

In *Beowulf*, the genealogy of the Danish royal family is traced back to the eponymous ancestor of the Scyldings, Scyld Scæfing. The first fytte of the poem contains a panegyric on the reign of Scyld, and an account of the strange manner of his burial. "Lo! we have heard of the glory of the Spear Danes' warrior kings in days of yore—how the princes did valorous deeds! Often Scyld Scæfing took mead-benches away from troops of foes, from many tribes. The noble in-

spired awe from the time that he was first found helpless*: for that he met with consolation, increased under the heavens and thrived in honours, until each one of those who sojourned near, across the whale's road, had to serve him and pay him tribute. A noble king was he!"

(The next fourteen lines describe the reign of Scyld's son, Beowulf.)

"Then, at the fated hour, Scyld, full of exploits, departed to go into the keeping of the Lord: and they, his fast friends, carried him to the water's edge, as he himself had asked when he, protector of the Scyldings, governed by his behests;—when, dear ruler of his country, he had long held sway. There, at the landing-place, the ring-prowed vessel stood: the prince's ship, sheeny and eager to start. They laid then the beloved chieftain, giver-out of rings, on the ship's bosom—the glorious hero by the mast. There were brought many treasures, ornaments from far-off lands. Never have I known a keel more fairly fitted out with war-weapons and battle-trappings, swords and coats of mail. Upon his breast lay many treasures which were to travel far with him, into the power of the flood. Certainly they furnished him with no less of gifts, of tribal-treasures, than those did who, in his early days, started him over the sea alone, child as he was. Moreover, they set besides a golden banner high above his head, and let the flood bear him—gave him to the sea. Their soul was sad, their spirit sorrowful. Who received that load, men, chiefs of councils, heroes

* A better reading is with Sievers, *egsode eorlas*, with comma after *ofteah*. Translation then runs, "Often Scyld Scæfing took mead-benches away from troops of foes, from many tribes; he spread terror among the warriors from the time that he was first found helpless."
under heaven, cannot for certain tell*” (ll. 1–11, 26–52).

The first part of the story—concerning the mysterious coming of Scyld—is only hinted at in Beowulf, viz. ll. 43–45, which have just been quoted. It is more fully preserved in the chronicles of Aethelweard and William of Malmesbury, in both of which, however, the story is told not of Scyld, but of Sceaf.

Aethelweard’s account is as follows:—

“This Scef came to land in a cutter on an island in the Ocean which is called Scani: he was surrounded by weapons, and was a very young child and was unknown to the inhabitants of that land: nevertheless he was taken up by them, and they watched over him with great care as over one of their own kin, and later on they chose him as their king†.”

According to Malmesbury the story runs thus:—

“He (i.e. Sceaf), as they say, was brought as a young child in a boat without oars to Scandza, a certain island of Germania, which is spoken of by Jordanes the historian of the Goths. He was asleep, and a sheaf of corn was placed at his head: because of this he was given the name of Sceaf (O.E. sceaf = sheaf), and he was received as a marvel by the dwellers in that region and carefully nurtured; when he reached man’s estate, he reigned in the town which was then called Slaswic, but now

† Aethelweard’s Chronicle, Bk iii, Ch. 3: Ipse Scef cum uno dromone adventus est in insula Oceani quae dicitur Scani armis circumdatus, eratque ulde recens puer et ab incolis illius terrae ignotus; attamen ab eis suscipitur, et ut familiarem diligentium animo eum custodierunt et post n regem eligunt.
Haithebi. Now that district is called Old Anglia, and is situated between the Saxons and the Goths; the Angli came thence to Britain*.

Neither of these accounts makes any reference to the latter half of the story which is given in the Beowulf passage (ll. 28–52).

The story of Sceaf occurs only in the two chronicles just quoted, but the genealogy is given also in the A.S. Chronicle, although owing to a scribal error the name of Sceaf has dropped out of the Parker MS. (A.)†. In the A.S. Chronicle Sceaf is represented as the son of Noah, and his is the first of the non-Biblical names. In William of Malmesbury and Aethelweard his name occurs nine generations above Wodan, and ends Aethelweard’s genealogy‡.


† Another possible explanation of this circumstance is suggested by Prof. A. S. Napier, viz. that when the Parker MS. was written, Sceaf had very possibly not yet been invented.

‡ Subjoined are the portions in question of these genealogies:—

Taetwa Beawing Tetius Beo.
Beaw Sceldwaing Beowius Scyld.
Sceldwa Heremoding Sceldius Scf.
Heremod Itermoning Sceaf Heremodius
Itermon H(r)athraing Stemonius
Hathra Hwalaing Hadra 
Hwala Bedwiging Gwala
Bedwig Sceafing id ed filius Noe Bedwegius Strephius.
According to Beowulf, the first kings of Denmark were Scyld Scefing and his son Beowulf; the appearance of the forms Beo and Beowius in Aethelweard and Malmesbury respectively suggest that Beaw may be not a true West Saxon form, but due to dialectic peculiarity or scribal error, in which case it may correspond to the Danish king Beowulf of the poem. In the Beowulf the Danish king Beowulf is described as a popular and open-handed monarch: the only information which we possess about the Beo or Beaw of the O.E. genealogies is supplied by the Plantagenet Roll in the Library of Trinity College, Cambridge, in which the descent of Henry VI is traced back to Adam. This genealogy shows several affinities with the forms used by Malmesbury, and in it the son of Sceldius appears as Boerinus which is apparently a corruption of Malmesbury's Beowius. To this Boerinus are ascribed nine sons, from whom according to a note "are descended nine nations which inhabited the North, and which once upon a time invaded and acquired the kingdom of Britain*." It is probable that the introduction of these nine sons is due to the influence of Scandinavian tradition, for in Flateyj. i, 25, and Skáldsk. Ch. 64, we find a parallel instance in the nine sons attributed to Halfdan the Old†. It is to be noted further that the Plantagenet Roll contains the only information given by any of the genealogies about Sceldwea or Scyld in a note which says: "This Sceldius was the first inhabitant of

* Ab istis novem filiis Boerini descenderunt novem gentes septentrionalis inhabitantes qui quondam regnum Britanniae inverterunt et optinerunt, viz. Saxones, Angli, Iuttii, Daci, Norwagenses, Gothi, Wandali, Geati et Frisi.
† Cf. also Hyndl. v, 14-16.
Germany*." The names of Sceaf and Beowulf do not occur in any Scandinavian genealogy. In most cases Skjöld is represented as the son of Odin, but according to Saxo he is the son of Lotherus†.

We have already seen that the story which is told of Sceaf in the chronicles of Aethelweard and William of Malmesbury is undoubtedly part of the same tradition as the account given in Beowulf (ll. 26–52) of Scyld Scæfing. We have, therefore, to seek an explanation of why the story should be told in the one case of Scyld and in the other of Sceaf.

It is impossible to suppose that the incident was originally told of Sceaf, and afterwards transferred to his son Scyld. For over and above the non-appearance of Sceaf in Scandinavian genealogies, and the note in the Plantagenet Roll in which it is said that Sceldius was the first inhabitant of Germany, we have the authority of the Beowulf which dates from several centuries earlier than Aethelweard and Malmesbury, and the terms in which the child Scyld is there described imply that his parentage was quite unknown. It is, therefore, impossible to regard the epithet Scæfing as a patronymic, it must rather be taken to mean "child of the sheaf," and the expression is satisfactorily explained by William of Malmesbury's version of the story, according to which the child Sceaf came to the island of Scandza in a boat with a sheaf of corn lying at his head. It is easy to understand how, later on,

* Iste Sceldius primus inhabitator Germaniae. The same note occurs in a Paris MS. and is quoted by Kemble in the Preface to the Introduction to his translation of the Beowulf.
† Cf. List of Genealogies in App. ii. Other important Scand. genealogies to which reference should be made are those of Sv. Aag. Skáldsk. and Lfðg.
Scéfing was mistaken for a patronymic in consequence of which the whole story was transferred to Sceaf the supposed father of Scyld, as it was manifestly absurd that the mysterious coming and departure of the unknown ruler should be ascribed to any but the founder of a race or dynasty.

Dr Olrik opposes this explanation of Scéfing on the ground that the sheaf only appears in a very late version of the story (Wm of Malmesbury) at an age when heroic traditions were dying out in England. Malmesbury's frumenti manipulus is, according to him, merely a foundling motif introduced to account for the name of the hero, and the Scéfing of the Beowulf is a patronymic derived from Sceafa, king of the Langobards (cf. Wids. 32), whose presence in connection with Scyld is due to the English love of framing long genealogies!

But the introduction of the Langobard king into the story of Scyld is purely fanciful, and it is further most unlikely that the names Sceafa and Sceaf are the same*. Mr Chadwick has showed by a most interesting chain of evidence that the sheaf was not only an original element in the story of Scyld, but also that it was apparently a religious symbol among the heathen English by whom it was probably regarded as the manifestation of the corn deity (cf. Chadwick, op. cit. pp. 277–281).

There is no doubt that the O.E. Scyld, father and eponymous ancestor of the Scyldungas, corresponds to the Scandinavian Skjöld, father and eponymous ancestor of the Skjöldungar, although the characteristic facts related of Scyld in the O.E. poem are not recorded in Northern tradition. Skjöld is said in Yngls. to have been the

husband of Gefion the plough goddess, and this would explain his association with the sheaf which was the symbol of agriculture.

The origin of the Scyld legend is very obscure, but all the available evidence goes to show that Scyld-Skjøld was not an historical but a mythical character, probably first invented to account for the existence of his descendants the Scyldungas-Skjøldungar. The word Skjøldungar (Scyldungas) may be taken to mean "people of the Shield." Dr Olrik regards the Skjøldungar as specifically the warrior class of the Danish nation, and Skjøld as the personification of the warlike qualities of that class \( (D.H.D. \text{ pp. 271 ff.}) \). Mr Chadwick tends to believe, on the other hand, that the name Scyldungas-Skjøldungar implies the particular use of a shield by the people in question, perhaps in connection with a religious rite. He thinks that a sheaf and a shield together may have possibly been in the first instance the symbol of some deity, from which developed later the idea of the personification of Skjøld.

The presence of the sea in the Scyld story is difficult to account for: it is possible that it is due to the influence of a similar story told in the A.S. Runic poem about Ing, who is the mythical ancestor of the Ingvaeones, one of the groups of tribes into which Tacitus classifies the Germani \( (\text{Tac. Germ. Ch. 2}) \). The name Ingwine occurs frequently in Beowulf in such expressions as eodor Ingwine \( (\text{l. 1044}) \), frean Ingwine \( (\text{l. 1319}) \), &c., and is apparently always synonymous with Scyldungas. It seems on the whole probable that Scyld (Skjøld) was the successor of Ing as the putative ancestor and eponym of the Danish kings,
and that he has taken over some characteristics which originally belonged to his predecessor.

There still remain for discussion Healfdene and Beowulf, who were, according to the *Beowulf*, the father and grandfather of Hrothgar respectively.

**Healfdene.**

Although little is said of Healfdene in the *Beowulf* there can be no doubt that he was an historical character, as the fact of his existence receives abundant testimony from Northern tradition. According to O.N. saga literature Halfdan (Healfdene) is commonly supposed to have fallen a prey to the jealousy of his brother Froði. But in *Yngls.* Ch. 25 we find the statements that Halfdan deposed the Swedish king Aun, reigned in Upsala in his stead, and died and was buried there. As these two accounts are clearly incompatible with each other the only alternative to rejecting one of them is the existence of two Halfdans, both kings of Denmark and both more or less contemporary with each other. In Arngrim Jonsson’s extract from *Skjöldungasaga* we do find two Halfdans (Halfdanus), but the first is merely a name, and it is clear from a comparison with other sources that this part of the genealogy has been duplicated (either by Arngrim or) by the author of the *Skjöldungasaga*. The second Halfdan (Halfdanus, of *Skjöldungasaga* corresponds in position to the Healfdene of the O.E. poems: his father is Froði (Frodo) who conquers the Swedish king Jǫrund (Jorundus) and exacts tribute from the country (*vid. sup.* p. 77): Froði also takes as a prisoner of war Jǫrund’s daughter, who becomes the mother of Halfdan (Arngr. Jonss. *Skjölds.*
Ch. 9). These circumstances of his father's conquest and his own birth might well be thought by Halfdan, when he was grown up, to constitute a claim to the Swedish throne.

Saxo offers us in his Danish history a choice of four Halfdans: of these, the first, who is the father of Roe (Hrothgar) and Helgo (Halga), is only a lay figure and need not be further considered, as his personality is probably to be looked for in one of the late kings of the same name. Now of these three Halfdans, two are obviously the same (cf. Saxo, Bk vii, pp. 216–224, 241–247): they are brought into contact with the same characters, perform the same exploits (for which one gains the name of "Biargramm"), both are said to have been victorious over the Swedes, while the first actually became king of Sweden. It is said of the first that he died childless, while the second fell in battle.

The remaining Halfdan of Saxo is represented as the son of Eric Málsparki, the brother-in-law of Frotho III, the peace-king, and as a king of Sweden (cf. Saxo, Bk vi, pp. 173, 189), but in this capacity no further information is given about him.

The double figure of Halfdan Biargramm occurs in Saxo very much later than do Ro and Hrolf Kraki, but Saxo's chronology is thoroughly untrustworthy, as we have already seen more than once. According to Arngr. Jonsson's Skjólds. Ch. 10, Halfdan's wife and the mother of Hroar and Helgi (Hrothgar and Halga) was Sigrid (Sigrida) (cf. p. 78). In Saxo, Bk vii, just as there are two Halfdans so are there two Sigrids (Syritha), also apparently the duplication of one character. Both are near relations of king Sigar (Sigehere) and Halfdan is brought
into intimate connection with the first, for although he is not said to have married her himself, he interposed to prevent her marriage with a low-born suitor during the actual course of the wedding ceremony*. This association in Saxo of Halfdan Biargram (Haldanus Biargrammus) and Sigrid (Syritha) taken with the statement of Skjølds. Ch. 10, that Halfdan married Sigrid, and the date which we have already found for Sigar (Sigehere) and his family (cf. p. 118), suggests that the whole incident has been transferred by Saxo to a date much later than that at which it really took place. In that case Halfdan (Halfdanus) of Skjølds. Ch. 10, the father of Ro (Roas) and Helgi (Helgo), is identical with Halfdan Biargramm (Haldanus Biargrammus) of Saxo, Bk VII, and in the latter we find the real personality of the Halfdan of Bk II (Haldanus, father of Roe and Helgo), who, as we have seen, is little more than a name. Halfdan Biargramm's (Haldanus Biargrammus') relations with Sweden are further just what we should expect from the account of Halfdan's origin contained in Skjølds. (Ch. 9); and both authorities agree so well with the narrative of Yngls. Ch. 25† that it can scarcely be doubted that the Halfdan there referred to is the same person, viz. the father of Ro and Helgi‡. Thus the conclusion which we have reached is that at the time with which we are

* It is worth noting that Halfdan Biargramm II who married Guritha (this form may well be a corruption of Syritha), the grand-daughter of Sigar(us) (Saxo, pp. 245 ff.), only gained his bride by entering and killing a rival suitor during the marriage feast.
† See p. 69.
‡ Although it is not actually stated, this is the natural inference from Yngls. 29, where Helgi is said to be the son of Halfdan, as the Halfdan of ib. 25 is the only king of that name previously mentioned.
dealing there was only one king Halfdan reigning in Denmark, who was the father of Hroar (Ro) and Helgi, and who, therefore, corresponds to the Healfdene of Beowulf; the father of Hrothgar and Halga; it is very possible that the Halfdan (Haldanus) represented by Saxo as king of Sweden may be merely another aspect of the same character. We are, therefore, confronted by the obscure if not insoluble question of the conflicting evidence regarding this king, especially the manner of his death; to this we shall have to return later.

If the claim of a Danish king to the throne of Sweden, and the temporary realisation of this claim during Halfdan's reign, can be accepted as facts, we at once obtain results of historical value, for an explanation is offered of the mysterious relations of the Danish kings with Upsala during the period which we are considering (cf. sup. p. 89). If Helgi and Hrolf Kraki claimed the throne or, as is perhaps more likely—the over-lordship of Sweden, by right of descent from a king who had conquered the Swedes, viz. Froði (Skjölds. Ch. 9), or Halfdan (Yngls. Ch. 25), we need seek no further for the motive of the various expeditions of these Danish kings to Upsala and the covertly hostile attitude of Æðils towards them: their incentive would be all the stronger if Halfdan's body lay buried at Upsala, which was a possibility (Yngls. Ch. 25). From this point of view the marriage of Halfdan's (Healfdene's) daughter to Áli (Onela), a king of the conquered country, acquires political significance; such marriages of a conquered king with the daughter of the conqueror were common (cf. Ingeld and Freawaru), and in this way Halfdan (Healfdene) would hope both to bring hostilities to an end and to confirm his suzerainty over the vanquished nation.
According to the *Beowulf*, the father of Healfdene was named Beowulf. This person as we saw (p. 126) is perhaps identical with the Beowius of Malmesbury and the Boerinus of the Plantagenet Roll. According to the practically unanimous testimony of Scandinavian tradition the father of Halfdan was Frodi*, and where obvious repetition or confusion with Frodi the father of Ingjald (Ingeld) has not taken place, this Frodi usually represents the second of the peace-kings, *hin friðsami*. As the two peace-kings were originally without doubt one and the same person† it is possible that Halfdan's father was in reality the king known in Northern tradition as Frið-Froði, who owed his name to the peace and prosperity which marked his reign. In any case the father of Halfdan certainly must have been an entirely different personality from Frodi (O.E. Froda) the Heathobeard, the father of Ingjald (O.E. Ingeld) and a viking king (*hin frókn*).

Common to all Old Icelandic literature is a tradition that a certain King Froði was guilty of an exceptionally dastardly crime—probably fratricide—and it is difficult to believe that a belief so widespread had not any foundation in fact. In *Grottas* this crime is attributed to Frið-Froði, which is usually considered to be due to

* It is, however, to be observed that the nine sons attributed to Halfdan the Old in *Skáldsk*. Ch. 64, and *Flateyj*. 1, 25, all of whom were founders of famous dynasties, form a curious parallel to the nine sons attributed to Boerinus in the Trinity College Library Roll (cf. sup. p. 126) and suggest that there is some ground for connecting Boerinus with Halfdan.

confusion. But it is not inconceivable that Grottas. may be correct, and that Frid-Froði's reign was marred by the murder of his brother (Áli as in Arngrim's Skjölds.?). For the confusion between Frid-Froði and Froði (O.E. Froda) the viking king (hin frókni) and the father of Ingjald (Ingeld) has been already discussed, and as the latter was known to have been at war with Halfdan's (Healfdene's) family, it is easy to see how his influence might lead to the substitution of Halfdan's name for that of Áli as the murdered brother of Frid-Froði. If these suggestions, which are, it must be admitted, of a somewhat hypothetical nature, could be substantiated, there would be no longer any difficulty in accepting the statement of Yngls. Ch. 25, that Halfdan (Healfdene) died and was buried at Upsala.

The main difficulty in regarding Frid-Froði as the father of the Healfdene (Halfdan) of the O.E. poems is that his reign will then come into conflict with the dates which we have found for Sigar (Sigehere). As we saw (p. 118) Sigar must have been alive about the middle of the fifth century, and at the beginning of the sixth century Hrothgar had been reigning for a long time (Beo. l. 1769). Thus the intervening space is barely enough to cover the reigns of the two kings Frid-Froði (?) and Halfdan, the first of which must by implication have been of considerable length, even although we accept the statement of Yngls. Ch. 25 that Halfdan reigned for several years not in Denmark but in Upsala.

On the other hand, Halfdan's father, whoever he was, was certainly not Sigar, and it is quite possible that he may have been a king contemporary with Sigar, but reigning in a different part of the country. Tradition
connects Frid-Froði with Jutland*, while Sigar is associated with Sjaelland†.

This seems the most satisfactory solution of the difficulty and removes the only serious obstacle towards identifying the Danish king Beowulf of the O.E. poem with the peace-king Froði of Northern tradition. This identification in the nature of things can be at present only hypothetical, but it is to be hoped that before long some fresh evidence may come to light which will convert the hypothesis into a certainty.

THE RING SVIAGRIS.

The ring Sviagris is very often mentioned in the accounts of the dealings between Halfdan's (Healfdene's) family and the Swedish kings. It is described as a gold "ring†" (Skáldsk. Ch. 44) (the heavy necklace referred to by Saxo, p. 55, is doubtless the same jewel), and all sources lay stress on its great value.

According to Skáldsk. Ch. 44, Sviagris was one of the treasures for which Hrolf stipulated as the acknowledgment of his assistance rendered to Adils against Áli, "King of Uppland" (Onela), and to obtain which, owing to Adils' subsequent refusal to give them up, Hrolf undertook his expedition to Upsala. There is no need to repeat the part played by Sviagris in the Danes' flight to Fyrisvellir except to emphasize the fact that it alone availed to check Adils' pursuit, as his desire to regain it was even keener than his hatred of his enemy Hrolf. It

* Skáldsk. Ch. 43, Saxo, p. 169, Skjólds. Ch. 3.
† Saxo, p. 228.
‡ In Old Icelandic hringr was a generic term for any gem which was circular in form, viz. ring, bracelet or necklace.
is to be observed, however, that while Skáldsk. affirms Sviagris to have been an heirloom in Adils' family* Arngrim's Skjólds.‡ says quite distinctly that it belonged to Hrolf, and that his forefathers had won it in battle‡.

In Hrolfss. Chs. 7, 8, we find an account of a very precious ring which was the property of King Helgi, but which is not specified by name. It passed from him into the hands of his brother Hroar who coveted its possession, but from whom it was stolen at the instigation of his sister Signy. Bjarkarítmur contains the same story of the theft of a ring from Hroar, and here we are told that the ring was no other than the famous Sviagris. Hrolf Kraki afterwards obtained possession of it, and "sent it to his mother." If any value is to be attached to the suggestion that Hrolf's mother was Helgi's sister and the wife of Onela (cf. Beo. l. 62 and sup. p. 89), it seems possible that Sviagris may have been in the hands of Onela at some time—perhaps up to his defeat by Adils on Lake Wener.

The fact that Sviagris was such a coveted possession suggests that there was attached to it some very special significance, quite apart from its intrinsic value. The word means "sucking pig of the Swedes." Now the pig appears to have been an animal sacred to the Swedish kings.§

* Skáldsk. Ch. 44: "Sviagris, er átt hófðu langfedgar Adils."
‡ In the Skjólds. the motivation of the whole incident is somewhat different. Hrolf has the ring with him when he goes to Upsala.
§ Chadwick, op. cit. p. 248 f.
probably from its association with Frey (or Freyja) the deity from whom they traced their descent. It is tempting to believe that this "ring" Sviagris was some religious symbol—perhaps even the emblem of royalty of the Swedish kings. If this were so it would be easy to understand both Hrolf's claim to it, on account of the conquests of his grandfather Halfdan, and the eagerness of the Swedish tributary kings first to regain it, then to keep it from each other, and from their common enemy the king of Denmark.

IV. SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE ON DANISH TRADITION IN THE LIGHT OF ITS HISTORICAL VALUE.

It will now be well to summarize briefly the results which we have obtained from the foregoing investigation of Danish tradition regarding the persons and events mentioned in the O.E. poems.

As has been already noticed the Danes are not found mentioned by name before the sixth century, although there can be no reasonable doubt that they existed as a nation from very much earlier times. The Danish kingdom appears to have been originally insular, and to have thence spread to the mainland of Jutland, although it is difficult to say when this movement of national expansion first began. If we can accept the very probable conjecture of Mr Chadwick, that the Dan of Skjølds, who conquered Åleifus (= Olaf = Uffo or Offa, king of Angel, cf. Ch. iv), is identical with the doubtless historical Alevih, who is associated with Offa in Widsith, we shall have some evidence for the presence of Danes on the
mainland in the end of the fourth or beginning of the fifth century*.

. The appearance of Alevih in the guise of Dan the eponymous ancestor of the Danish people, might be accounted for by the possibility that the name Dane itself only came into use about this time: and against the common acceptance of all eponymous ancestors as necessarily mythical, speaks in this case the circumstance that no attempt is made to represent Dan as the head of the dynasty from which the Danish kings trace their descent: he appears in most genealogies six or seven generations below Odin and Skjöld†.

We take then as a starting point the reign of Alevih-Dan which is probably to be dated in the first quarter of the fifth century: thus the period of Danish history which falls under our consideration consists approximately of the fifth and the first half of the sixth century, after which all evidence of communication between England and the Baltic countries ceases for about two hundred years.

To the first half of the fifth century must belong, as we saw, the reign of Sigar (Sigehere) which apparently lasted till c. 450 (cf. p. 118). There is also some ground

* Hnaef, of the Finn episode in Beowulf, who appears to have been a Jute, is described as the officer of Healfdene (Beo. l. 1069), and his men are called Danes (l. 1090). This would be indirect evidence of the presence of Danes on the mainland in the middle of the fifth century. This point will be made clearer when we come to discuss the chronology of the Finn episode (cf. Ch. v).

† Although the second name in Saxo's genealogy is that of Dan, who is apparently regarded as the eponymous ancestor of the Danes, it is to be noted that the Dan who succeeds Uffo, and who, therefore, corresponds to the Dan of the Skjöldungasaga, at present under discussion, occurs seventeen generations from the head of the genealogy.
for believing that the reign of the Frodi, known in Danish tradition as the great peace-king, covered the middle years of the fifth century, perhaps partly overlapping with that of Sigar. This last assumption presents no serious difficulties, for not only are the names of these two kings associated with entirely different localities (cf. p. 136), but there is every evidence that Denmark was at this time not yet a united kingdom under one monarch. We can discern throughout this period a constant fierce struggle for supremacy between king and king, and tribe and tribe, in which the Danes finally gained the upper hand (absorbing some of their enemies and reducing others to the position of tributary states), but which lasted at any rate until the days of Hrolf Kraki.

There seems no reason to doubt that Healfdene, Hrothgar, Halga, Hrothulf are all historical, and that they all ruled as kings of the Danes. Healfdene (Halfdan) was, according to the Beowulf, the son of Beowulf, king of the Danes. This person is altogether unknown in Scandinavian tradition, but it is not impossible that he may be identical with the peace-king Frodi (cf. p. 135 f.). Hrothgar (Ro, Hroar) and Halga (Helgi) were brothers, the sons of Healfdene (Halfdan), and Hrothulf (Hrolf) was almost certainly the son of Halga (Helgi). The presence of, and the part played by Hiðrvarð (Heoroweard) in Northern tradition, seems to lend colour to the belief that he and his father Heorogar (who was according to the Beowulf the elder brother of Hrothgar and Halga) are also historical characters. Ro and Hrolf Kraki (= Hrothgar and Hrothulf) were apparently for a time joint kings of Denmark in Leire, and Helgi
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(Halga) while he lived seems to have been a sea-king*. After Ro's death, Hrolf Kraki continued to reign, as sole king of the Danes. All authorities lay stress on the unusually amicable dispositions of Ro and Hrolf, and the friendly relations which existed between them. Whereas most countries which had more than one king were in a state of constant war, owing to the rival claims and jealousies of their different rulers, Ro and Hrolf occupied the same throne for many years, at peace with one another, so that their reign was a time of unprecedented prosperity for Denmark. According to Scandinavian tradition Hrolf Kraki was indeed the King Arthur of the North, whose court was the meeting-place for all the greatest warriors then living. His castle of Leire (Hleidragardr), which is probably represented by the Heorot of the Beowulf, was the traditional seat of the Danish kings from the earliest times.

Close relations, although superficially of a mysterious nature, seem to have existed between Denmark and Sweden during this period, but there are indications of a satisfactory explanation in the view commonly expressed by Northern authorities, that Denmark held suzerainty over Sweden from the time of Halfdan (or perhaps from that of his father Froði) onwards. Such a state of affairs would account for the constant visits—either of a covertly or of a directly hostile nature—made by Danish kings to

* Although all Northern kings of this early age were in a sense sea-kings it is necessary to distinguish between those—if for the sake of clearness we may call them so—"land-kings" like Ro and Hrolf Kraki, who only used the sea as their highway, and the sea-kings proper, who had no landed possessions but who, like Helgi, regarded the sea as their only realm, and spent their whole lives in viking cruises. Cf. also Snorri in Yngls. Ch. 29, supr. p. 72.
Upsala during this time, and in particular would seem to offer the best solution of the mystery surrounding Hrolf Kraki's famous expedition to the Swedish court. In this connection, it may further be said that there does not seem any reason to discredit the part played by Yrsa in Northern tradition as mother of Hrolf Kraki and afterwards wife of Adils. I am inclined to believe further that Yrsa is identical with the person whose name is omitted in Beo. 1. 62, that she was the sister rather than the daughter of Helgi, and was the wife of Áli (Onela) previous to her marriage with Adils.

The fact that Bōðvar of Gautland (Götland) was in the service of Hrolf Kraki, taken with the evidence of the Beowulf that Beowulf reigned over the Geatas, is perhaps an additional proof of the over-lordship of Hrolf Kraki in the southern part of Scandinavia.

We may accept as historical the chief events of the Heathobeard struggle, which apparently flickered on fitfully for two or three generations, ending in the incorporation of the Heathobeards with the Danish nation. In the present conflicting state of evidence there can be no certainty as to the circumstances attending the death of King Halfdan, but the crushing defeat inflicted by the Danes on the Heathobeards, involving the death of their king Froda (Froði), the marriage of Ingeld (Ingjald) to Hrothgar's (Hroar's) daughter Freawaru, Ingeld's subsequent revenge for his father's death at the instigation of the old warrior, and the burning down of Heorot at his hands, have all a strong claim to be regarded as historical. Appearanceseem to point further to the fall of Hrothgar (Hroar) in battle against the Heathobeards, possibly on the same occasion as that on which Heorot was burnt
down, although there is no direct evidence in confirmation of this. Forming a link between the age of Hroar (Hrothgar) and Hrolf (Hrothulf) and the earlier times of Sigar and his contemporaries, stands the figure of Starkað—the *eald aescwiga* of *Beo. l. 2042*—who grew old in his armour, and served under many kings of different nationalities, notably (for us) under the Heathobeard Froda (Froði) and his son Ingeld (Ingjald)*.

It is possible that Hroar (Hrothgar) left a son Roric (Hrethric) who attempted to set up a claim to the throne against Hrolf Kraki (Hrothulf), but who was speedily crushed by his powerful cousin; but this view is to be accepted with reservations, as it is not supported by any substantial evidence. Very probably Hrolf Kraki (Hrothulf) fell at the hands of HiðrVm (Heoroweard) in an attempt made by the latter to assert his prior claim to the Danish throne; whether that event is accurately represented by the accounts of Saxo and others and of the *Hrolfss.* there is, however, considerable reason to doubt (cf. *inf.* Ch. III, p. 154).

It is now possible—and will be, for the sake of clear-

ness, convenient—to collect in tabular form the chief results which we have obtained. We have found cause
to believe that the O.E. heroic poems, and, to a certain extent, Scandinavian poems, sagas and chronicles of a later time, preserve much genuine historical information about the leading persons and events of Danish history in early times, and particularly in the fifth and first half of the sixth century. The kings who appear to have flourished during this period—and whose actual existence a nearer study of the sources makes more and more

---

* For further information about this character cf. Chadwick, *op. cit.* p. 149 and note.
probable—taken as far as possible in chronological order, with hypothetical dates, are the following:

Alevih (Dan). End of fourth and beginning of fifth century.

Sigar (Sigehere). First half of fifth century till c. 450 (perhaps reigning only in Sjaelland).

Froði—The peace-king—middle years of the fifth century (perhaps reigning only in Jutland) = Beowulf, Danish king mentioned in Beo. ll. 53 ff.?  
Halfdan (Healfdene). Before c. 475.  
[Helgi (Halga), sea-king in latter half of fifth century.]  
Hroar (Hrothgar), c. 475—c. 570.  
[Rorik (Hrethric)?]  
Hrolf Kraki (Hrothulf), joint king with Hroar and succeeding him—c. 550.  
Hiqrvarð (Heoroweard)? reigned for a short time.

Thus all the Danish kings mentioned in the Beowulf and Widsith are accounted for with the exception of Scyld Scæfing and Heremod. The former cannot be considered as other than mythical, and therefore does not concern us further. There has also been a common tendency to regard Heremod as mythical, owing to the absence of any known historical background for his figure. But this decision is a somewhat arbitrary one. It is true that Heremod’s identity is wrapped in obscurity, but the circumstantial detail with which he is described in the Beowulf implies that he had at one time been a well-known personality, and is certainly in favour of his existence as an historical character, probably as a king in Danish lands. The way in which he is associated with Sigmund suggests that Heremod is perhaps to be assigned to the first half of the fifth century (cf. p. 122).
CHAPTER III

THE SWEDES.

The Swedes (Svear) are also called in A.S. Scylfingas (Beo. l. 2381), in Scand. (O.N. saga lit.) Ynglingar.

The relationships of the Swedish royal family in Beowulf are as follows:

```
Ongentheow
  | Onela
  | Oththere
  | Eanmund Eadgils
```

In l. 2603 Wiglaf is spoken of as leod Scylfinga; again in l. 2813, Beowulf, addressing Wiglaf, speaks of Æsses cynnes Waegmundinga, where he clearly classes himself along with his hearer: this would seem to show that both Beowulf and Wiglaf claimed descent from a man named Waegmund, and the epithet leod Scylfinga as applied to Wiglaf has been supposed to signify some relationship between the Swedish royal family and the house of the Waegmundings (cf. Müllenhoff, Anz. f. d. A. III, pp. 176–178).

C. 10
On these lines the following hypothetical genealogy has been constructed:

```
Scylf
   └── Waegmund
       ├── Ecgtheow
       │    └── Beowulf
       │    └── Wiglaf
       └── Weohstan
           └── Ongentheow
               ├── Onela
               │    └── Ohthere
               └── Weohstan
                   └── Eamnund
                       └── Eadgils
```

Nor must it be forgotten, as bearing upon the hypothetical character of the above table, that there is considerable uncertainty as to the exact meaning of leod Scylfinga, for which there are other possible interpretations besides "prince of the Scylfings."

I. The Swedes in Beowulf.

In the scattered references to the Swedes which are made in the Beowulf, the fortunes of this people are closely bound up with those of their neighbours the Götar, and for an account of the campaign between Ongentheow, king of Sweden, and Haethcyn and Hygelac, the princes of the Götar, the reader is referred to Chap. 1, p. 26 f.

Ongentheow was apparently succeeded on the Swedish throne by his son Onela, who seems to have married the only daughter of King Healfdene of the Danes (Beo. 1. 62).

Onela's nephews, Eanmund and Eadgils, the sons of his brother Ohthere, rebelled and were banished from the kingdom; they fled to the court of Heardred, the young king of the Geatas (Hygelac, his father, having meanwhile perished in the hapless expedition against the Franks), where they found protection and kindness (ll. 2379 ff.). They were, however, followed by Onela, who attacked and
killed Heardred (l. 2388); probably in the course of the same campaign Eanmund was slain by Weohstan, who seems to have been in Onela's service.

Weohstan deprived the dead warrior of his helm, sword and coat of mail, and laid them at the feet of Onela, who returned them to him as a gift. After many years Weohstan bequeathed these trophies to his son Wiglaf, Beowulf's faithful follower (ll. 2609–2625).

Onela returned to Sweden victorious (l. 2389 f.): after a time Eadgils, supported by Beowulf, now king of the Geatas, made an expedition to Sweden against his uncle (he gewraec syththan cealdum cear-síthum). The enterprise was successful: Eadgils defeated and killed Onela, and reigned as king of Sweden in his stead (ll. 2391–2396).

II. (1) References to the Swedes in Latin Historians.

Tacitus.
Jordanes (Migne, *Patrologia*, 1, 69).

(2) References to the Swedes in Scandinavian Authorities.

_Hrolfssagakraka, Fas._ i, pp. 1 ff.
_Skáldskapermál* (Sn. Edd. ed. Wilken, Paderborn, 1877, pp. 101 ff.)
_Bjarkarímur._
_St Ólafssaga, Ynglingasaga* (Heimskr.).
Tacitus, *Germ. Ch. xliv*. The earliest reference to the Swedes is by Tacitus (*Germ. Ch. xliv*) who wrote at the end of the first century; he mentions the Suiones as having a curious, despotic form of government, and says further that they had fleets and lived in the ocean, i.e. in islands.

Jordanes† says that the Suethans like the Thuringians used the best horses.

It is impossible, in dealing with Scandinavian authorities, to separate from one another the extracts dealing with the Swedes and the Danes, and an account of the relations between these two nations during the period under our consideration has already been given in Chap. II, to which the reader is referred. Two extracts from the *Ynglingasaga*, which are of exclusively Swedish interest, are here given:

Ch. xxviii. *The Marriage of King Adils*. "Adils was the name of Óttar's son, who took the kingdom after him: he was king for a long time, and was very wealthy, and some summers he went on viking expeditions (i *viking*). King Adils came with his army to Saxland, over which ruled a king named Geirðjóf, while his wife was called Álof the mighty: there is no mention of their having had children. The king was not in the

---

* Tacitus, *Germania*, Ch. xliii: "Trans Lugios Gotones regnantur, paulo iam adductius quam ceterae Germanorum gentes, nondum tamen supra libertatem, protinus deinde ab Oceano Rugii et Lemovii: omniumque harum gentium insigne rotunda scuta, breves gladii et erga reges obsequium."

Ch. xliv. "Suionum hinc civitates ipso in Oceano, praeter viros armaque classibus valent, forma navium eo differt quod utrimque prora paratam semper adpulsui frontem agit."

† Jordanes, *Get. iii*: "[Alia vero gens ibi moratur Suethans, quae velut Thuringi equis utuntur eximiis."
country. King Adils and his men plundered there: some drove down herds to slaughter on the strand. Slaves, both men and women, had tended the flocks, and all of these they took with them. Amongst the company was a maiden, wondrously beautiful: her name was Yrsa. King Adils took her home with the rest of his booty. Yrsa was not put with the other bondwomen. It was soon clear that she was wise and learned, and shrewd in all respects. She was much admired and chiefly by the king; thus it came about that Adils made her his wife. Then Yrsa was queen of Sweden and was eminent among women."

Ch. xxix (last part of chapter). "King Adils was very fond of good horses: he had the best horses of that time. One horse of his was called Sløngvir, and another Hrafn, which he took from the dead King Áli. And of it was born another horse called Hrafn which he sent to Heligoland to King Godgest. King Godgest rode it and could not pull it up, until he fell off its back and was killed: that was at Ömd in Heligoland. King Adils was at the sacrifice to the disir and was riding his horse round the temple of the disir: the horse stumbled and fell, and the king was thrown, and his head came against a stone, so that the skull was broken and his brains lay on the stones. That was his death. Adils died at Upsala and is buried there. The Sviar called him the powerful king."

III. COMPARISON OF EVIDENCE WITH REGARD TO THE SWEDES.

Several points relating to Swedish tradition have already been discussed in connection with the subject of the preceding chapter, particularly the story of Yrsa.
Generally speaking, the accounts of *Skáldsk.*, *Hrolfss.* and Saxo vary only in points of detail with regard to the period of Swedish history with which we are dealing (i.e. the period corresponding to the events described in *Beowulf*), the general outline of the story being the same in all three cases as far as Adils is concerned. In the *Yngls.* a somewhat different aspect is imparted to the story. Some points which are of minor importance in the other are omitted altogether. The campaigns of Ottar and Froði for example, which are described in *Yngls.* at comparative length, are not mentioned in any of the other authorities with which we have been dealing; whereas Hrolf Kraki’s visit to Sweden, on which so much stress is usually laid, is here passed over with the barest mention.

A point on which different authorities are considerably at variance is that of the genealogy of the Swedish kings. No genealogy of Swedish kings is given in *Hrolfss.* and *Skáldsk.*, but according to Saxo, Adils (Athislus) and Öttar (Hotherus) were brothers, the sons of Hothbroddus, king of Sweden, and Hothbroddus was the son of Regnerus. According to *Yngls.* on the other hand, Adils was the son of Öttar, who was the son of Egil.

We come now to compare the sum total of Scandinavian evidence with that of *Beowulf*. First, as regards the genealogies: according to *Beowulf*, Ongentheow, king of Sweden, had two sons, Onela and Ohthere: Ohthere had, in his turn, two sons, Eanmund and Eadgils, of whom the younger succeeded to the throne after having defeated and killed his uncle Onela.

Ongentheow has entirely dropped out of Swedish tradition, where he has been replaced by Egil, a totally
different personality; but the great age of the *Beowulf* justifies us in accepting Ongentheow rather than Egil as the historical father of Óttar (Ohthere)*.

Further, there is no mention in any Scandinavian authority of a king of Sweden whose figure corresponds to that of Onela, Eadgils' uncle and predecessor in *Beowulf*, but *Yngls.* and *Skáldsk.* both relate that Adils was at war with a certain King Áli of Uppland, who belonged to Norway; in this campaign, Adils—according to *Skáldsk.*—obtained help from Hrolf Kraki, who sent his twelve berserks (amongst them Bóðvar-Bjarki) to his assistance. A decisive battle was fought on the frozen Lake Wener (Vænir), in which Áli was killed.

All the evidence we possess tends to show that King Áli of Uppland in *Skáldsk.* and *Yngls.* must be identical with King Onela of Sweden in *Beowulf*. In support of this, we have firstly the identity of the two names Onela and Áli, and secondly the similar circumstances which are reported concerning both.

Both Onela and Áli were at war with Eadgils-Adils; in both cases Adils called in foreign assistance against his foe. It is true that in one case the help came from the Danes, and in the other from the Geatas, but the link between the two lies in the person of Beowulf-Bóðvar. According to *Beowulf*, it was Beowulf who helped Eadgils to wrest Sweden from his uncle Onela; in *Skáldsk.* Bóðvar's name is the first among those of twelve berserks sent by Hrolf Kraki to Adils' assistance.

As has been elsewhere shown, there is a good deal of evidence in support of the view that Bóðvar-Bjarki

* From an examination of the sources, it seems indeed possible that both the Egil of *Yngls.* and his father Aun are mythical characters.
and Beowulf are identical. Without further ado this would solve the difficulty that it was in one case the Geatas, in the other the Danes, who rendered assistance to Adils.

The fact that Áli (Onela) appears in Scandinavian tradition as a Norwegian king is probably due to confusion arising out of the word Uppland. There was both a Swedish and a Norwegian Uppland: the former was in the heart of the Swedish kingdom, and consisted of the country lying immediately round Upsala, whereas the latter was a general name for the Norwegian highlands. As the Swedish king had his capital in Upsala, it was natural that he should be called king of Uppland, and thence it is easy to see how a confusion might arise in later times between the two Upplands, and how the names of one or more Swedish kings might, in consequence, drop out of the genealogies.

In the case of Onela and Áli, it is probable that this is what took place, and that Áli was really a king of Sweden, as Beowulf reports him to have been. In this case again, the evidence of Beowulf is more trustworthy than that of the sagas on account of its greater age, and also because, in marked contrast to the Scandinavian authorities, it contains a circumstantial account of the events which led up to the campaign between Eadgils and Onela.

This view receives confirmation from the site of the battle between Adils and Áli, which, according to Scandinavian authorities, took place on the ice of Lake Wener (Vaenir). The lake separating Götland from Sweden (i.e. Svealand) would be the most natural place for a Swedish army to meet a force coming from Götland, as the Beowulf
reports Eadgils to have done. In the poem itself the battle between Eadgils and Onela is described thus:

Lines 2393 ff.

"Folce gestepte
 ofer sae side sunu Óhtheres
 wigum and waepnum: he gewraec syththan
 cealdum ceald-bimeáth.*"

Is it too far-fetched to suppose that this "cold sorrow-bringing journey" is more than merely an alliterative expression, and is really a reference to the battle on the frozen lake?

There still remains for discussion Wiglaf, who comes into prominence in the last section of the Beowulf, viz. in the course of Beowulf's struggle with the fire-spitting dragon. Wiglaf is the more interesting, inasmuch as, with the exception of Beowulf himself, he is the only figure which stands out from the dimness of that last shadowy contest, with a tangible presence, and some semblance of claim to an actual flesh and blood existence. Wiglaf was apparently a Swedish warrior in the service of Beowulf, and was the only thane who did not desert his master at the hour of need, but stood by him to the end. The poem also gives some information about Wiglaf's father, Weohstan. Weohstan was, we are told, in the service of Onela, king of Sweden; he is probably identical with the Swedish Vesteinn mentioned in Kálfsvísa, Skáldsk. Ch. 48. The hortatory speeches of Wiglaf are reminiscent of those made by Hjalti in Bjarkamál, but the only character in northern tradition whose name presents any likeness to that of Wiglaf is Vögg, the

* "Beowulf supported Ohthere's son with a host over the wide waters, with warriors and weapons: the latter subsequently executed vengeance by a cold hostile journey: he deprived the king of life."
faithful servant and avenger of Hrolf Kraki. The form of the name which occurs in Saxo, viz. Wiggo, looks like a hypocoristic, and it is tempting to connect it with Wiglaf, although the double consonant and short vowel present considerable difficulties in the way of identifying the two names. But in addition to this similarity of name the figures of Wiglaf and Vögg have certain features in common. Both were Swedes; both left their first master for a new one, in one case Beowulf, and in the other Hrolf Kraki; both play a similar part in relation to their new master; and both receive from him rich gifts. It is not necessary to emphasize anew the intimate association of Hrolf Kraki with Bōðvar-Bjarki, and, further, the extreme likelihood that the latter is identical with Beowulf.

Thus, in view of the foregoing suggestions, it is far from clear whether Vögg, Wiggo (Wiglaf?) was originally connected with Hrolf Kraki or with Beowulf-Bjarki. This again raises the whole question of Hrolf Kraki's fall at Leire, and makes it not altogether inconceivable that the narrative as it stands may have been substituted for one which, in the first instance, had Bōðvar-Bjarki (Beowulf) as its hero, and that the Bjarkamál may be a reproduction, however corrupt and mutilated, of the speeches of Wiglaf and Beowulf in the last fyttes of the O.E. poem.

IV. HISTORICAL FACTS UNDERLYING THE SWEDISH TRADITIONS IN BEOWULF.

It must be remembered that Sweden, at the time with which the Beowulf deals, by no means corresponds to the kingdom of Sweden at the present day, which
comprises Sweden (i.e. Svealand), Götland and Skaane. Sweden and Götland were originally politically separate countries, while Skaane, until comparatively recently, belonged to Denmark. The Sweden of the sixth century (Swiđjođ) consisted of what on modern maps appears as the province Svealand.

In Heimskr. St Ólafss. Ch. 76, which dates from the early thirteenth century, there is a geographical description of Sweden (Swiđjođ). It is said to consist of:

a. Suthrmannaland.
b. Vestrmannaland or Fiathrundaland.
c. Tiundaland.
d. Áttandaland.
e. Siáland.

Most of these divisions can still be traced on modern maps.

Although there is no adequate reason to doubt the historical value of Ongentheow, Onela and Ohthere as kings of Sweden, the only king for whose life there is really satisfactory evidence is Ađils (Eadgils of Beowulf). Again, taking as a starting-point the date of Hygelac’s expedition to the Rhine, we must place the reign of Ađils some time before the middle of the sixth century.

Nowhere does the character of Ađils appear in a very favourable light, in fact it is noteworthy that all authorities represent him as being of an avaricious and mean disposition. The remarkable unanimity displayed with regard to this point would seem to indicate that it was no mere myth, but had solid foundation in historical fact. Yet in Yngls. it is stated that Ađils was remembered among the Swedes as “the powerful king” (Ch. 23).
The evidence of Jordanes (*Get. Ch. 3*), who says that the Suethans, like the Thuringians, used the best horses, is valuable confirmation of the evidence of *Yngls. Ch. 23*, regarding the attention which Adils paid to horse-breeding.

It seems almost certain that at the time with which we are dealing, Sweden (as probably also Götland) was a tributary kingdom to Denmark, and that Adils was a vassal of Hrolf Kraki. It is impossible to affirm this with certainty, as our evidence is not thoroughly trustworthy, but every allusion points in the same direction, and we know in addition that Hrolf Kraki was famous throughout many lands on account of his conquests.

The account of Adils' campaign against Onela, the reigning king of Sweden, who was probably his uncle, the assistance given to Adils by his allies the Gautar under Beowulf-Bôdvar, and his subsequent success in obtaining the Swedish crown, may be accepted as historical. The story of Yrsa is in all probability also founded on fact*.

* Cf. Ch. ii, pp. 82 ff.*
CHAPTER IV

I. Offa.

Offa is mentioned both in Beowulf and in Widsith. The following is a rough translation of the passages in the two poems, which contain allusions to him:

Widsith, ll. 35-44. "Offa ruled over Angel, Alevih over the Danes. He was the bravest of all these men, yet he did not surpass Offa in deeds of valour. But Offa, while yet a boy—at an earlier age than any other man—won the greatest of kingdoms. No one at the same age has performed a greater deed of valour. Single-handed he fixed his frontier with the Myrgingas at Fifeldor. The Engle and the Swaehe have ever since kept to the line won by Offa*.

Beowulf, ll. 1931-1962. (The poet has been dwelling on the virtues of Hygd, the wife of Hygelac: suddenly, without any explanation, he breaks off in quite a different direction.)

"A certain queen, Thrytho, was a woman of proud and fierce spirit. No man dare gaze on her face save her husband, without paying the penalty of imprisonment and death. This is not a queenly custom, but the 'ale-drinkers'"

* Translation by Mr H. M. Chadwick.
report that Thrytho performed fewer wicked deeds since the time when she first came over the sea at her father's behest, to become the wife of Offa.

"She observed her pledge towards the prince, and was renowned for her goodness at his court. Offa was a warrior, keen in battle and held in wide esteem. He was the kinsman of Heming and the descendant of Garmund. His son was Eomaer*."  

II. OTHER AUTHORITIES FOR OFFA.

Mercian Genealogy in A. S. Chron. under year 755 and other Chronicles.

*Vitae duorum Offarum*, or *Legend of St Albans*, ascribed to Matthew Paris.

Saxo Grammaticus.


In the genealogy of the Mercian kings the name of Offa occurs twice. Offa II we know to have been king of Mercia from 757–796, and an account of his reign is given in the A. S. Chron., but there are no references in history to his ancestor Offa I, whose name occurs twelve generations earlier in the genealogy†.

We may at once dismiss the idea that the above-quoted passages contain any allusion to Offa II, since apart from any other consideration the *Beowulf* had probably reached its present form considerably before the middle of the eighth century, when Offa II's reign commenced.

The *Legend of St Albans*, or *Vitae Offarum*, has been ascribed to Matthew Paris, a monk of St Albans who died

* Translation by Mr H. M. Chadwick.

† Cf. Appendix II, with list of genealogies.
about 1259, although it is now generally supposed to have been the work of an earlier writer, which was used by him; the ostensible object of the work was to account for the founding of the monastery of St Albans. The legend is shortly as follows:—

**VITA OFFAE I.**

A certain king of the West Angles named Warmundus (probably here West Angles = Mercians), famous for having founded the city of Warwick, had one son Offa. Offa was a man of great stature and tremendous strength, but he was blind until his seventh year, and dumb until his thirtieth. Some of the Mercian nobles, led by Riganus, conspired against Offa, and on the ground that he was physically unfit to reign, demanded the kingdom. Riganus himself hoped to be chosen as successor to the throne. The old king Warmundus, in great perplexity, called a council to consider what should be done, as he himself was too old to lead an army to battle. But in this crisis, Offa prayed that he might receive the gift of speech, and his request was granted; the difficulty was then solved, for Offa forthwith volunteered to lead the king’s army against the rebels.

The two armies met on the opposing banks of a deep river. Offa dashed across the river, and engaged the two sons of the rebel leader, Hildebrandus and Sueno, both of whom he killed. The rebel army was completely routed and Offa returned home victorious. His father Warmundus resigned the throne in his favour, and died soon afterwards. He was buried at Gloucester.

One day, Offa, while out hunting, heard the voice of someone in distress. He found it to proceed from a
maiden, who told him that she was the daughter of the king of York. Her father had wished to seduce her, and she had fled away into the woods. Offa took the maiden home with him, and she became his wife. Subsequently he had by her twin children.

Some years after this incident, the king of Northumbria appealed to Offa for help in war against the Scots. Offa went to his assistance and was victorious.

He then wrote to his nobles giving an account of his successes, and despatched his letter by a messenger. But on the way south it was intercepted by the king of York, who at last saw an opportunity for achieving revenge for the flight of his daughter. For the original letter he substituted a forged one, which announced a great disaster to Offa's army. According to the letter, this misfortune was directly due to divine wrath against Offa for having married his wife, and directions were given that she and her children should be exposed in the woods, and maimed or killed. These orders were followed. Mother and children were turned out into the forest and the children were killed. They were, however, restored to life by the prayers of an anchorite, who then took them, along with their mother, home to his cell and hid them there.

When Offa returned, the treachery of the king of York was discovered, but it was only after a long and painful search that he came upon the anchorite, who restored to him his wife and children. At the suggestion of the anchorite, Offa vowed to build a monastery as a thank-offering, but he forgot all about his promise until the time of his death, when he exhorted his son to fulfil the neglected vow. The fulfilment of the promise was postponed from generation to generation until the days of Offa II.
(What follows is only a partial account of the *Vita Offae II*, which is very long and contains much that has no bearing on the present subject.)

Thingferth, a Mercian prince, had a son Winifrith, who was lame, and could neither see nor hear. Thingferth and his wife, remembering the story of Offa, prayed that Winifrith might become sound, and took a fresh vow to build a monastery. Their prayer was answered, and their son healed. After he was cured, Winifrith received the name of Offa.

In the meantime, a certain noble, Beornred, revolted, and killed many of the leading men in the kingdom. Thingferth and his wife fled before him, but Offa remained behind and defeated Beornred, and was then elected king.

One day there drifted to the shore a small rudderless boat, bearing a girl who was almost dead from starvation and exposure. She gave her name as Drida and said that she was a relative of Carolus, king of the Franks. The reason that she had been cast adrift she gave as her refusal to accept offers of marriage from certain persons of ignoble blood. The truth was, however, that Drida had been condemned to death for some crime, the nature of which is not stated; but on account of her royal blood, the punishment was commuted to exposure in a rudderless boat. Offa received Drida well, and she was fed and carefully tended until health and beauty were restored. Then Offa married her, although much against the will of his parents, who from the first had not believed her story. After her marriage Drida was called Quendrida. Offa

*Vita Offae II.*
and Quendrida had three daughters. The eldest married Brithricus (Berhtric) king of Wessex, the second Aethelredus (Aethelred) king of Northumbria, and the third was promised to Albertus (Aethelbert) king of East Anglia.

By this time Quendrida had come out in her true colours. She was a cruel, scheming woman who hated her husband, and desired above all things to get rid of him. She was angry that her daughters should all marry Englishmen, and would have liked to marry them to foreign princes, who would then have helped her to destroy Offa. She therefore conspired against the life of Albertus, and caused him to be secretly murdered, one day while he was on his way to visit his bride. Shortly afterwards, Quendrida was herself murdered by robbers at the very spot on which Albertus had fallen, and Offa, full of gratitude at being delivered from the plots of his wife, fulfilled the vow made long before by his ancestor, Offa I, and founded the monastery of St Albans.

The historical evidence regarding Offa II which is contained in the A. S. Chron. confirms the account of the *Vita Offae II*, according to which Offa obtained his kingdom by defeating the rebel Beornred. There is, however, no reason to suppose that the very peculiar circumstances under which Offa I fought were repeated in the case of Offa II as Matthew Paris reports them to have been.

A story which strikingly resembles that told of Offa I in the *Legend of St Albans* is related by the Danish historians Saxo (pp. 106–117) and Sven Aagesen. Sven Aagesen wrote in the year 1185, and Saxo was engaged on his work at the same time, but it was not completed
until the beginning of the twelfth century. The accounts of the story given by these two writers are so much alike as to be almost identical.

Wermundus, the son of Vigletus, was king of Denmark: during his reign the province of Sleswic, which formed part of the kingdom, was governed by a man named Frowinus.

Athislus, king of Sweden, invaded Denmark in the neighbourhood of Sleswic: Frowinus, who led the defending army, met Athislus in single combat and was killed. Wermundus then gave the government into the hands of Keto and Wigo*, his sons.

Keto and Wigo determined to avenge their father's death, and went to Sweden, where they lay in wait for Athislus. Seizing their opportunity, they fell on him together and killed him, but their action was generally considered most discreditable to their honour, although Wermundus was satisfied to have got rid of his enemy by any means, fair or foul.

Wermundus had one son, Uffo, the child of his old age, who was a man of enormous size and strength, but who for many years was afflicted with dumbness. According to Sven Aag. Uffo did not speak from his seventh to his thirtieth year, and his silence was due to shame on account of the disgraceful action of Keto and Wigo in killing Athislus. Uffa was in a position to feel this disgrace very keenly, as he was married to the daughter of Frowinus.

* In the genealogy of Wessex we find the descendants of Wodan given as follows:

Wodan—Beldaeg—Brand—Frithungar—Freawine—Wig, &c.

In Saxo: Frowinus—Wigo.
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In his old age Wermundus became blind; an embassy came to him from the king of Saxony*, proposing that, as Wermundus was blind, decrepit, and unfit to rule, Denmark should be handed over to him. If Wermundus would not agree to this proposal, let his son come out and fight against the son of the sender of the challenge. Wermundus was deeply stung by these taunts, but he answered that although old and blind, he would himself accept the challenge and go out to fight. Just at that moment, however, Uffo stepped forward and spoke for the first time. He offered to fight single-handed against the son of the king of Saxony and any other warrior whom he might choose to support him. The reason he gave for wishing to fight against two men instead of one was that by so doing he hoped to wipe out the disgrace which lay upon the Danes on account of the death of Athislus through Keto and Wigo.

An island on the river Eider was chosen as the scene of the duel, and great crowds of onlookers flocked thither to witness the combat. Uffo went down alone to meet his opponents. The old Wermundus was also guided down to the river and he stood on the bridge, ready to throw himself down, should his son suffer defeat. But Uffo cut to pieces, first the Prince of Saxony and then the other Saxon champion, and thus retrieved the glory of the Danish nation, which had been lost through the murder of Athislus. The kingdom of Saxony was then handed over to the Danes, and was governed by Uffo after his father's death.

* This is Saxo's version: according to Sven Aag. the embassy was sent by the Emperor.
III. Comparison of Evidence with regard to Offa.

The story of Uffo as told by Saxo and Sven Aagesen obviously refers to the same events as that of the Vita Offae I, and Uffo the son of Wermund is clearly the same person as Offa the son of Warmundus. In both cases the king’s son, who has been dumb until his thirtieth year, recovers his speech under similar circumstances, and overcomes singlehanded, by a river, two champions of an opposing army. Further, the genealogy of Uffo’s ancestors given by Saxo corresponds to that of Offa’s ancestors in the Mercian genealogy:

Wermundus. Wermund.  
Uffo. Offa.

There are, however, certain discrepancies between the accounts of Saxo and Sv. Aag. on the one hand and the Vita Offae on the other, the chief of which are the following:

1. According to Saxo and Sv. Aag. Wermundus and Uffo were kings of the Danes, and Uffo’s combat took place on the frontiers of Schleswig and Holstein. According to Matthew Paris, Warmundus and Offa were kings of the Mercians, and all the events connected with them took place in England.

2. According to Saxo and Sv. Aag. the attack on Wermundus was the act of aggression of a foreign king, whereas in the Vita Offae the enemies of King Warmundus were rebel nobles.

3. In Saxo and Sv. Aag. the whole quarrel between Wermundus and the king of Saxony (or the Emperor) was settled by the result of Uffo’s duel, while in the Vita
Offae a pitched battle took place of which the duel was but one episode.

It is evident that these three accounts—viz. those of Saxo, Sv. Aag., and the Vita Offae I—tell the same story as that related by Widsith, ll. 35–44, of Offa, king of Angel, where we find again the description of Offa’s great prowess, and of his momentous single-handed combat with the invaders (see p. 157). Widsith is, however, in disagreement with the other authorities in regard to Offa’s age at the time of the incident described. Whereas the Vita Offae I, Saxo, and Sv. Aag. all represent him as a man of about thirty years of age, he is described in Widsith as cniht-wesende, “while yet a boy,” and this epithet is emphasized by the words which follow—viz. “at an earlier age than any other man.” To explain this, it has been suggested that in the original account of the story the expression used with regard to Offa’s age at the time of the duel may possibly have been thritig missera (thirty half-years, i.e. fifteen years), which later writers may have misinterpreted as thirty years.

If we now turn back to the Vita Offae I we see that Offa I is there represented as a king of Mercia, and that the whole scene of the story is laid in England.

According to the genealogies, Offa I was the ancestor of Penda, king of Mercia*.

In the A. S. Chron. (MS. A) under the year 626, there stands an entry in which it is said that Penda, who began to reign in that year, was fifty years of age, and that he reigned for thirty years. According to this reckoning, Penda was born in the year 576, and was eighty years of age when he died in the year 656. But there are good

* Cf. list of genealogies in Appendix II.
reasons for regarding it as improbable that this chronology is correct, or that Penda was born as early as the year 576. For example:

1. On his death in 656, Penda left quite a young family, as his youngest son resigned the throne as late as the year 704.

2. Penda's sister, who was married to Coenwalh, king of Essex, was divorced by her husband between the years 640 and 650, and it is extremely unlikely that she should have been the sister of a man who was at that time between seventy and eighty years of age, the more so as Coenwalh, who died in 673, is said by Bede* to have been cut off by a premature death.

3. Penda was engaged in wars up to the time of his death, an activity which would have been, to say the least, surprising in a man of eighty years old.

Although the combined weight of these considerations makes it barely possible that Penda can have been born as early as 576, we may assume that he was at any rate well on in years at the time of his death in 656, and for purposes of calculation, the year 600 may be taken as the approximate date of his birth. If we reckon back, allowing thirty years for each generation, we get the year 360 A.D. as the approximate date of the birth of Offa I. But this is the pre-British and pre-Christian period of the English nation, and if there was a King Offa I, he must have ruled over the Angles on the Continent, and not in England. Thus the authority of Matthew Paris, in so far as he represents Offa I as king of Mercia and a Christian, cannot be accepted.

Wermund and Uffo are represented in Saxo as kings

* Hist. Eccl. iii, 7.
of the Danes, but they are never mentioned in connection with the islands, which were the stronghold and seat of government of the Danish kingdom. On the contrary, all the places mentioned in the story of Uffo, e.g. Sleswic, Eider, &c. are situated in the southern part of the Jutish peninsula, i.e. in, or near, the district which was the former home of the English and which ancient writers called Angel* (Ongel, Oghgul). In Widsith, l. 35, Offa is definitely said to have reigned over Angel. A possible explanation of this anomaly is that Wermund and Uffo came to be regarded as Danes, because they were known to have ruled over a country which later belonged to Denmark. When the Danes acquired possession of S. Jutland they became heirs, so to speak, of existing local traditions of which the story of Uffo would naturally be one, and Wermundus, which is the usual form in Saxo and Sven Aag., and which is English or Frisian and not Danish, would lend colour to this supposition†.

The hypothesis which has been put forward to account for the presence of an English form Wermundus in Danish tradition—viz. that the Danes first acquired the story of Offa during the period of their invasions of Britain—would fail to account for the continental forms such as Frowinus and Uffo which occur in the Scandinavian authorities, and also for the localisation of events in their version of the story.

Saxo, Sv. Aag., and other writers were apparently quite familiar with the spot on which Uffo's duel took

* Cf. H. M. Chadwick, op. cit. pp. 103 ff.
† Mr Chadwick believes that the Danes, when they settled in the basin of Eider, probably in the fifth century, "adopted the language of the natives, though at the same time without losing consciousness of their own nationality" (op. cit. p. 140).
place—viz. on an island in the river Eider (Saxo, pp. 115, 402). This island is said to be the one on which part of the town of Rendsburg stands: the spot is called by Peter Olavus, Kunungskamp (S. R. D. i, p. 84), which name is perhaps preserved in Kampen, formerly one of the parishes of the same town (S. R. D. i, p. 152, note). According to Wids. 1. 43, Offa fought his single combat at Fifeldor (bi Fifeldore): no place of that name is known: but it is not altogether inconceivable that the name should be connected in some way with Egidora, which is the older form of Eider. The last part of the word is the same in both cases, and fifel, which in O.E. = monster, might possibly represent egi, if the latter is connected with O.E. ege = terror.

Nor was the story of Offa first brought to England by the Danes. For the names of Offa and Wermund occur in genealogies previous to the Danish invasions, and the authority of Beowulf and Widsith is also of an earlier date*. There does not appear to have been any communication between England and the Baltic countries from the middle of the sixth century up to the time of the Danish invasions (vid. sup. p. 53), so that with regard to the story of Offa (Uffo) we are driven back upon the only possible conclusion—viz. that English and Scandinavian tradition has developed independently at any rate since the sixth century. The English invaders carried with them to their new home the remembrance of the exploits of their national hero, while the story lived on on

* It is impossible to say with any certainty when these poems were composed, but according to the best authority they seem to date in the first instance from not later than the very beginning of the seventh century.
its native soil, and became incorporated in the annals of the Danish nation of which Angel afterwards became part.

Saxo relates that in the reign of Wermundus, Denmark was constantly harried by the incursions of Athislus, king of Sweden. There are two curious facts to be noted about this Athislus. In the first place, he always attacked the Danes in the neighbourhood of Sleswic, whereas the obvious point for a Swedish attack on Denmark would have been either Skaane in the south of Sweden, or the island of Zealand. In the second place, the fact that Uffo, king of Denmark, killed single-handed two warriors who were Saxons, hardly seems a sufficient equivalent for the murder of Athislus, king of Sweden, by two Danish champions who attacked him simultaneously.

According to Widsith, l. 42, it was against the Myrgingas that Offa fought in single combat. This people probably occupied territory between the Elbe and the Vistula and in the neighbourhood of the Angli, but the name Myrtingas appears to have been rather a dynastic than a tribal one, else it is difficult to account for the omission by other authorities of all mention of the tribe, which appears to have been one of considerable importance. We learn, further, from Widsith that the leader of the Myrtingas was a prince named Eadgils.

Now Swedish tradition preserves the name of only one King Adils, who reigned in the middle of the sixth century and with whose figure we are already familiar. As the events of the story of Offa must have taken place before the sixth century, it is obvious that this King Adils is not the same person as the Athislus referred to by Saxo in connection with the story. It has, however, been suggested that Eadgils mentioned by Widsith as the
leader of the Myrgingas is identical with Athislus who, according to Saxo, harried Denmark in the reign of Wermund.

The figure and deeds of Athislus would acquire far more significance if it could be proved that he belonged to the country south of the Eider instead of being, as Saxo reports, king of Sweden.

The explanation given above is rendered more probable by further considerations:

If Athislus came from the south, his most natural point of attack on the Angles would be Sleswic. The action of Uffo in killing two Saxon warriors, in order to atone for the disgrace which had rested on the Danish nation since the death of Athislus, would also be more easily explained, and would further link the story of Saxo to that of Widsith, in which Offa fights against the Myrgingas, whose leader is said in the same poem to be Eadgils (Athislus).

Again, Widsith represents Eadgils of the Myrgingas as contemporary with Ermanric, king of the Goths (cf. Widsith, ll. 88–98), whose death we know to have taken place shortly before the year 375 A.D. (Ammian. xxxi, 3, 1). Eadgils must, therefore, have lived in the middle and latter half of the fourth century. But 360 was the year which we have seen cause to regard as the approximate date of the birth of Offa I, so that he must have been a considerably younger man than Eadgils. Uffo's duel did not, however, according to Saxo, take place until some time after the death of Athislus, and this chronology would therefore be quite in agreement with the theory that the latter is identical with Eadgils, prince of the Myrgingas, the patron of Widsith.
It is, however, difficult to come to any definite conclusion regarding the nationality of Offa's opponents, for Myrgingas, as we have seen, is possibly a family or dynastic rather than a national name. Saxo calls them Saxones (p. 116), while in Sven Aagesen's chronicle they appear as Alamanni (S. R. D. I, pp. 45-47).

At the end of the passage in Widsith, containing an allusion to Offa (ll. 43 f.), it is said that "the Engle and Swaepe have ever since kept to the line won by Offa." It is not clear from this whether the Engle and Swaepe were allies or enemies, though the natural interpretation would certainly be that they were the two compounding parties to a bargain which was the means of bringing some previous disagreement to an end. The most that can be definitely inferred from the passage is that the Engle and Swaepe must have been neighbouring tribes.

Now from the evidence of classical historians (most important in this respect are Strabo and Tacitus) the term Suebi (Suabi) appears to have been a group-name covering a number of tribes, all of which lay in or round the basin of the Elbe. Hence in all probability the Suabi (Swaepe) mentioned in Widsith were the North Suabi, occupying the western part of Holstein and thus bordering on the Angli (Engle) on the south and south-west.

On behalf of the supposition that the Widsith poet, in mentioning this people of the North Suabi, is referring to Offa's enemies, there are one or two circumstances which must be taken into account. We have seen that, in Sv. Aagesen's narrative, Offa's opponents are called Alamanni: now the Alamanni are sometimes called Suabi*.

Again, if the Athislus of Saxo was, as has been suggested, identical with the Eadgils described in Widsith as Prince of the Myrgingas, Offa's opponents, it is quite possible that this king was originally designated *Sweēe kyning*, and that for the subsequently forgotten term *Sweēa* was substituted the more familiar *Svea* by later Danish writers.

On the whole there seems good reason to believe that the people against whom Offa fought his single combat, who appear variously as Myrgingas, Saxones, Alamanni, are also referred to in Widsith, l. 44, as the *Swæfe*, and that they belonged to the northern branch of the great congeries of tribes situated round the basin of the river Elbe, described collectively by classical historians as the *Suebi* (Suabi).

We have still to consider the allusion contained in the passage from Beowulf (ll. 1931–1962), usually known as the Offa Episode, which was cited at the beginning of the chapter. The Offa referred to, as has been seen, cannot be Offa II, the historical king of Mercia, but any doubt which may still exist on this point is dispelled by the reference in Beo. ll. 1960–1962, where he is spoken of as the descendant of Garmund and the ancestor of Eomaer, thus occupying a position analogous to that of Offa I in the Mercian genealogy.

The bulk of the Beowulf passage deals with Thrytho, Offa's wife, whose character is represented in a most unfavourable light, though to her credit it is said that her morals and manners underwent a considerable improvement after her marriage. This Thrytho, strangely enough,

* The information here given with regard to Offa's enemies is borrowed entirely from Mr Chadwick, cf. op. cit. pp. 124 ff.
corresponds in name and character to the Quendrida of the *Legend of St Albans*, who was the wife not of Offa I, but of Offa II. The historical wife of Offa II was Cynethryth (not Cwenthryth, which would correspond to Quendrida), and the only historical evidence regarding her character is contained in a letter from Alcuin to Egfrith, Offa's son, in which the words occur "Disce a patre auctoritatem a matre pietatem". This allusion does not justify us in believing Cynethryth the monster she is represented to be in the *Vita Offae II*. According to the A. S. Chron., Aethelberht (Albertus in *Legend of St Albans*) was put to death by Offa. There is no authority earlier than that of the twelfth century for implicating Cynethryth in the murder.

Nothing is known historically of the wife of Offa I. The story of the *Vita Offae I* does not bear the impress of a genuine narrative, and may very probably have been invented merely to suit the purposes of the legend (i.e. to account for Offa's vow to build the monastery of St Albans); it thus appears that great confusion arose in later English tradition both between the two Offas and between their wives, and that in particular the attributes of the wife of Offa I were, quite unjustifiably, transferred to the wife of Offa II.

IV. HISTORICAL FACTS UNDERLYING REFERENCES TO OFFA IN BEOWULF AND WIDSITH.

In the case of Offa, the historical background for the events recorded in *Beowulf* and *Widsith* seems less hazy than usual, owing to the unanimity which exists between

† Cf. Florence of Worcester, i, 62, 63.
the accounts given in the poems and those contained in other records.

The combined evidence of all authorities gives us the following well-substantiated facts, which there is little difficulty in accepting as historical.

In the second half of the fourth century there reigned over the country of Angel (the district between the Sle and Rendsburg) a king named Waermund. Waermund was an old man and had one son, Offa, who was apparently as a boy awkward, uncouth and generally unpromising, but who, as he advanced in life, won an unrivalled reputation for strength and courage.

On one occasion preparations were made for attacking Angel by a hostile power, whose territory presumably lay to the south or south-west of the river Eider; there is some ground for identifying the invaders with the people known to classical historians as the North Suabi. Their object appears to have been to reduce Angel to the condition of a tributary state, but no battle took place: for according to agreement, the dispute was settled by a single combat on an island in the river Eider, in which Offa killed two picked champions of the opposing army, thereby fixing once and for all the frontier of his father's kingdom. After this signal victory, Offa became king of Angel, and his fame went abroad as that of the most valiant of kings*.

There is good ground for accepting as historical the fact that Offa I had a wife as notorious for her vicious habits as her husband was famous for his bravery. It is

* Some reference to the subsequent history of Offa and his possible relations with the Alevih of Wids. I. 35 has already been made in the preceding chapter, cf. p. 119.
not necessary to repeat the story of the strange arrival of this woman in Offa's country, of her marriage with Offa, and his subsequent discovery of her true character. It may seem rash to accept this story on the sole evidence of Beowulf, but weight is added to this evidence by the narrative of the author of the Vitae Offarum, who knew and told the same story, although, owing to some confusion, he believed it to refer to the wife not of Offa I but of Offa II.
CHAPTER V

FINN.

I & III*. The epic fragment Finn and the Finn episode in Beowulf are all that have come down to us of a lost Finn saga: the Fragment probably formed part of a whole epic on the subject of the Finn saga, the rest of which has disappeared.

Both the Finn episode and the Fragment are extremely obscure, and have hitherto baffled the efforts which have been made to reconstruct the saga with even approximate certainty. The Finn episode, in about one hundred lines, sketches in outline the course of events in a quarrel between Finn the king of the Frisians, and Hnaef and Hengest, whom it calls Danes. The Fragment seems to consist of a more detailed account of one of the episodes of that quarrel. The general course of events in the Finn saga as contained in the Beowulf passage (ll. 1068-1159) may, with the help of the references in Widsith†, be provisionally reconstructed as follows:

Finn, the son of Folcwalda, ruled over the Frisians. His wife was probably Hildeburh, the daughter of Hoc.

* No Scand. or other authorities contain evidence with regard to this saga.

† Wids. 1. 27: "Fin Folewalding (weold) Fresnacynne."
1. 29: "Hnaef (weold) Höcingum."
We learn from *Widsith* that Hnaef ruled over the Hocings, and from *Beowulf* that he was the vassal of Healfdene. It is probable, too, judging from *Beo*. l. 1074, that he was a near relative, perhaps a brother, of Hildeburh. This Hnaef visited the court of Finn, along with a retinue of warriors, the chief of whom was called Hengest. Strife broke out between Finn and his guests, and there is certainly the suggestion of foul play on one side or the other, though it is not clear on which (*Beo*. ll. 1068 ff.). In the fight Hnaef was killed, and also apparently one or more of Hildburh’s sons (cf. l. 1074). Finn’s fighting force was so much impaired by the damage inflicted on it by Hnaef’s men that Finn was obliged to come to terms with Hengest, who had taken command after Hnaef’s death. Hnaef’s men received treasure in compensation for their losses, and solemn oaths of peace were exchanged (ll. 1085 ff.). The bodies of the slaughtered warriors, i.e. of Hnaef and the son or sons of Hildeburh, were solemnly burned on one pyre, in the presence of the sorrowing queen (ll. 1108–1124).

Through the winter and on into the next spring, peace was preserved between Finn and Hengest; perhaps Hengest became Finn’s sworn vassal through the acceptance of a sword, Hunlafing (cf. ll. 1143 ff.). But when icy winter had relaxed its hold on sea and land, and spring was fully come, thoughts of vengeance began to stir in Hengest’s mind, and he thought more, as we are told, of the possibility of accomplishing his revenge than of setting out on a sea-voyage (i.e. of escaping from Finn’s land) (ll. 1127–1141). From this point onwards the course of events is not quite clear, but it would appear that Guthlaf and Oslaf, two warriors also mentioned in the
Fragment (Guthlaf and Ordlaf) as accompanying Hengest, were the instigators of an attack upon Finn, the result of which was that the Frisians were completely defeated, while Finn himself was killed and his queen taken prisoner along with much treasure, and carried home by the Danes (ll. 1146–1159).

Such being the outline of the course of events, the difficult question arises of assigning to the more detailed events described in the Finn Fragment their proper place in the story. The Fragment is evidently part of the description of a treacherous attack made by Finn on the hall where the Danes were passing the night. Hengest exhorted his men to fight bravely (ll. 11–13) and posted some of his best warriors to hold the doors. At one door were stationed Guthlaf and Ordlaf, at the other Sigeferth and Eaha (ll. 16–18). The only two warriors mentioned as apparently belonging to Finn’s band are Garulf and Guthere (l. 20). Of these we hear that Garulf was slain, presumably in a fight with Sigeferth (l. 33). The defenders of the hall, i.e. Hnaef’s men, numbered sixty. For five days they held the doors without losing a single man (ll. 39–44).

It is difficult to see in this story either of the two fights alluded to in the Finn episode in *Beowulf*, as the circumstances under which it took place do not seem to apply exactly to either.

In the second battle of the Finn episode, in which Finn was defeated and slain, it is explicitly stated that Guthlaf and Oslaf were the attackers, which scarcely seems to tally with the account of a surprise night attack by Finn, for there can be no doubt that in the Fragment the Frisians were the attacking party. It is
also difficult to identify the first fight of the Finn episode, *Beo.* ll. 1068–1085, with the battle described in the Fragment. *Beo.* l. 1068, *thā hie se faer begeat*, does not seem to indicate that the first attack was made by the Frisians: nor does it appear from ll. 1071–2 that any treachery practised was on the part of Finn (i.e. if we take *Eotena* as referring to Hnaef and his followers, a point to the discussion of which we shall return later on).

The alternatives which remain to us with regard to the fight described in the Fragment are to suppose either that it formed the latter stage of one or other of the struggles referred to in the Finn episode, or that it described some fight which the Finn episode entirely passes over, though this latter supposition is unlikely. It might very well be a description of part of the first struggle, and refer to the events immediately following on Hnaef's death, when we might suppose that the strangers took up as strong a position as possible in anticipation of a counter-attack. The *heatho-geong cyning*, *Finn*, l. 2, would then aptly enough denote Hengest, on whom the command had just devolved (in fact *Finn*, l. 17, and *Hengest sylf*, seems to imply that he was meant by *heatho-geong-cyning*), and the losses which the defenders evidently inflicted on the Frisians would tally well with *Beo.* ll. 1080 ff., *Wīg alle fornam Finnes thegnas*, &c. *Finn*, ll. 41–42, also give a good meaning if they can be taken to refer to the speedy vengeance which Hnaef's followers meted out to the Frisians for the death of their master*.

* "I never heard of better recompense given for sweet mead than that with which Hnaef was requited by his followers."
This hypothesis* seems to fit the facts related in the two poems better than the other theory which has been propounded respecting Finn by Möller and others. These scholars hold that the Finn Fragment refers to part of the last struggle between Hengest and Finn, that it is the grimne gripe after which Guthlaf and Oslaf made their last attack on Finn. But the Finn episode in Beowulf certainly implies that the second struggle between Hnaef's followers and the Frisians took place altogether on the initiative of the former, and that Guthlaf and Oslaf came across the sea in order to accomplish their purpose. There is nothing either in the Beowulf or in the Fragment to imply that Hengest was killed, and that Guthlaf and Oslaf then assumed command and defeated Finn. Indeed such an idea is far-fetched and very improbable†.

A somewhat difficult point in the Finn episode is the use of the word Eoten-, which occurs four times—three times as a genitive, Eotena, and once as a dative, Eotenum. It has been taken both as referring to the Frisians and to Hnaef's men.

In the first case, l. 1072, the use of the word is ambiguous; there is nothing to show to which party it refers. In the second case, viz. l. 1088, Eotena seems clearly to refer to Hnaef's men: hie, which is the subject of the clause, must denote the same persons as the hig in l. 1085, which is the subject of the principal clause, and which evidently refers to the Frisians.

* Cf. also Kögel, Gesch. d. deutsch. Litt., Vol. i, p. 166.
† It should be noted that nowhere in the Finn episode is Hildeburh explicitly stated to have been the wife of Finn, but from the context we are justified in assuming this to have been the case. She is not mentioned at all in the Fragment.
We find the word a third time in l. 1141, *he Eotena bearn inne gemunde.* He = Hengest about whom the previous lines have spoken; whether *Eotena* refers to his own people or to the opposing party, i.e. the Frisians, depends on the sense in which *gemunde* is taken. Commentators who wish to make *Eotena* correspond to *Fresna* translate *gemunde* as "remember," i.e. take vengeance on; but it is much more natural to suppose that the feeling described by *gemunde* was one of sorrow for lost friends, in which case *Eotena bearn* refers of course to Hengest's own men.

l. 1145.—*mid Eotenum.*

The allusion contained in *mid Eotenum* is difficult, as the passage is obscure, but the key to the explanation is perhaps contained in *Hunlafing,* cf. l. 1143: this Hunlafing was apparently a sword, which became the property of Hengest.

In Arngrim Jonsson's extracts from the lost *Skjöldungasaga* there is an account of a Danish king Leivus, the father of seven sons, three of whom were named Hunnleivus, Oddleifus, Gunnleifus. Two of these names, viz. Oddleifus and Gunnleifus, are clearly identical with Ordlaf and Guthlaf who are mentioned in the *Finn* Fragment, l. 16, as fighting on the side of Hengest, and who appear in *Beo.* l. 1148 as avenging the death of Hnaef on Finn. (In this case, we may assume Oslaf to be a scribal error for Ordlaf.) A further confirmation of this is supplied by *Beo.* l. 1090, where Hengest's men are called Danes. The coincidence between the third name Hunnleivus and the Hunlafing of *Beo.* l. 1143 is too striking to be accidental, and at once places the

whole passage in close relation to Ordlaf and Guthlaf, whom we know to have been warriors fighting on the side of Hengest.

The form of Hunlafing (cf. Hrunting, Miming) would suggest that it was the name of a sword, originally the property of a warrior named Hunlaf (Hunnleivus?), which (cf. ll. 1143, 1144) apparently passed at this time into the possession of Hengest: the words of l. 1145, ḫaes waeron mid Eotenum ecge cūthe, are in that case spoken of Hunlafing, and their most natural significance would be that the sword was famous amongst the nation or tribe to which its owner belonged, i.e. mid Eotenum. But we have already seen good reason to associate Hunlafing with Ordlaf and Guthlaf, Hengest’s men; this being so, we are justified in inferring that the allusion contained in mid Eotenum is to the followers of Hengest—the “Dene”—rather than to the followers of Finn.

The form Eotena may be identical with the Scandinavian name for the inhabitants of Jutland, the O.E. forms of which would be O.E. Eotan*, Anglian Eote, earliest Anglian *Juti (= Bede’s Juti, Jutae); E.W.S. Jete, L.W.S. Yte†. The gen. form Eotena shows, like Seaxna and Miercna, the genitive plural termination of nouns of the weak declension (cf. Sievers, op. cit. § 264, note), and the dative Eotenum for Eotum has taken the n from the genitive (cf. Sievers, op. cit. § 277, note 1, dat. pl., nefenum for nefum from nom. sing. nefa).

Bede calls the invaders of Kent and of the Isle of Wight Jutae and Juti, and in the A.S. Bede Terra Jutarum is rendered by Ytenaland and Eotaland. A.S. eo and O.N. io represent a Teutonic eu. The primary

form of the word must have been *Eutan- and Bede’s Juti would point to a stem *Eutia-, which is probably the same as that underlying the form Ytum in Widsith.

From *Eutan would regularly develop O.N. Jótar and original O.E. *Eotan. Juti and Eotan are analogous forms to Frisii and Fresones which exist alongside of one another.

Möller has brought an objection against this explanation on the ground that the Danish word Jydir, which is the modern name for the inhabitants of Jutland, points to a form with original initial j. But it is difficult to understand how this can be, for initial j is always lost in Scandinavian languages. It seems more probable that the Danish form Jydir is due to a compromise between two forms Jótar and *Ytir, the latter of which would correspond to the A.S. Yte.

In the Finn episode, Hnaef’s men are spoken of indiscriminately as Eotena and as Danes (“Dene,” Beo. l. 1090) and vassals of King Healfdene, a Danish king. This is somewhat curious, but it should be noted that the singer was telling his story at the court of a Danish king, which might well account for his desire to ascribe the prowess of Hnaef and Hengest to vassals of Healfdene.

In the Fragment the nationality of Hnaef and Hengest and of their followers is not designated in any way.

IV. HISTORICAL BACKGROUND OF THE FINN SAGA.

The sole link, by which we can in any way connect the Finn saga with history, lies in Beo. l. 1069, where Hnaef is described as a warrior of the Danish king Healfdene. Healfdene was the father of Hrothgar, in
whose reign the events described in the first part of *Beowulf* took place, and from Gregory of Tours' Chronicle we are enabled to assign these events to some date early in the sixth century. Hygelac's expedition against the Franks and Frisians took place probably about the year 520*.

Hrothgar the Dane was reigning then in the early years of the sixth century. He was an old man, and had been king for many years (ll. 1769–70). From this we conclude that Healfdene, who was the father of Hrothgar, and under whom Hnaef and Hengest flourished, must have been reigning about the middle of the fifth century.

As we cast about for something whereby we may further link this curious story of the Finn saga to known historical events, the question naturally arises whether Hengest of the Finn saga can possibly be identical with the familiar Hengest who, along with his brother Horsa, was one of the first Teutonic invaders of Britain. According to Bede† and the A. S. Chronicle, in the year 449 A.D. Hengest and Horsa, who are called Juti or Jutae, came to Britain with a band of warriors at the invitation of the British king Wyrtgeorn or Vurtigernus (Vortigern). Nennius, in the *Historia Britonum*, § 3, dates the coming of the Saxons in the year 428–9, and differs from Bede and from the A. S. Chronicle in the reason which he assigns for their coming. Three ships, he says, were

* According to this Chronicle, the expedition of Chochilaicus took place between the years 512 and 520. The invaders were defeated by Theodoric of the Franks and his son Theodobert. Now Chlodovech (Clovis), the father of Theodoric, was born in 466; it is therefore scarcely possible that he should have had a grandson of age to fight much earlier than 520.

† *Hist. Eccles.* i, 14 f., ii, 5.
driven into exile from Germany, and came to Britain under Hengest and Horsa.

In support of the theory of the identity of the two, the following considerations might be urged:—

1. The two Hengests were more or less contemporary with one another. According to Bede's chronology, the Jutae came to Britain under Hengest and Horsa in 449 A.D.; from the evidence of Beowulf we learn that the Hengest of the Finn saga must have been alive about the middle of the fifth century.

2. Both warriors seem to have belonged to a tribe of the same name, i.e. if we take the Eotena of the Finn episode to refer to the men of Hnaef and Hengest, which is on the whole the more probable interpretation. Bede* calls the invaders of Kent under Hengest and Horsa Juti; and it has been shown that these two forms are derived from the same stem, and are probably the same word.

3. Nennius speaks of Hengest and Horsa as exiles from their own country. If, as is hinted in Beowulf, Hengest made terms with Finn after the death of Hnaef, and indeed became his vassal (cf. ll. 1085-1091, 1143-1144), such a discreditable action might well make it impossible for him ever to show his face again in his own country†.

4. The story of Finn and Hengest must have been very familiar to the mind of the person from whom Nennius derived his genealogy of Hengest and Horsa: for in this genealogy we find that the name of Folcwald has slipped in, as father of Finn the mythical ancestor

* Hist. Eccles. i, 15.
† Cf. account of Cynewulf's murder in A. S. Chron. under the year 755.
of Wodan, replacing that of Godwulf which is found in all other genealogies*.

5. The name Hengest is an uncommon one. It is not found except in these two cases, and its occurrence side by side with that of Horsa looks very much as if it were some kind of nickname.

All this evidence certainly tends in the same direction, but against it we must put the fact that the year 520, which is our only approximate date for the life of Beowulf, and after which he is reported to have reigned fifty years, is a very long way removed from 449, when, according to our hypothesis, the father of the man to whom Beowulf rendered a great service must have flourished. On the other hand, at the time when Beowulf killed Grendel, Hrothgar is spoken of as a very old man; this must have been several years before Hygelac's fatal expedition to the Rhine, as is evident from the fact that after Hygelac's death his son Heardred was old enough to reign, whereas, on the occasion of Beowulf's victorious home-coming after slaying Grendel, Queen Hygd is described in terms which indicate that her marriage was still of very recent date.

Taking, however, everything into account, the balance of probability seems on the whole in favour of accepting the Hengest of the Finn saga as the same Hengest who later on sought a new home across the seas in Britain.

The identity is far from complete, but the alternative of such a curious and extensive coincidence of names and events in wholly different versions is one not easily accepted.

* Compare list of genealogies in Appendix II.
CHAPTER VI

DEOR*.

The first two strophes of Deor (ll. 1-13) give in very compressed form the story of the Weland saga. The reference contained in the third strophe is unknown. There is not sufficient ground for associating it with certain events of the Ermanric saga as Grein has proposed doing†. The most probable translation of the lines (14-16) is: "Many of us have heard that Geat's affection for Maeth-hilde was boundless, so that his anxious love robbed him entirely of sleep‡." We may compare this with what is said of the God Frey in Skirnismál. Frey had for long nourished a hopeless passion for a maiden named Gerda (Gerdr). After much persuasion, the maiden gave her consent to his suit, on the condition that he should wait for a certain length of time before gaining her. During this time of waiting, Frey's impatience and longing were so great that he could not rest for a moment, and at night all sleep went from him.

* For a description of the poem cf. Introductory Chapter.
‡ "We thaet maeth hilde mongo gefrugnon:
   Wurdon grundlease Geates frige,
   Thaet him seo sorglufu slaep alle binom."
The name Maeth-hilde is quite unknown: that of Geat is found at the head of the older English genealogies. It is possible that the Geat of Deor may be identical with the Geat who is represented as the divine ancestor of the O.E. kings, and there may be also some significance in the fact that a similar story to that told of him in Deor, is in Skirnismál told of Frey, the divine ancestor of the Danish kings*.

The fourth strophe appears to contain an obscure allusion to the Ostrogothic saga-cycle of Ermanric and Dietrich. It may be translated thus: "Dietrich held the castle of the Maerings for thirty years: that was known to many†."

According to German hero saga‡, Dietrich of Bern was exiled from Italy by his uncle Ermanric, and was driven to take refuge at the court of the Hunnish king Attila. He remained with the Huns for thirty years, before he succeeded in regaining his inheritance. Maeringaburg as a place name is unknown, but the natural inference from these lines is that it was the name of some castle where Dietrich lived during the years of his exile, and that it was therefore probably situated in Hunnish territory. In a fragmentary Lay of Theodoric the Goth§ which is inscribed on an ancient runic stone in East Götland, Sweden, Theodoric (Dietrich) is called "Prince of the

* Some scholars think that the name Gapt, which heads the Gothic genealogies in Jordanes, is a corruption of Gaut, and is identical with the Geat of the English genealogies. It seems very doubtful whether this emendation is correct, for in Jordanes' orthography the name corresponding to Geat would be Got.
† "Theodric ähte thritig wintra
Maeringa burg: thaet waes monegumcuth."
‡ Dietrich's Flucht, Rabenschlacht, Nibelungenlied, &c.
§ Corpus Poeticum Boreale, i, 59.
Maerings" (skati Maringa): this is the only other known occurrence of the name.

Strophe V is a clear reference to Ermanric, its discussion will therefore be reserved for the chapter on the Ostrogothic cycle.

Strophe VI, in which the poet relates some details of his own career, bears upon the Heoden inga, or Hildesaga, to a discussion of which, with that of the Weland saga, it is proposed to devote this chapter.

(a) HILDE SAGA.

I. HILDE SAGA IN OLD ENGLISH.

I. Deor, ll. 35 ff.

"Thaet ic bi me sylfum secgan wille,
thaat ic hwile waes Heoden inga scôp,
dryhtne dyre: me waes Deor nama.
âhte ic fela wintra folgath tilne,
holdne hla ford, òth thaet Heorrenda nu,
leothcraeftig monn, londryht getah,
thaet me eorla hleo aer gesalde.
Thaes ofereode, thisses swå maeg*!"

Waldere, B, ll. 8, 9.

"Thaes the hine of nearwum Nith hades maeg,
Wêlandes bearn, Widia út forlêt†."

Widsith, l. 21.

† "Hagena weold Holmrygum and Heoden Glom mum."

* "This I myself will say, that I was for a time court poet of the Heoden ingas, dear to my lord, Deor was my name. I had a good office and a gracious lord for many years, until now Heorrenda, a man skilled in poetry, has received the domain with which the king formerly presented me."

† "Because that from danger Nithhad's kinsman, Wêland's son Widia did rescue him."

‡ "Hagena ruled over the Holmrygas and Heoden over the Glom mas."
II. Other Authorities for Hilde Saga.

Skáldskaparmál, Ch. L.
Fornaldar Sögur I, Sǫrlapátttr. (pp. 391 ff.).
Saxo Grammaticus.
Gudrun.
Völsungasaga.

Skáldsk.

(Ch. L.) "There was a battle called the storm or shower of the Hjaðningar, and the weapons of the Hjaðningar were firebrands or staves. And thus runs this story: A king who was called Högni had a daughter named Hild; a king named Hedin, the son of Hjarðarandi, carried her off as spoil after a battle: at that time Högni had gone to the assembly of kings. But when he heard that his kingdom was harried and his daughter taken away, he set out with his army after Hedin, and learned that he had sailed northwards along the coast. When king Högni came to Norway he learned that Hedin had sailed across the sea to the west; then Högni sailed after him, right to the Orkney islands, and when he came to the one which is called Haey there were Hedin and his men. Then Hild went to meet her father and offered him peace on behalf of Hedin; on the other hand she said that Hedin was ready to fight, and Högni need expect no quarter from him. Högni answered his daughter curtly, so when she met Hedin, she told him that Högni did not wish for peace, and bade him prepare for the combat: and so both of them prepared to go up to the island and to lead on their men. Then Hedin called to Högni his kinsman and offered him peace and much gold as expiation of his crime. Then Högni
answered: 'You offer me this too late, if you are anxious to make peace, because now I have drawn from the sheath Dainsleif, the sword which the dwarfs made, which shall be death to a man each time it is bared, and it never fails at a blow, and the wound which it inflicts never heals.' Then Heðin answered: 'You boast there of your sword, but not of the victory: I call that a good (sword) which never fails its master.' Then they began the combat which is called the battle of the Hjaðningar; and they fought that whole day, and in the evening the kings went to their ships. But in the night, Hild went to the battle-field, and by her magic arts restored to life all those that were dead: and the next day the kings went to the field and fought, and likewise all those that fell on the first day. Thus the battle continued one day after another, so that all the men who fell and all the weapons which lay on the field were turned to dust. But when it dawned, all the dead men stood up and fought, and all the weapons were renewed. Thus it is said in poems that it will be with the Hjaðningar until the end of the world.'

Saxo Grammaticus.

(v, 158–160.) Heðin (Hithinus) was a prince of an important Norwegian tribe, who fell in love by hearsay with Hild (Hilda) the daughter of Hôgni (Höginus) a Jutish chieftain. Heðin's love was returned by the maiden, who had heard of his prowess, and when the two met their passion for one another knew no bounds.

Soon after this, Heðin and Hôgni resolved to make a raid together. Now Hôgni was a big man of a fierce disposition, while Heðin was short of stature and very comely in looks. Before starting on their expedition,
Hogni betrothed his daughter to Heðin, and the two chieftains swore an oath that if either of them should be killed, his death should be avenged by the sword of the other. The raid proved, however, successful, and Heðin and Hogni returned home, having won a great battle off the Orkneys, and captured a number of ships.

But certain slanderous tongues accused Heðin to Hogni of having seduced his daughter before they were betrothed, which was at that time counted a great crime. Hogni believed the report and collected a fleet in order to attack Heðin who was busy collecting tribute on behalf of King Froði (Frotho) from the Slavs. As the result of the battle which took place between them, Hogni was defeated and retired to Jutland.

By this action, Heðin and Hogni broke the peace which had been instituted by Froði. The king enquired into the cause of the quarrel between the two princes, and as no satisfactory settlement could be arrived at, he decreed that the question should be decided by single combat. In the duel which ensued, Hogni, by reason of his superior size and strength, had the advantage; but he spared Heðin out of kindness, for in these times it was counted a shameful action to deprive a weaker adversary of his life.

Seven years afterwards, Heðin and Hogni met again in battle and wounded each other so that they both died. It is said that Hilda longed so ardently for her husband that she each night restored to life by her spells those who had fallen in the battle, in order that they might renew their struggle the next day.
The Introduction to the Sørlapáttir is a page out of Norse mythology: the argument is briefly as follows:—

Loki robbed Freyja of a necklace which the dwarfs had made for her, and gave it to Odin. When Freyja demanded her necklace from Odin, he refused to give it up except on condition that Freyja should bring about a strife between two kings, each of whom had twenty kings under him. The fight between these two kings was to be ordered in such a way that those who fell should rise up at once and fight again, until some Christian man should be brave enough to enter into the battle and bring it to an end.

The Sørlapáttir relates how these conditions were fulfilled, and how the Goddess Freyja thus regained her necklace.

The narrative of the Sørlapáttir, in so far as it affects Hedin and Hogni, is the following:

Hogni was son of Halfdan, king of Denmark, and his friend and brother-in-arms was Sørli, son of Erling, king of Uppland in Norway. Sørli was killed by certain vikings of the Baltic. On hearing of this, Hogni sailed to the Baltic in order to avenge the death of his friend. He there conducted a most successful campaign, and returned home having conquered and brought into subjection, it was said, no less than twenty kings.

There reigned at that time in Africa (Serkland) a king named Hjarandi; he had a son Hedin who was of great size and strength, and who had acquired great fame by his brave deeds. He had gone on campaigns against Spain and Greece and twenty kings paid him tribute.
Hedin was once going through a forest, when passing he saw, seated in a clearing, a large, comely woman, who told him, in answer to his enquiry, that her name was Gondul*. They fell into conversation together, in the course of which Gondul told Hedin that the only king in the world who could compare with him in prowess was Hogni of Denmark.

Hedin determined, therefore, to seek out Hogni; accordingly, when spring came, he set sail in a vessel with three hundred men, and after sailing for a whole year reached Denmark in the following spring.

When Hogni heard of the arrival of this great monarch he made a feast in Hedin's honour. Afterwards the two kings rode out, and vied with one another in various feats of strength and skill, but they were in everything so equally matched that none could say which was the greater, notwithstanding that Hedin was considerably the younger of the two. Then they swore oaths of eternal brotherhood, and Hogni set out on an expedition, leaving Hedin at home to guard his kingdom, and his wife Hervor, and his fair daughter Hild. One day while Hedin was walking in the woods, he saw, as before in Africa, the woman Gondul seated in a clearing. She gave him a potion to drink which made him forget all that had happened in the past. She then told him that it was a blot on his honour to have no wife, while Hogni had already a wife of noble birth, and that the only way in which he could retrieve this disgrace was by carrying off Hild by force in her father's absence, and by killing her mother by crushing her on the rollers when he launched his ship.

* Gondul was in reality a Valkyrie, and was thus possessed of supernatural power.
Hedin went home, still under the influence of the drug, and followed the advice which Gondul had given him. He prepared to set sail with Hild, and caused his boat to be launched over the body of Hervor, her mother, in spite of Hild's entreaties that he should wait until her father's return, when he would receive freely that which he insisted on taking by force, namely, her hand in marriage.

When everything was ready for their departure, Hedin went back to the wood, where he again found Gondul. She gave him another potion, and he lay down and slept by her side. When he awoke he remembered as in a flash all that had happened, and realised the mischief he had done. Then he got up quickly and sailed away, taking Hild with him.

When Hogni came home he was told that Hedin had carried off his daughter and his ship Halfdanamant, and had killed his wife by rolling the ship over her. Hogni was very angry, and immediately pursued Hedin with a fleet, but it always happened that Hogni reached in the evening the port from which Hedin had sailed the previous morning. He at last, however, succeeded in overtaking him at Haey in the Orkneys.

When Hogni came near, Hedin spoke to him and told him that he had killed his wife and carried away his daughter while under the spell of evil witchcraft. In token of penitence, he therefore offered to restore to Hogni his daughter and the ship, with men and money in compensation, and promised to turn his back on northern lands for ever. Hogni replied that he had intended from the beginning to bestow Hild on Hedin in marriage, and that as far as that question was concerned there was
peace between them: but what he would not forgive was
the murder of his wife, and he therefore bade Hedin
prepare for battle.

Then Hedin and Hǫgni and all their men fought and
killed one another: but no sooner were they killed than
they rose up and fought again. In this way the battle
continued until Olaf Tryggvason* became king of Norway,
when it was brought to an end by the intervention of one
of his warriors, according to that which Odin had fore-
ordained.

M.H.G. Epic Gudrun.

The saga underlying the M.H.G. epic Gudrun is
undoubtedly the same as that which we find in Sǫrlapátrr,
and in the accounts of the story of Hedin and Hǫgni
given by Saxo and Snorri. It is not proposed to enter
upon a discussion of the relation to one another of the
two parts of the Gudrun. The question whether the
Gudrun saga grew out of the Hilde saga or whether it was
of independent growth lies outside the limits of this essay,
but a brief survey of the story of Hilde as contained in
the Gudrun is sufficient to show that, taken by itself, it is
merely a German version of the Scandinavian story of
Hedin and Hǫgni.

Hagen, king of Ireland, and his wife Hilde had a fair
daughter Hilde. The report of Hilde's beauty reached
King Hetel of the Hegelingen, who was therefore fired
with a desire to win her as his wife. He knew, however,
that Hagen was a cruel and fierce king, who would kill

* Olaf Tryggvason reigned 995–1000: by this time the Norwegians
had become Christians.
any man whom he suspected of having designs on his  
dughter, and that there was therefore no hope of winning  
Hilde except by strategy. Hetel, therefore, gathered  
together from neighbouring countries a little band of chosen  
warsiers willing to undertake the adventure, the chief of  
whom were Wate of Sturmland, Frute of Denmark, and  
Horand the singer. Hetel equipped a ship for them, and  
provided them with soldiers for their expedition.  
The envoys, on their arrival in Ireland, were received  
as guests, and courteously treated by wild Hagen and  
Hilde his queen. They purported to be traders carrying  
rich merchandise, who had been exiled by King Hetel  
from their home in the land of the Hegelingen. The  
strangers gained great favour at Hagen's court, and  
Horand, by his sweet singing, succeeded not only in  
gaining secretly the love of Hilde for his master, but also  
in winning her consent to a plan for escape.  
The supposed traders then announced to Hagen the  
date of their departure, and the day before that which  
was fixed for setting sail they asked as a great mark of  
favour that Queen Hilde and her daughter might come  
and view the rich merchandise which they had in the  
ship. This request was granted, and when the two ladies  
came on board the ship with their following, the stratagem  
which had been planned was swiftly carried out. Hilde  
was separated from her mother, and with the utmost  
despatch anchor was weighed, and all sails were set for  
the land of the Hegelingen. Hagen gave chase, but over-  
took the fugitives only after they had arrived in Hetel's  
country. A great battle took place in which Hagen was  
in danger of his life. But Hilde interposed, and brought  
about a reconciliation between her father and Hetel.
Hagen then gave his consent to the marriage of Hilde and Hetel, after which he left the land of the Hegelingen, and sailed back to Ireland.

III. COMPARISON OF EVIDENCE WITH REGARD TO THE HILDE SAGA.

The story of Hedin and Hogni, as derived from Scandinavian sources and re-echoed in the mhg. Gudrun, forms a link between Deor ll. 35–41 and Wids. l. 21, where the names Hagena and Heoden are found side by side. These two names are plainly identical with Hogni and Hedin, and their juxtaposition in Widsith may be taken as signifying that the poet knew of the story which connected them. The occurrence in Deor of the names Heodeningas and Heorrenda at once suggests a connection with the same story.

In the Skáldsk. the battle between Hedin and Hogni is called Hjadninga víg, i.e. the fight of the Hjathnings, and in the German version of the saga (i.e. in Gudrun) Hetel (Heðin) is represented as ruling the Hegelingas, which seems to be merely a corruption of Hetelingas*.

The cognate forms Heodeningas and Heoden (Hjaðningar and Heðin), and the fact that in Widsith Heoden is said to have ruled a tribe called the Glommas†, would seem to indicate that Heodeningas (Hjaðningar) was a dynastic rather than a tribal name. According to Saxo, Heðin (Hithinus) was prince of a Norwegian

* It has been suggested by Jiriczek (Northern Hero Legends, p. 135) that the form Hegelingas arose through confusion with the Bavarian place-name Hegelingas, as the Gudrun epic in its present form is almost certainly of Austro-Bavarian origin.

† Nothing is otherwise known of the existence of such a tribe.
tribe, while Hōgni (Hōginus) was a chieftain of the Jutes. According to Widsith, Hōgni (Hagena) ruled the Holmrygas, who are usually identified with the Ulmerugi, a tribe mentioned by Jordanes as living at the mouth of the Vistula. The account of Sǫrlapáttr, which represents Hōgni as king of Denmark and overlord of all Danish lands, and Hēdin as king of Africa, is so obviously invented to suit the purpose of the legend that it need not be considered.

The accounts of Saxo, Skáldsk. and Sǫrlapáttr display remarkable unanimity in their outline of the story of Hēdin and Hōgni. They vary only in unimportant details, and in that Sǫrlapáttr, in addition to the rest of the story, gives an account of the treatment which Hild's mother underwent at the hands of Hēdin.

The German version of the story varies considerably, but the main facts, viz. the kidnapping of the princess and the pursuit of her father, are the same. The proof that we have here the same and not a similar story, is that the names of the characters correspond to those of the Scandinavian and O.E. versions*, while the respective characteristics of Hagen and Hetel correspond almost exactly to those of their Scandinavian prototypes. It should, however, be noted that while Horand, like the Heorrenda of Deor, is a court singer or scóp, Hjarandi is represented in Skáldsk. and in Sǫrlapáttr as the father of Hēdin.

* Hilde is identical with Hild, while Hagen, Hetel and Horand clearly correspond to O.N. Hōgni, Hēdin, Hjarandi, and O.E. Hagena, Heoden, Heorrenda, although the last-mentioned occurs in a corrupted form in O.E.
IV. HISTORICAL VALUE OF THE HILDE SAGA.

It is impossible to assign to the story of Heđin and Högni its definite historical value. Though the facts on which it is based were of common enough occurrence in ancient times, yet the accumulation of circumstantial evidence with regard to this particular episode, and especially the correspondence of authorities, would seem to indicate that the story represented an actual historical incident. It may be said further that the extremely matter-of-fact nature of the reference to Heorrenda contained in Deor, ll. 35 ff., tells strongly against a mythical interpretation of the story.

The never-ending struggle between the two kings is peculiar to the Scandinavian version of the story, and is undoubtedly a later poetic addition. (For another interpretation cf. however Müllenhoff, Z. f. d. A. Vol. xxx, pp. 217 ff., "Frija und der Halsbandmythus.")

(b) WÉLAND SAGA.

I. WÉLAND SAGA IN O.E.

Deor ll. 1–12. Lines 1–5, which form the first strophe of the poem, relate in language so obscure as to be at times almost unintelligible the hardships which Wéland underwent at the hands of the King Nidhad, and especially how he was hamstrunged by the king's orders.

Strophe 2, i.e. ll. 8–12, picture the plight of Beadohild after she had been seduced by Wéland: her fear because of the knowledge of her own pregnancy was aggravated by sorrow on account of the death of her brothers.
II. Other Authorities for Wêland saga.

Völundarqvida.
Thiðrekssaga.

Völundarqvida.

The three young fairy maidens, Ölrún, Hlaðgud Svanhvit and Hervor Alvít, flew to the North, and alighted on the shores of a lake. They were taken to wife by the three heroes Egil, Slagfíð and Völund (Wêland) respectively. After seven years the maidens one day flew away during their husbands' absence from home. Egil and Slagfíð skated southwards in order to try to find their wives, but Völund remained at home hammering and forging golden rings in his smithy.

On one occasion while Völund was out hunting, King Nidúð of the Njars, to whom he was well known, marched with a large following to Völund's home in the Wolf dales. In the hall Nidúð's men found seven hundred rings threaded on bast. These they unstrung and strung again with the exception of one which they took away with them.

When Völund returned he sat down to count his rings, and discovered at once that one of them was missing. He believed that his wife had returned during his absence and had taken the ring, and while sitting thinking over this strange occurrence he fell asleep. He awoke to find himself heavily fettered. This had been done by Nidúð's soldiers. They dragged Völund with them to the king's palace, where by Nidúð's orders he was hamstringed in order to prevent his escape.

Then Völund was taken to the king's smithy at Sea- stead, and compelled to work for him there. The ring which had been previously stolen from him was given to Bóðvild (Beadohild), the king's daughter.
While Völund worked in King Njúđi’s smithy his one thought was how to revenge himself for the wrongs which he had suffered. He succeeded in enticing to his smithy Njúđi’s two sons, whom he murdered. Out of their eyes, teeth and skulls he made jewels and goblets for the king and queen, and for Bǫdvild.

After this, Bǫdvild, having broken her ring, brought it to Völund to be repaired. Völund took advantage of Bǫdvild’s visit to the smithy to seduce her, and to regain her ring, with which he was enabled to escape.

He flew away, and left Bǫdvild weeping for grief at her lover’s departure, and from fear at the thought of her father’s anger.

Alighting on the castle wall, Völund then mocked Njúđi openly, and proclaimed aloud how he had murdered his sons and dishonoured his daughter. He then soared away, and Njúđi in sorrow sent for Bǫdvild, who confirmed the truth of all that Völund had said.

Thidrekssaga*.

(Chaps. 57-79.) †Velent was the son of the giant Vathe, who was born of King Wilkinus and a mermaid. He was apprenticed at first to a smith named Mimi, and afterwards to two dwarfs, through whose instruction he became the greatest of all living smiths.

Velent escaped from the dwarfs, and after tossing on the sea for eighteen days in a hollow tree-trunk which served him as a ship, he arrived in Jutland, which was ruled by a king named Nithung. He was well received

† Proper names are here given as in the German translation.
by Nithung, who gave him an office about his table (to wit, the charge of three knives); he hid, for the time being, his tree-trunk with all that it contained.

After a time Velent lost one of the king's knives; in the absence of Amilias, the royal smith, Velent went to his forge, and forged a new knife exactly like the old one. But this knife was sharper and better than any which Amilias had ever made, as Nithung discovered as soon as he used it. Amilias was angry that the work of another should be preferred to his. He boasted that he was as good a smith as Velent, and demanded that an opportunity should be given for them both to make public trial of their skill. To this King Nithung agreed. Amilias then offered to produce in twelve months' time a suit of armour, the strength of which should be tested by the blows of a sword to be forged by Velent in the same length of time.

For the whole of the twelve months Amilias was busy forging his armour, while Velent took less than half the time to make his sword, Mimung, although he forged and re-forged it three times before the temper of the blade satisfied him. When the day of the test at last arrived, Amilias put on the armour which he had made and strode proudly through the market place: but Velent took Mimung, and standing behind Amilias, he placed the sword on the crest of the helmet, and pressed downwards. He then asked Amilias what his sensations were. Amilias answered that he felt as if cold water were trickling down his back. Velent told him to shake himself, and when Amilias did so, he fell apart into two bits, and so died.

After this, Velent's fame as a smith spread throughout all Northern lands: he was known amongst the Vaeringiär (says the saga) as Volond.
On one occasion King Nithung went out to attack another king. On the eve of the battle he remembered that he had left at home his stone of victory, without which it was vain to hope for success, and in despair, for he was very far from home, he promised to give the half of his kingdom and the hand of his daughter to anyone who would fetch him the stone by the next morning. This feat was accomplished by the smith Velent, but when he had obtained the stone and was on his way back to the king, he was stopped by Nithung’s chamberlain, who attempted to make him give it up, at first by the offer of bribes, but finally by violence. Velent, however, slew the chamberlain and most of his men, reached the king with his prize before the battle had begun, and recounted to him all that had happened. Nithung, glad of any excuse whereby he might avoid fulfilling his promise, rebuked Velent severely for having killed his chamberlain, and drove him away without any reward. But owing to the stone of victory he won the battle and returned home after bringing his campaign to a successful close.

After a time Velent appeared at King Nithung’s court in the disguise of a cook, and attempted to murder the king and his daughter by putting poison in their food. This was discovered, and as a punishment for his evil designs, Nithung ordered Velent to be lamed; but out of admiration for his skill, he gave the cunning smith silver and gold and a smithy in which to work.

Velent was, however, still intent on his revenge, and one day he enticed the king’s daughter to his smithy, and seduced her. Shortly afterwards he succeeded in persuading the king’s two young sons to pay him a visit, and them he murdered. Of their skulls he made a drinking-
cup for the king, and out of their bones he fashioned all sorts of table utensils for the king’s use. No suspicion fell on the smith with regard to the murder, on account of his clever ruse of making the boys walk backwards into his smithy after a fresh fall of snow, so that their footprints appeared to lead in the opposite direction.

After thus accomplishing his revenge, Velent made for himself a skin of feathers in order to escape from Nithung. Before he flew away, he lit on the highest tower of the king’s castle, and proclaimed aloud the crimes which he had committed, namely the seduction of the princess, and the murder of her two brothers. He told the princess that he had left behind in his smithy armour and weapons which he had forged for the son whom she should bear him. This son was afterwards the famous Vithga (Ger. Witig, Wids. Wudga).

III & IV. Discussion of evidence regarding the Weland saga, and of the historical value of the saga.

The Weland saga is of Saxon origin, as has been shown by Jiriczek (D. H. S. 1, pp. 11–54), but it quickly spread through all Teutonic countries, and even filtered through into Romance literature, for we find an old French version of the saga in addition to the many others which exist; in fact, no saga has been more popular or more widely cultivated than the Weland saga from early times up till almost the present day. In the literatures of all early Teutonic peoples, the description of any weapon or armour as the work of Weland was the highest praise which could be bestowed on it, and a sure criterion of its worth. As
late as the eighteenth century there was an English tradition concerning the famous Wayland-Smith which was localised in Berkshire, where a certain prehistoric grave still goes by the name of Wayland-Smith's cave.

The three authorities which are of the greatest importance in a consideration of the Wêland saga are the three which have just been quoted, viz. O.E. Deor, O.N. Volundarqviða, and L.G. Thîþrekssaga; the first two because their evidence is of great antiquity, and because they are evidently closely connected with the original Saxon version of the saga; the third because, although late in date, it grew up on Low German soil in the very home of the Wêland saga, where the traditions concerning the cunning smith lived on through the centuries and are still alive at the present day.

From a consideration of these three authorities we seem forced to the conclusion that there is, in the case of the Wêland saga, less probability of the existence of an historical background for the events described than in the case of almost any other saga. Of course, the possible existence of an historical character, the prototype of the famous smith Wêland, cannot be denied, and in favour of his historicity it may be noted that in the Thîþs, he is represented as the father of Witig (O.E. Wudga, Widia), a warrior of Ermanric, whose actual existence we have no reason to doubt. But the fact that the story of Wêland has been known and localised in so many different countries, and the existence from the most ancient times of similar stories in connection with legendary smiths of other lands, are both circumstances which speak strongly against the probability of the saga having had an historical basis.
Jiriczek explains the saga as the mythical expression of the wonders of the metal age superseding the former age of stone. This explanation seems quite an adequate one, as it can easily be imagined that there should at first appear to be something diabolical in the new inventions which the discovery of the action of fire on metal made possible, and that this strange power and its effects should be personified in the figure of the cunning smith and malignant spirit Wêland. Such a myth would from its nature be common to all peoples. According to Grimm* and Kögel† the name Wêland is altogether symbolical, and is either a participial formation connected with O.N. vel = “skill,” “cunning,” or a compound welvand (wand = G. gewandt) = “versed in cunning works.” It is, however, equally possible that the word Wêland is connected with Vulcanus, the corresponding figure of Roman mythology. The story of Gyges in classical mythology or folklore also offers many parallelisms.

* Gram. i, 462.  
† Ltg. i, 1. 100 f.
CHAPTER VII

THE BURGUNDIANS AND WALDERE.

The important position occupied in ancient Teutonic literature—especially in Scand. and mhg. records—by the tribe of the Burgundians, justifies a somewhat fuller treatment of the references made to them in the O.E. poems than might be otherwise deemed necessary. It has been found convenient to group the discussion of the Burgundians with that of the Waldere saga, as the two stand in partial relation to one another.

(a) The Burgundians.

I. References to the Burgundians in O.E. Poems.

(a) Waldere, Frag. A, l. 25 ff., in which Hildeguth encourages her lover Waldere to do battle with Guthhere, who without due cause has come out to attack him.

(b) Frag. B, a dialogue between Waldere and Guthhere which takes place just before the combat, and in which the latter is addressed as Wine Burgenda, i.e. "Friend of the Burgundians."

1. 65:

"And mid Burgendum, thaer ic beāg gethāh:
me thaer Guthhere forgeaf glaedlicne māththum
songes to laene: naes thaet saene cyning*." 

II. FOREIGN AUTHORITIES CONTAINING EVIDENCE
WITH REGARD TO THE BURGUNDIANS.

Historical. Pliny (the elder).
Ptolemy.
Ammianus Marcellinus.
Idatius. (Migne, Patro. l. 51.)
Olympiodorus (C. Müller. Fragmenta
Historicorum Graecorum. Vol. iv.)
Priscus
Socrates.
Cassiodorus.

Legendary. Edda Poems.
Prose Edda.
Völsungasaga.
Nibelungenlied, and others.

* "I have been among the Burgundians, where I received a ring (bracelet). There Guthhere gave me the bright treasure in reward for my poem. He was not a slothful king."
III & IV. The Burgundians in History.

The earliest known reference to the Burgundians is made by the elder Pliny (Nat. Hist. iv, 99) who wrote about the year 79 A.D. He classes the Burgundians with the Goths as part of the Vandili, and therefore an eastern tribe*.

Ptolemy, writing in the second century, places the Burgundians between the Vistula and the Suebos (Warnow ?)†.

Ammianus (xxviii, 5), writing about 380 A.D., speaks of the Burgundians as occupying the upper half of the Main basin during the second half of the fourth century. During the reign of Valentinian I (364–375), the Burgundians were allied with the Romans against the Alemanni.

The Burgundian language had affinities with Bavarian, Alemannic, and Gothic.

The Burgundians crossed the Rhine, and settled in and around Worms, probably after the year 406, which was the date of the great southerly migration of Vandals and Suebi.

According to Prosper of Aquitaine‡ (c. 450) and Cassiodorus§ the Burgundians gained a part of Gaul beside the Rhine in the year 413, at which time they

* "Germanorum genera quinque: Vandili, quorum pars Burgodiones, Varinnae, Charini, Gutones."
† ἕχρι τοῦ Συνῆθου στατου, καὶ τὸ τῶν Βουργοννῶν τὰ ἑφεξῆς καὶ ἕχρι τοῦ Ὀλυστοῦλα κατεχόντων.
‡ Prosper of Aquitaine, under year 413: "Burgundiones partem Galliae propinquam Rheno obtinuerunt."
§ Cassiodorus, under year 413: "Burgundiones partem Galliae Rheno tenuere conjunctam."
were already Catholic Christians, whereas all the other Christian tribes amongst the Teutons were Aryans.

Olympiodorus* relates that in 412 Gyntiarios, a prince of Burgundy, and Goar, an Alan, set up Jovinus as Emperor.

The Burgundian kingdom on the Rhine lasted until 436 or 437, when the Burgundians were almost annihilated by the Huns under Attila. Previous to this, however, in 435, the Burgundians under their king, Gundicarius, were defeated by, and obtained terms of peace from, the Romans under Aëtius†.

Socrates‡, in an undated entry, says that the Burgundians made a successful attack on the Huns after the death of the Hunnish king Uptar. This may have been the immediate cause of the overwhelming defeat inflicted on the Burgundians by Attila shortly afterwards§.

* Olympiodorus Thebaeus, under year 412 (Latin transl.): “Jovinus apud Moguntiacum, Germaniae alterius urbem, studio Goaris Alani et Guntiarii Burgundionum praefecti, tyrannus creatus est.”

† Paulus Diaconus (De episc. Metens.): “Eo igitur tempore...Attila rex Hunnorum omnibus belluis crudelior, habens multas barbaras nationes suo subjectas dominio, postquam Gundigarium, Burgundionum regem, sibi occurrentem prostriverat.”

‡ Socrates: “Exinde fidente animo adversus Hunnos progressi (Burgundiones) sunt: nec spes eos fefellerit. Etenim rege Hunnorum, cui nomen erat Optar, prae nimia ciborum infulue nocte quadam suffocato, Burgundiones in Hunnos duce destitutos subito irruentes, paucique plurimos aggressi, victoriam reportarunt. Cum enim ipsi tria duntaxat hominum millia essent Hunnorum decem circiter millia interfecerunt.”

§ Prosper of Aquitaine, under year 435: “Eodem tempore Gundicarium Burgundionum regem intra Gallias habitantem Aëtius bello obtinuit pacemque ei supplicanti dedit, qua non diu potitus est. Siquidem illum Hunni cum populo atque stirpe sua deleverunt.”

Idatius, under year 435: “Burgundiones qui rebellaverant a Romanis duce Aëtio debellantur.”
Some Burgundians seem to have fought on the Roman side under Aëtius in the great battle against Attila in 451 (cf. Jordanes, Ch. 36). The remnant of the people found their way south to the Rhone valley, where they formed a new kingdom. According to Prosper Tiro* they obtained Savoy in the year 443. For nearly a century the Burgundians managed to retain their independence in the face of constant struggles with the Franks and Ostrogoths, but in 534 they were finally defeated by the sons of Chlodovech (Clovis) and their territory became incorporated with the kingdom of the Franks.

THE BURGUNDIANS IN SAGA.

In addition to the historical evidence which has just been quoted, we have access to abundant information regarding the Burgundians in the mhg. Nibelungenlied and in the O.N. poems and sagas. The subject of all of these alike is the destruction of the Burgundians at the hands of Attila, an event which offered plenty of scope for poetic and imaginative treatment. The story of the Burgundians was united in O.N., and afterwards in mhg., with the saga of the Völsungs, which celebrated the deeds of Sigurð (Germ. Siegfried) the hero and dragon-slayer of the North, a figure in many respects resembling Dietrich of Bern.

* Prosper Tiro, under year 436: "Bellum contra Burgundionum gentem memorabile exarsit, quo universa gens cum rege per Aëtium deleta."

Idatius, under year 436: "Burgundionum caesa viginti millia."

Prosper Tiro, under year 443: "Sabaudia Burgundionum reliquis datur cum indigenis dividenda."
It is beyond the limits of this essay to discuss the Scandinavian and German versions of the story of the Burgundians in their mutual relation. Quite possibly the groundwork of the story, which is the same in all accounts—namely, the luring of the Burgundians to the country of the Huns and the subsequent treachery of Attila—may be an historical account of the way in which the destruction of this people took place. We may, in any case, in view of the information contained in the works of various classical historians, accept the authority of the *Nibelungenlied* and of the O.N. records, that Gundaharius (Germ. Gunther, O.E. Guthhere) was leader of the Burgundians at the time of the catastrophe. Thus the historical references to the Burgundians up to the time of their incorporation with the Franks cover about four centuries. The first time that we hear of them they appear to have been situated in and around the basin of the river Oder, after which we find them migrating by slow stages across Europe, in a south-westerly direction, as far as Savoy, where they made their permanent home.

Our chief source of information regarding the kings of the Burgundians is the *Lex Burgundionum* compiled by the lawgiver. King Gundobad at the end of the fifth century. We can also rely to a certain extent on the evidence of O.N. and mhg. literary records.

In the *Lex Burg.*, the reference made by Gundobad to his ancestors is as follows:

“Si quos apud regiae memoriae auctores nostros, id est Gibicam, Godomarem, Gislaharium, Gundaharium, patrem quoque nostrum, et patrum, liberos liberasve fuisse constiterit in eadem libertate permanent.” All that we can gather from this as to the relationship
of these four kings is that Gebica was earlier than the other three. In German saga literature Gunther (Gundaharius) and Giselher (Gislaharius) are brothers, and have a third brother Gernot: their father is Dankwart.

In Scandinavian saga, Gjuki, king at Worms on the Rhine, has three sons, Gunnar, Högni (Germ. Hagen) and Guttorm, but Guttorm is only a half-brother of the other two. According to the Waltharius of Ekkehart, Gibicho, "king of the Franks," has a son Gunther.

Thus all saga literature dealing with the Burgundians agrees in representing three Burgundian princes, who perhaps correspond to Gundaharius, Godomar, and Gislaharius, of theLex Burg., as brothers, and sons of a king corresponding to Gebica of the Lex Burg. There is, however, no historical confirmation of this.

Of these four kings mentioned in Gundobad’s code, the figure of Gundaharius is by far the most prominent. Round him clusters the whole wealth of saga and story commemorating the glorious deeds of the Burgundians and their defeat by the Huns under Attila, through which the reign of Gundaharius was brought to an untimely end.

After founding their new kingdom in the Rhone valley, the Burgundians were ruled by the aforementioned Gundobad, the compiler of theLex Burg., who flourished at the end of the fifth and beginning of the sixth centuries. Gundobad was succeeded by Sigisbert and afterwards by Gondomar. Under the latter prince the Burgundians were finally defeated by the sons of Chlodovech, and Gondomar himself abandoned his kingdom and fled to Italy.
The allusion to the *Völsungasaga* contained in *Beowulf*, ll. 875–900*, may be mentioned at this point. The first part of the passage contains a reference to the exploits of Sigemund, and to Sigemund's nephew Fitela; Sigemund is called *Wälses eafera* (l. 897), which clearly identifies him with Sigmund, the son of *Völsung*, and Fitela with Sigmund's son and nephew *Sinfjötli*. The *Beowulf* passage proceeds to give an account of Sigemund's single-handed fight with a dragon: in all Scandinavian versions of the story, however, the hero of the dragon fight is not Sigmund, but his son Sigurd.

Sigurd is represented, in German and Scandinavian sources alike, as a king possessing territory in the Netherlands, but we find no references to either Sigmund or Sigurd in historical records, and there is a general tendency to regard their figures as mythical.

* Clark Hall's translation of the passage is as follows: "He related everything that he had heard men say of Sigemund, his deeds of valour, many untold things, the struggle of the Wälsing (C.H. son of Wael), his wanderings far and wide, the feuds and treacheries—things that the sons of men knew nothing of save Fitela who was with him, when he, the uncle, would tell something of such a matter to his nephew as they had always been friends in need in every struggle, and had felled with their swords large numbers of the race of monsters.

"There arose no little fame to Sigemund after his death-day, since he, hardy in battle, had killed the dragon, keeper of the hoard. Under the grey rock he, son of a prince, ventured the perilous deed alone,—Fitela was not with him.

"Yet it befell him that the sword pierced through the wondrous snake, so that it, the sterling blade, stuck in the rock—the dragon died a violent death. By valour had the warrior secured that he might enjoy the ring-hoard at his own will; the Wälsing (C.H. son of Wael) loaded a sea boat, bare the shining treasures into the bosom of the ship. Fire consumed the dragon.

"In deeds of bravery he was by far the most renowned of adventurers among the tribes of men, and thus he threwerewhile."
But, as has been pointed out by Mr Chadwick*, the traditions regarding Sigmund and his family cannot, on account of their great age, be lightly dismissed as mythical, although some elements in the story which is told of them—e.g. the fight with the dragon—are undoubtedly to be considered as such. In addition to the Beowulf reference, Sigmund and Sinfjötli occur also in two of the Edda Poems (Helgakviður Hundingsbána) which are entirely free from German influence, but here as in the Beowulf the name of Sigurd is not mentioned. In the Beowulf, as we have seen (cf. sup. Ch. II, p. 121), Sigmund is brought into relation with Heremod, a Danish prince, and in Hyndlji. the two names are again found side by side. The natural inference from all this is: (1) that the association of Sigmund with Heremod must date from a very early time, while English and Scandinavian traditions were still influencing one another, and (2) that the information contained in the Beowulf about Sigmund and Sinfjötli was therefore in all probability not due to late German influence, but was part of the body of tradition brought by our forefathers from their continental home. Although there is not conclusive proof of the actual existence of Sigmund and his family, the antiquity of the traditions concerning them gives them at least as valid a claim to historicity as any other characters of the Heroic Age: and since we have scarcely any knowledge of the course of events on the lower Rhine at the beginning of the fifth century, there is certainly not sufficient ground for doubting that such princes as Sigmund and Sigurð may actually have reigned there.

(b) WALDERE SAGA.

I. The only reference to the saga of Waldere in O.E. literature is contained in the epic fragment which bears his name.

The foreign authorities which contain evidence with regard to this saga may be classified according to the three distinct versions of the saga which exist. They are as follows*:

1. Alemannic version of the Waldere—or Walthari—saga which appears in

   (a) Ekkehart's Waltharius, ed. by V. Scheffel and A. Holder, Stuttgart, 1874; German transl. by H. Althof, Leipzig, 1896.

   (b) References in the Nibelungenlied and in Biterolf.

   (This is the version which appears in the O.E. Waldere.)

2. Frankish version of the saga which appears in

   (a) Thidrekssaga.


   (Reference in Austrian poem, "von dem übelen weibe," Z. E. No. xxviii, 3.)

* For this classification, I am indebted to Symons' article "Die deutsche Heldensage" in Paul's Gründriss, Vol. iii.
THE BURGUNDIANS AND WALDERE

3. Polish version of the saga which appears in
   (b) Polish chronicle (sixteenth century).
   (Here the saga has received additions altogether foreign to it in its German form: this version need not therefore be discussed in the present connection.)

I. ALEMANNIC VERSION OF WALTHARI SAGA.

   A.S. WALDERE.

   The A.S. *Waldere* consists of two fragments of what was either a ballad on the Walthari saga, or an epic poem in the same style as the *Beowulf*. Fragment A is a speech made by Hildeguth, who incited her lover Waldere to battle, while Fragment B is a dialogue between Waldere and Guthhere.

   The fragments translated into Modern English are as follows:

   A. "...incited him willingly. Assuredly the handiwork of Wéland fails no man who can wield Miming the hard one. Often in the battle there fell, bloodstained and wounded, one warrior after another. Champion of Attila! Let not yet thy courage fail on this day, let not thy prowess decline!

   "...But the day is come when thou shalt assuredly do one of two, either shalt thou lose thy life, or thou shalt obtain lasting glory among men, son of Aelfhere! By no means, my beloved, can I chide thee with words, that I have ever seen thee at the sword-play, through fear of any man, evade the combat, flee to the rampart and save
thy life, although many enemies hewed thy shield with their bills. But thou didst ever seek further battle—didst pursue thy cause over the border (?)—therefore I feared thy destiny, that thou shouldst follow up the fight too bravely, the struggle with another man. Uphold thine honour by good deeds, while God's care is over thee! Neither be thou anxious for thy sword; to thee was the best of treasures given, for our help. With it thou shalt humble Gunther's pride, because he first began unrighteously to seek this combat. He refused the sword and treasures with many rings: now, deprived of both, he shall go from this battle to seek the ancient possession of which he is lord, or here first die, if he...

B. "...a better (sword) except this one, which I too have kept hidden in secret in its scabbard (stone-chest). I know that Theodoric wished to send it to Widia, and also great treasure of jewels with the sword, and to adorn with gold many other things with it (?), he received the reward long due, because that he, the kinsman of Nithhad, the son of Wëland, Widia had delivered him from danger: he hastened forth over the territory of the giants.' Waldere spoke, the mighty amongst warriors: he had in his hands the consolation of battle, the gem of fighting bills, he spoke in these words: 'What, thou didst even think, friend of the Burgundians, that the hand of Hagen should do battle with me, and disable me for battle on foot. Take if thou dare, the hoary byrny, from the one weary in battle! Here on my shoulders lies (stands) the legacy of Aelfhere good and well-arched(?), adorned with gold, an honourable apparel for the noble prince whose own hand defends the treasure of his life against his enemies: it will not desert me when evil
faithless kinsmen again make the attack, meet me with swords as ye did. But he can grant the victory, who is prompt and wise of counsel towards all that is good; he who believes in his holy help, in the assistance of God, will find it to the full, if he (i.e. God) remembers his previous merits: then must the great men distribute riches, and rule their possession...."

The background of events underlying these two fragments is to be found in the Latin poem *Waltharius*, of the tenth century, written by the monk Ekkehart I of St Gallen.

The argument of the poem is shortly as follows:

Attila, the famous king of the Huns, whose home was in Pannonia, made an expedition against the Franks, and forced their king Gibicho* to render him tribute and hostages. Hagen was handed over by Gibicho as hostage, his own son Gunther being still too young. Hagen was of royal birth and of Trojan † origin, Troja being the fabulous home of the Franks.

Attila continued his victorious progress through the land of the Burgundians and through Aquitaine. From Heriricus (O.H.G. Hererih), the king of the Burgundians, who reigned in Chalon-sur-Saône, he obtained as a hostage his daughter Hildegund, and from Aelfhere, king of Aquitaine, his son Walther (Waltharius). Walther and Hildegund, although only children, were already betrothed to each other.

* This is, of course, a mistake: for as we have seen (cf. p. 215), Gibicho and Gunther (*Lex Burg. Gebica, Gundaharius*) were kings, not of the Franks, but of the Burgundians. Cf. also for older form of the saga, *Wald*. fragments where Guthhere is addressed as *Wine Burgenda*.

† Cf. *Nibelungenlied*, Hagen von Tronje; *Thidrekss*. Hagen af Troja.
The hostages fared well at the court of the Hunnish king, and were treated by Attila as if they were his own children. When Hagen and Walther grew up, they excelled in all manner of knightly accomplishments; each of them was entrusted with a high military command, while Hildegund became chief maiden in the household of the queen.

But meanwhile Gibicho died, and was succeeded by Gunther, who refused to pay tribute to Attila; Hagen made this the opportunity to escape from the Hunnish court and to flee back to his own country. After Hagen's flight, Attila's queen was afraid that Walther might also attempt to escape, and advised her husband to marry him to a Hunnish maiden, in order that his interests and affections might be bound to the home of his adoption. The betrothal of Walther and Hildegund and their love for one another was not known at the Hunnish court.

But Walther was warned by Hildegund of Attila's design, and the two arranged a plan for escape, which they carried out successfully, taking with them a quantity of treasure. When their flight was discovered, Attila offered a large reward to anyone who would capture and bring back the fugitives, but Walther's strength was known and feared, and no one could be tempted to set off in pursuit of him. Walther and Hildegund therefore continued their journey unmolested, until they reached Worms, the capital of Gunther, king of the Franks, where their identity was discovered by means of some strange fish with which Walther paid the ferryman who rowed them over the Rhine. Gunther, guessing who the stranger was, at once determined to follow him in order to rob him
of his treasure, although warned by Hagen (who knew the strength of Walther’s arm) that he would repent having done so. But to these warnings Gunther turned a deaf ear, for he regarded this as nothing short of a miraculous opportunity offered him to regain the tribute money which Attila had, for so many years, extorted from the Franks. With twelve chosen warriors, of whom Hagen, much against his will, was one, Gunther accordingly gave chase, and overtook Walther and Hildegund in the Vosges (Vosagus) Mountains (O.H.G. Wâskenstein, Wâsgenstein), where they halted to rest. Walther, who was encamped in a strong position, was not daunted by the array of warriors which Gunther had brought out against him. He spoke words of cheer and comfort to his gentle companion, who was terrified at the sight of so many armed men, and boasted that he could overthrow with ease in single combat any of the twelve except Hagen, whom he feared on account of their long acquaintance with each other’s methods of warfare.

Disregarding Hagen’s final warning, Gunther then sent up one by one eight warriors, each of whom Walther killed. The position in which he was entrenched did not permit of his being attacked by more than one at a time. The remaining three warriors (for Hagen had sat apart the whole time, refusing to take any share in the fight), of whom Patafried, Hagen’s nephew, was one, then attacked Walther with a trident: but they met with no better success than their predecessors, and were all slain. Thus, of all the Franks, only Gunther and Hagen were left alive.

After many entreaties, Hagen yielded to Gunther’s request that he would fight with him against Walther.
His duty towards his king was the only consideration which weighed with Hagen and caused him to break the vows of brotherhood which he had sworn to Walther; nothing else, not even the duty of avenging his slain kinsman, would have made him take arms against the friend of his youth.

Gunther and Hagen determined to lure their enemy into open country before attacking him, and to effect this they made a ruse of flight and retired towards evening to a point some distance away.

After a night's rest, Walther and Hildegund continued their journey. No sooner, however, had they left the shelter of the rocks and got into the open, than Walther was fiercely attacked by Gunther and Hagen. Walther reproached Hagen with having broken his oath of friendship, but Hagen defended his action as the just revenge for the death of a kinsman—namely Patafried.

The struggle between the three was long and terrible. At last they could fight no longer, for Gunther had lost a leg, Hagen an eye, and Walther his right hand. The demands of honour having been thus satisfied, a reconciliation between the warriors took place, and Walther called on Hildegund, who in great fear had watched the battle from afar, to come and bind up their wounds. Then they made merry together over their injuries, and finally parted as friends and brothers.

The Franks returned to Worms, while Walther and Hildegund journeyed home to Aquitaine without mishap. There they were married, and reigned in prosperity for thirty years.
II. FRANKISH VERSION OF WALTHARI SAGA.

Mhg. fragment of the Poem Walther und Hildegund*.

At the point at which the fragment commences, Walther and Hildegund had escaped from the Huns and were on their way home to Langres†, where Walther’s father Alker (O.E. Aelfhere) was king. They had been guided across the Rhine by Volker, whom Gunther had given them as an escort. Walther had sent messengers before him to Langres to announce his coming to his father and mother. When Alker and his queen heard these tidings they rejoiced, and made great preparations to receive their son and his bride. The messengers who brought the news of Walther’s arrival also related how he had escaped from the Huns, and had slain those of them who came out in pursuit of him.

After these things, Alker sent heralds all through his land to announce to his subjects that his son Walther was to be married to Hildegund of Arragon. The heralds rode to Engelland, Navarre, and Kerlingen, and after much consideration Walther also sent an invitation to his wedding to King Etzel. The news of the marriage was brought to King Gunther by Volker on his return from Langres.

THIDREKSSAGA‡.

Chaps. 241–244. King Attila reigned in Susat. He made an alliance with Erminrek, King of Pul (Apulia), and exchanged hostages with him. Attila sent to Erminrek his

† Langres is however represented by the poet as in Spain, and Walther is spoken of as “der Vogt von Spanige.”
nephew Osith, with twelve knights, while Erminrek sent to Attila his nephew Valtari of Vaskasteine with other twelve knights. Valtari was twelve years old when he came to Attila's court, and he remained there until he was nineteen. After he had been there a year, Attila received as a hostage Hildegunn, the daughter of the Greek Earl Ilias. Valtari and Hildegunn fell in love with each other, without the knowledge of Attila, and one day, while Attila and all his court were feasting, they conferred in secret and determined to escape. The next morning they fled, taking much of Attila's treasure with them.

When Attila discovered the trick that had been played upon him, he despatched twelve warriors, amongst whom was Högni, the son of Alldrian, in pursuit of the fugitives. Valtari and Hildegunn were quickly overtaken, but Valtari fought with and killed eleven of the twelve warriors, Högni being the sole survivor. The two sat down together to a meal of swine's flesh, after which they fought a second time: but Valtari put out Högni's eye by hurling at him the backbone of the swine which they had eaten, whereupon the latter turned and fled back to Attila.

Valtari and Hildegunn, however, continued their journey to the court of Erminrek, where they were well received. They afterwards became reconciled to Attila by sending him rich gifts.

III. Comparison of DifferentAuthorities dealing with Walthari saga.

It is not necessary for our purpose to enter upon a detailed comparison of the different versions of the Walthari saga given above; the story is essentially the same
in all its versions with regard to the main facts, namely, Walther's life as a hostage at the court of the Hunnish king Attila, his successful escape with Hildegund, a fellow-hostage, and their adventurous journey to the home of Walther's parents, at the end of which they were married. Peculiar to the Alemannic version of the saga is Walther's encounter with Gunther and his warriors, and to the Frankish version, the pursuit of Walther by the Huns, and his subsequent reconciliation to Attila. As in its representation of Gunther (Guthhere) as king of the Burgundians, so also in its description of Hildegund's (Hildeguth's) character, does the O.E. Waldere without doubt preserve an older tradition than Ekkehart's Waldarius. In the latter authority she is portrayed as a timid, shrinking maiden, terrified at the sight of fighting and of blood, and fearful for the safety of her beloved. This picture of Hildegund is clearly tinged by the medieval monastic ideal of feminine character: that of the O.E. Waldere bears a much more genuine and ancient imprint, for here Hildegund (Hildeguth) is the direct descendant of the Valkyries, a bold and warlike maiden, jubilating in her hero's lofty courage, and counting death but a little thing as compared with the gaining of enduring reputation in battle.

IV. HISTORICAL VALUE OF THE WALTHARI SAGA.

The story of Walther and Hildegund seems to bear the impress of a genuine historical narrative*, and there is no reason to doubt that the events which it relates

* Heinzel has showed clearly (Wiener S. B. cxvii, 2) that the works of the historian Priscus supply plentiful parallels from Hunnish history during the reign of Attila to all the events described in the story.
really took place. The most interesting question in connection with the saga, and one which has not as yet been satisfactorily solved, is that of the identity of Walther himself. Who and what was he?

In all accounts of the story of Walther his name is associated with the Wâskenstein, or Vosges Mountains, which appears to have been the scene of his single-handed victory over Gunther and his warriors. According to the mhg. *Walther and Hildegund*, Walther's home was Langres in Haute-Marne, which lies in the N.E. of France, and is separated from Worms and the Rhine valley (through which Walther's journey led him) only by the Vosges Mountains. The Waltharius of Ekkehart, on the other hand, represents Walther as a prince of Aquitaine, and therefore presumably a Visigothic hero. But it is very improbable that anyone coming from Pannonia, the seat of the Huns in southern Austria-Hungary, to Aquitaine would travel via the middle Rhine and the Vosges Mountains; Langres would be a far more fitting conclusion to such a journey. Moreover, it is possible that the idea of assigning to Walther a home in Aquitaine may have first arisen through verbal confusion. In a Wessobrunner codex of the eighth century we find *Wascono lant* = Aquitania, and it is not improbable that this form underwent confusion with Waskenstein = Vosagus or Vosges Mountains, although the two are quite independent. On the whole, the existing evidence seems to point to the conclusion that Walther was not a Visigoth. We have therefore to consider the alternative possibilities with regard to his home and nationality.

The events of the Walthari saga belong to the first half of the fifth century, and in all probability to some date
previous to 437, in which year Gunther, king of the Burgundians, who is an important figure in the story of Walther, was defeated and slain by the Huns.

It is evident that Walther was not a Burgundian, else he would not have been engaged in hostilities with Gunther and Hagen. It is also improbable that he belonged to the Franks, whose conquest of and settlement in Gaul did not take place until the end of the fifth century*. The most probable alternative appears on the whole to be that Walther belonged to one of the tribes of the Vandili or Suevi, who began their great southerly migration from the banks of the Rhine about the year 406 A.D.†—a movement which ended only when they had passed over the Pyrenees. Some of the stragglers amongst the emigrants may still have been in N.E.

* In this connection, however, I am indebted to Mr H. M. Chadwick for a suggestion, well worthy of consideration, with regard to Walther's origin. Although the conquest of Gaul by the Franks was not accomplished until the end of the sixth century, as early as the end of the third century there appear to have been some Frankish settlements on the west side of the Vosges Mts, in the neighbourhood of Langres (cf. Zeuss, Die Deutschen und die Nachbarstämme, pp. 336, 582–84). Zeuss quotes Eumenius, who, writing to Constantius, says: "ita nunc per victorias tuas, Constanti Caesar invicto, quidquid infrequens Ambiano et Bellovaco et Tricasino solo, Lingonicoque restabat, barbaro cultore revirescit." These bands of Franks—Chamavi and Attuarii—had been transplanted by Constantius from the lands round the mouth of the Rhine.

Now in Ekkehart's Waltharius, Gunther is called King of the Franks, while Hildegund is referred to as a Burgundian princess. At a later date both Langres and Chalon-sur-Saône were in the province of Burgundy, while Worms and the surrounding districts had passed into the hands of the Franks. It seems just possible that the names Frank and Burgundian in the story may have become transposed, and that whereas Gunther was in reality a Burgundian, Hildegund—and Walther her husband—were in reality Franks.

† Cf. Zeuss, Die Deutschen und die Nachbarstämme, pp. 449 ff.
Gaul (Langres) as late as 435, which may be taken as the approximate date of Walther's flight from the Huns, and the subsequent settlement in Spain of the main body of the Vandili and Suevi may account for the representation of Walther as a Spaniard in later (especially mhg.*) authorities.

Several scholars (Müllenhoff, Scherer, Symons, &c.) have laid great weight on a supposed connection between the Walthari saga and the "mythical" (?) Hilde saga (cf. Ch. vi, pp. 190 ff.). The discussion of this point really lies outside the scope of the present work, but it may be said, that although the later versions of the Walthari saga possibly reflect in some measure, and may have taken over certain features of the Hilde saga, the oldest version, viz. that of Ekkehart's Waltharius and the O.E. Waldere, contains nothing in common with it beyond the identity of one name—Hagen—and the fact that in both sagas the hero fights with the warrior bearing this name. It is true that the heroines of the two sagas bear the names Hilde and Hildegund respectively, but on this no conclusions can be based, owing to the extreme frequency of the name Hilde—and derivatives of it—in early Teutonic literature. Nor can any weight be attached to the occurrence of a story of abduction in both sagas. Quite apart from the consideration that abduction was in these times more often than not the only possible way of obtaining a bride, the underlying circumstances are totally different in the two cases. Finally, there is by no means sufficient ground to at once dismiss the Hilde saga as mythical; for, except in one respect, viz. the never-ending fight between

* In the Nibelungenlied, Biterolf, &c. Walther is frequently referred to as "Walther von Spanje" or "der Vogt von Spanje."
the two kings, the story, as has been shown (cf. Ch. vi, p. 201) may very well represent an historical episode. Certainly the only reference of any length which is made to the subject in O.E. literature, viz. *Deor*, lines 35 ff., is almost crudely matter-of-fact, and one which it is only possible to conceive as a reminiscence of actual personal experience.
CHAPTER VIII

THE ERMANRIC SAGA.

The O.E. heroic poems contain various scattered references to the great Ostrogothic king Ermanric and to the saga which bears his name. It is beyond the scope of this book to treat the Ermanric saga in detail (cf. Jiriczek, D. H. S., Vol. I, pp. 55-118); the points which here fall under discussion are:

(a) The historical significance of the figure of Ermanric: cf. Deor, ll. 20 ff.; Wids., ll. 7 ff., l. 18, ll. 88 ff.


(c) The story of Hama and the Brosingamene: cf. Beo., ll. 1197-1207.

(a) ERMANRIC.

I. ERMANRIC IN O.E. LITERATURE.

Beowulf, ll. 1197-1201.

“Nænigne ic under swegle sêlran hýrde
hordmâththum hæletha, syththan Hâma ætwaeg
tô þære byrhtan byrig Brosinga mene,
sigle and sincaet, searo-nithas fleah
Eormenrices*.”

* “I have never heard of a finer jewel in the possession of men under the sky, since Hama brought to the bright castle the Brosingamene, necklace and casket, and escaped from the treacherous hostility of Ermanric.”
Deor, 20 ff.

“We geascodan Eormanrices
wylfenne gethòht : âhte wide folc
Gotena rices ; thaet waes grim cyning.
saet seeg monig sorgum gebunden,
thaet thaes cynerices ofercumen waere*.”

Widsith, ll. 7 ff., ll. 88 ff.

“...He...
Hrêthcyninges hâm gesôhte
eastan of Ongle Eormanrices,
wrathes waerlogan.”

l. 18:

“Eormanric (weold) Gotum.”

ll. 88 ff., more detailed reference to Ermanric saga:

“And ic waes mid Eormanrice ealle thrage,
thaer me Gotena cyning gode dohte,
se me beág forgeaf burgwarena fruma,” &c.

ll. 109—130, list of Ermanric’s champions.
Waldere B. l. 4, for Wudga (Widia).

II. CHIEF FOREIGN REFERENCES TO THE ERMANRIC SAGA.

Historical.
Ammianus Marcellinus.

Semi-Historical.
Jordanes.

Legendary.
Scandinavian. Saxo.
(Older) Poetic Edda ; Hamtíis-mál.
Prose Edda ; Skáldsk.
Völss.

* “We heard of Ermanric’s wolf-like disposition: his sovereignty in the kingdom of the Goths was wide extended. He was a grim king. Many men sat there overwhelmed by anxiety, expecting trouble, and they wished with all their heart that his rule was at an end.”
Scandinavian. Thóss.


AMMIANUS MARCELLINUS.

The evidence of Ammianus regarding Ermanric is of a strictly contemporary nature and is the earliest reference to this king that we possess.

Ammianus (Ch. xxxi, 3, 1*) relates that the Huns, having completely subjugated the Alani, whom they compelled to enter into alliance with them, fell upon the dominions of Ermanric, a king whose mighty deeds had caused him to become known and feared throughout many lands. This sudden attack of the Huns took Ermanric by surprise, and, after a fruitless attempt at resistance, he committed suicide in order to escape the fate which threatened him from his enemies.

JORDANES.

Jordanes (Get. Ch. xiv, 82*), quoting an earlier historian Ablabius, says that a part of the people living on the coast of the Pontus were called Ostrogothae, but whether this was from the name of their prince, Ostrogotha, or on account of their easterly situation, was unknown: the rest of the same people were called Vesegothae (Visigoths) on account of their westerly situation.

* This passage is quoted in full at the end of the chapter. Cf. p. 255.
According to Jordanes (Get. Ch. xxiii *) Hermanaricus succeeded a king named Geberich, and conquered a large number of nations, many of whom lived in the south of Russia. He then conquered the Heruli, after which he turned his arms against the Venthi (Slavs) and the Aisti, whom he also conquered. In his reign the Gothic kingdom was invaded by the Huns.

Jordanes differs from Ammianus in his account of Ermanric’s death. The story which he tells (cf. Ch. xxiv) is shortly this. Ermanric had caused to be trampled under wild horses a woman named Suanihilda of the tribe of the Rosomonen. The reason for this crime was that Suanihilda’s husband had decamped from Ermanric’s court “in a fraudulent manner.” Sarus and Ammius, the brothers of Suanihilda, avenged their sister’s death by a murderous attack on Ermanric. As the result of the wounds which they inflicted, the king was seized by a fatal disease. The malady was aggravated by his despair at the approach of the invading Hunnish armies, and he died soon afterwards.

Scandinavian authorities—notably Hamðis-mál, Saxo, Skáldsk. and Völs.—tell a story which obviously stands in close relation to the account given by Jordanes.

Hamðis-mál.

Hamðis-mál (contained in the older Edda) is the oldest Scandinavian authority which contains the story of Swanhild and Ermanric; but the poem in its present form is incomplete, the first part (which dealt with the

* See note on p. 234.
murder of Swanhild) having been lost. The events related in the poem as it stands are as follows:—

The stern-hearted Gudrún stirred up her sons Hamði and Sǫrli to avenge the death of their sister Swanhild, who had been trodden to death by horses at the order of Jǫrmunrek (Ermanric). They agreed to go on this quest rather reluctantly, for they foresaw that they should not return from it alive. Guðrún brought out from her storehouse weapons, and armour which made the wearers invulnerable, and these she gave her sons for their expedition. As the two rode out they met their half-brother Erp, who offered to ride with them to Jǫrmunrek's court; but Hamði and Sǫrli despised this offer and slew Erp there. Then they rode on, and came in course of time to Jǫrmunrek's castle, where the king sat within feasting, surrounded by his court. They dashed in, and attacking Jǫrmunrek, cut off his hands and feet; whereupon the whole company of the Goths set upon Hamði and Sǫrli, but could do them no hurt with spear or sword because of their magic coats of mail which their mother Guðrún had given them. Finally however Jǫrmunrek, who was still alive, gave orders to his men to stone Hamði and Sǫrli, and thus they were both killed. Their death is represented as partially the consequence of their murder of Erp, whose assistance would have enabled them to kill Jǫrmunrek outright at the first attack.

Saxo, Bk viii, pp. 278–281.

Jarmericus (Ermanric) was king of Denmark: he was advised by a treacherous counsellor Bicco to put to death his wife Suanihilda (Swanhild) and his son Broderus (by
another marriage) on account of their alleged guilty relations. Broderus was condemned to be hung, but the punishment was a farce and he escaped almost unhurt. Suanihilda was trampled underfoot by horses and killed. Her brothers the Hellespontines came with an army to avenge her death, and succeeded in killing Jarmericus. They were assisted by Guthruna, a sorceress. Broderus succeeded his father as king.

Völungsasaga.

(Ch. xl). Jörmunrek was the name of a mighty king of that time, and he had a son named Randver. Now Jörmunrek was desirous of wooing Swanhild, the daughter of Guðrún by Sigurð, and he sent his counsellor Bikki and his son Randver to ask the hand of the maiden from King Jónak her guardian and the husband of Guðrún. They were successful in their quest and returned bringing Swanhild with them; but on the way back to Jörmunrek's court, Bikki urged Randver to make love to Swanhild, saying that in age and beauty she was a far fitter mate for him than for his father.

Randver fell into the trap which had been laid for him, whereupon, on their arrival home, Bikki accused him of guilt to Jörmunrek. Jörmunrek, as punishment for their misdeeds, sentenced Randver to be hung and Swanhild to be trampled to death by wild horses, but afterwards, when it was too late, he repented bitterly of having put to death his only son.

Swanhild's death was avenged by her stepbrothers, Hamði, Sǫrli, and Erp, the sons of Guðrún and Jónak. These three, urged on by their mother, set out for
Jörmunrek's court: on the way strife arose between them, and Hamði and Sǫrli killed Erp. Then the two survivors went on till they came to the abode of King Jörmunrek, whom they at once attacked. Hamði cut off his hands and Sǫrli his feet. But because Erp, who should have cut off his head, was not there, they failed in their purpose, and were themselves slain by Jörmunrek's men.

The story as contained in Skáldsk. Ch. xlII corresponds exactly to that of the Völs. with the exception that in this case the murder of Swanhild was a sudden and spontaneous idea on the part of Jörmunrek and apparently took place some time after the death of Randver. One day, as he came back from hunting, Jörmunrek found Swanhild sitting in a wood, and gave orders that she should be trampled under his horses' feet.

In the Quedlinburg Annals, under the reign of Anastasius (491-578 A.D.), there is the following entry: "Ermanrici regis Gothorum a fratribus Henido et Serilo et Adaccero quorum patrem interfecerat amputatis manibus et pedibus turpiter uti dignus erat occisio."

III. COMPARISON OF EVIDENCE REGARDING ERMANRIC.

All sources agree in representing Ermauric as a man of fierce and cruel disposition, but, as we have seen, the account given by Ammianus of his death varies considerably from that of Jordanes and later authorities. The story as told in the Norse sagas, in Saxo, and in the Quedlinburg Annals was probably in the first instance derived from the same source as the account given by
Jordanes, this being in all likelihood some ancient Gothic tradition. But the authority of Jordanes, who has notoriously mingled a great deal of legend with historical fact, is far less reliable than that of Ammianus, a contemporary historian, the truth of whose account there seems no reasonable cause to doubt. For the suicide of Ermanric we find a parallel in a custom of the Heruli*, who when they became too old to wield their weapons voluntarily gave themselves up to be killed by their friends.

The account of Ammianus is however by no means incompatible with the story told by Jordanes in which Ermanric is not killed but only wounded in the attack of Sarus and Ammius.

There are some points in the Swanhild story which vary in the different versions. According to Jordanes, Swanhild (Suanilta) was a woman of the Rosomonen (an unknown tribe): she was murdered by Ermanric, in revenge for the treacherous flight of her husband, who had formerly been in the king's service.

In Saxo, Skáldsk. and Völss., on the other hand, Swanhild (Suanihilda) is the wife of Jórmunrek (Ermanric) and her death is the result of her real or alleged guilt with Randver (Saxo, Broderus) Jórmunrek's son. In the Norse sagas, and in the Quedlinburg Annals, a third brother has been added to the Sarus and Ammius of Jordanes. In the sagas the names of the three are Hamdi, Sørli and Erp (Ann. Quedl., Hemidus, Serilus, Adaccerus), Saxo however is the only authority according to which the brothers were successful in actually killing King Ermanric.

In Old Norse the Ermanric saga has been linked to the saga of the Nibelungen, Swanhild and her brothers being represented as the children of Guðrún, who was in turn the wife of Sigurð, Atli, and Jónak. In Saxo Guthrun appears as a sorceress but is not in any way related to Swanhild and her brothers.

There is nothing in the evidence which we have considered which conflicts in any way with what is said of Ermanric (Eormenric) in the O.E. poems Widsith and Deor: both poems agree with all other accounts in representing him as ferocious, cruel, and utterly untrustworthy in his dealings. He is "the savage truce breaker" (Wids. l. 9), "the grim king holding many in bondage" (Deor, l. 23 f.).

(b) ERMANRIC'S "INNWEORUD."

From the person of Ermanric we pass on to the list of his warriors—"innweorud"—enumerated by Widsith in ll. 109–130. Some of these names are known to us from other sources—some cannot be traced at all. Hethca and Beadeca are unknown; the names which occur next are those of the Herelingas; Emerican sohte ic and Fridlan. From other authorities (mhg. poems*, Lat. chronicles of eleventh and twelfth centuries, Norse saga) we know that the Herelingas were the brothers Emerca and Fridla (mhg. Imbreke and Fritila) to whom tradition (Dfl., Gen. Vip., &c.) sometimes adds a third, Herlip. The Herelingas or Harlunge—to use the more common mhg. form of the name—are everywhere represented as the nephews of Ermanric. They some-

* Cf. Dietrich's Flucht, Biterolf, Wolfdietrich, &c.
times appear as the sons of Diether. Their legendary home in the mhg. poems* is Breisach in the Breisgau, and they have a devoted foster-father in the faithful Eckehart, who has become one of the most popular figures of German legend. The Harlunge were murdered by their uncle Ermanric, on account of their great treasure, which he afterwards seized. In late authorities (e.g. Thās., cf. Ch. 257) the motive of Ermanric in killing his nephews has been altered: he executes vengeance on the Harlunge on account of their dishonourable intentions with regard to the ladies of his court and in particular the queen.

According to Saxo, who is doubtless referring to this same legend, the sons of Jarmericus' sister made an attempt on their uncle's throne but at Bicco's prompting he captured and hanged them.

**EAST-GOTA, BECCA, ETC.**

We have the evidence of Jordanes (Get. xiv, 82) that in the time of the Emperor Philip a prince of that name (Jordanes, Ostrogotha) ruled over the Goths, who inhabited a tract of land on the shores of the Pontus. As Widsith in many cases mentions, side by side, names of rulers who are separated from one another in actual time, by years and even by centuries, it is quite possible that Ostrogotha may have reigned as prince of the Goths previous to Ermanric, and that he was not in reality one of his innweorud.

The name of Ostrogotha appears in Jordanes' genealogy of the kings of the Goths†: he is represented as the

* Cf. Grimm, Deutsche Heldensage.
† Cf. list of genealogies in Appendix n.
father of Hunuin, in agreement with Wids. l. 114, where East-Gota is called frödne and gōdne faeder Unwênes.

Of Secca nothing is known.

Becca we may assume to be identical with Scand. Bikki (Saxo, Bicco), the evil counsellor who stands like a dark shadow behind Ermanric's throne, urging him on to the ruin of all his best friends.

The character corresponding to Becca-Bikki appears in mhg. as Sibeche or Sibich, and in the Thâs. as Sifka, and this name is mentioned by the Widsith poet (Sifecan) in the next line (l. 116) to that in which Becca occurs. The fact that in Widsith, which is our earliest authority regarding this matter, the two names are kept distinct and evidently denote different persons, may perhaps be evidence that there were originally two evil counsellors who stood behind Ermanric's throne, and that these, owing to their similarity of character, were later contracted into one, who appears either as Bikki-Becca or as Sibich-Sifka. But cf. below under Sifecan (p. 246).

Our chief authorities for the character of Becca are the Thâs. (Chs. 276–283), Saxo (Bk VIII, 279–287), and H. B. Anhang, and to a less extent, the prose Edda and Volss.

According to the Thâs. and H. B. Anhang, Ermanric, having insulted Sibiche's (Thâs. Sifka's) wife during his absence from home, made Sibiche thereby his sworn enemy. Saxo, on the other hand, relates that Bicco (Sibiche) was the son of a king hostile to Jarmericus (Ermanric), and that his brothers had been killed by Jarmericus in battle: Bicco afterwards joined the service of Jarmericus in order to compass his revenge for his slain kinsfolk. From the time Sibiche-Bicco entered
Ermanric's service all accounts agree in representing him as the very evil genius of his master, though in the O.N. sources (Edda and Völss.) there is no suggestion of his having been actuated by motives of revenge for past wrongs. According to these records, Bikki's chief triumph consisted in accomplishing the ruin of the king's wife Swanhild and his son Randver (Saxo, Broderus). Through his counsels he first helped to bring about a guilty connection between them, and afterwards betrayed them both to Ermanric. According to Saxo the accusation was altogether false, and the punishment of Broderus was only one in show; but according to Snorri (Skáldsk.) Randver was really guilty of misconduct with Swanhild, and was hanged at the instigation of Bikki, while all accounts agree in the story of the death of Swanhild.

According to Saxo and H. B. Anh. it was Bikki or Sibiche who instigated Ermanric to hang his nephews the Harlunge in order to obtain their treasure.

In the Thās. it is also through the machinations of Sifka (Becca) that the destruction of Ermanric's three sons, Friedrich, Reginbald, Samson, is effected. In this authority, the story of Swanhild's death is entirely omitted, although it is curious to note that the death of Samson, Ermanric's youngest son, took place in a similar way; he was trampled under foot by his father's horse as a punishment for a supposed insult to the daughter of Sifka. It looks as if some confusion had taken place here, and this is quite possible, as the Thās. is late in date, and gives the legends in many cases in a distorted form.

According to the Thās., Chs. 322 ff., it was through the evil counsel of Sifka that Ermanric was plunged
into war with his nephew Dietrich (O.E. Theodric), and when Dietrich returned from his exile, he defeated and slew Siska in a great battle outside Rome.

Seafola may correspond to the Saben of German legend. In *Bit.* l. 10,995 we are told that Sabene was the son of Sibich. In the mhg. poem of *Wolfdietrich unt Saben*, Saben appears as the false counsellor in a rôle exactly analogous to that of Sibich in the Ermanric saga, and although his ancestry is not given, it seems probable that he was the *Sibichensuon* of *Biterolf* l. 10,995, who inherited his father's characteristics.

**Theodric.**

This has usually been interpreted as a reference to the historical Theodoric the Great, the Ostrogothic king of Italy (493–526), who is known in legend and folklore as Dietrich of Bern, where he ranks as the first of all knights.

The sagas of Dietrich of Bern and of Ermanric are inextricably entangled with each other, although the two men are in actual time more than a century apart. Dietrich appears in the saga as the nephew of Ermanric. He was exiled from Italy by his uncle and took refuge at the court of the Huns*. After repeated contests with Ermanric, in which he was, according to H.G. tradition, always victorious, and yet was always obliged to return to the Huns, Dietrich finally regained his inheritance after an exile of thirty years.

In addition to this pseudo-historical account of Theodoric there are countless stories and fairy tales

* Cf. *Deor*, ll. 18 f.
which deal with his victorious encounters with giants, dwarfs and dragons, and which have gradually accumulated round his figure, causing him to become the greatest hero of German legend.

It is difficult to account for the persistent confusion of historical facts with which we are confronted in the Dietrich and Ermanric sagas. There is no apparent reason why the personality of Theodoric, which, far from being vague and shadowy, dominated the age in which he lived, should be made subservient to that of Ermanric, who was certainly not a greater man and about whom far less is known.

A suggestion has been made which is worthy of consideration that there may have been two Theodorics*, the earlier of whom flourished in the fourth century as a vassal of King Ermanric, while the latter was the historical Theodoric the Great, who ruled over the Ostrogothic kingdom in Italy from 493–526.

The existence of such a character as this earlier Theodoric would do much to explain away the chronological displacement of Theodoric in the sagas: for it is quite conceivable that the deeds of the earlier Theodoric should have become transferred to his more famous successor and that a confusion thus arose, which ended in the total assimilation of the less to the greater, and the substitution of the great Theodoric for his forgotten predecessor.

* I am indebted for this suggestion to Mr H. M. Chadwick.
Sifeca(n) is capable of a twofold interpretation. We have seen (p. 242) that the name is the same as the Sifka of Thās. and the Sibich(e) of the mhg. poems, and that it is used in these authorities to denote an evil counsellor of King Ermanric, who appears in Scand. literature as Bikki or Bicco, and in Wids. as Becca. According to this explanation, Sifeca may then denote one of Ermanric's ministers, whose character strongly resembled that of Becca.

Another explanation has however been put forward by Binz*. He interprets the whole line as a reference to four characters of the Hervararsaga. According to Binz, Heathoric is to be identified with King Heidrek of the above-mentioned saga, Sifeca with Sifka, his mistress, and Hliðe, Incgentheow with Hlod and Angantyr, his two sons.

Although there is a good deal to be said for this explanation, it can hardly be accepted as conclusive, since the names (with the exception of Sifeca, which we have seen to be susceptible of a totally different interpretation), are by no means so much alike in the two cases as to justify the assumption of a reference by the Widsith poet to the Hervararsaga.

Binz has further attempted to identify Wyrmhere with Ormar of the Hervararsaga, but this seems improbable.

Rumstein appears to be identical with the Jarl Rimstein of the Thās., who was a disobedient vassal of Ermanric. Ermanric besieged the castle of Jarl

* P. B. B. 20, 207.
Rimstein with a large army, and defeated him in battle; the Jarl himself fell in the fight, by the hand of Witig (cf. Thās. Chs. 126 & 127).

To the identity of Gislhere we have no clue, for it seems quite impossible that he should have any connection with the Gislaharius of the Lex Burgundionum.

Freotheric seems to refer to Ermanric's son who was put to death by his father, probably through the misrepresentations of Ermanric's evil counsellor, Sibich-Becca. In most authorities, Freotheric (Frederic) is represented as Ermanric's only son*, but in the Thās. the one son has been expanded into three—Friedrich, Reginbald, Samson.

An extract may here be quoted from the Quedlinburg Annals as it bears upon the fate both of Ermanric's son Frederic and on that of his nephews the Harlunge—Imbreike and Fritila:—


WUDGA AND HAMA.

We almost always find the names of Wudga and Hama coupled as those of companions-in-arms. That they were well known in almost every place to which

the Ermanric and Dietrich sagas spread, is shown by the frequency of the references and the countless adventures attributed to them. They are famous alike in the Thûs. and in all mhg. poems and chronicles, and they were the most dreaded amongst the warriors of Dietrich and Ermanric.

But the characters Wudga and Hama or—to use the names in the more usual German form—Witig and Heime, are not altogether easily explained. A curious fact about them is that they are represented as being alternately in the service of Dietrich and Ermanric. At first the vassals of Dietrich, they not only appear to desert their master, but even become actively hostile to him in the course of the great struggle between Dietrich and his uncle Ermanric. Witig, especially, comes into prominence in this connection: he was a knight unsurpassed in strength and prowess by all save Dietrich: he was at first the devoted servant of Dietrich, but afterwards turned with equal fierceness against him, and became the leader of Ermanric’s armies, and was finally slain by Dietrich. As one might anticipate, much more than a mere suspicion of treachery attaches to the names of Witig and Heime in many sources, and they are often quoted as the type of disloyal and treacherous vassals. It is, however, important to note the different view taken in the Thûs., especially with regard to Witig, who is here represented as the ideal knight, strong, brave, gentle and incapable of a mean or dishonourable action. No breath of treachery sullies his character. It was not by any overt act on his part that he became the vassal of Ermanric, but purely owing to the circumstance of his marriage with Ermanric’s ward, the mother of the Harlunge brothers. As Ermanric’s
vassal, Witig was then bound, although sorely against the grain, to lead the forces of his master against those of his old master Dietrich.

The Thās. represented Heime as a good knight, but of a fierce and cruel disposition: he does not play such an important part in the saga as Witig. In his old age Heime re-entered the service of Dietrich (Thās., Chs. 17 ff., 79 ff., 189 ff., 332 ff., 429 ff.).

It is a strange circumstance in the history of the careers of Witig and Heime, that in these days, when loyalty and fidelity to the over-lord were held so dear, two warriors, possessed of almost every knightly virtue, should be found constantly transferring their allegiance from one great monarch to another who was his deadly foe.

There must be some underlying facts which are unknown to us, to account for this. No certain explanation can we give of these puzzling relations, but a natural suggestion is that in the unusual relations of Witig and Heime to Ermanric and Dietrich we have further grounds for the theory hazarded above, that there were two Dietrichs (Theodorics), and that the one who played such an important part in the Ermanric saga did not originally represent Theodoric the Great, but an earlier Theodoric, the vassal of Ermanric. If this were so, the apparent inconsistency and treachery of Witig and Heime would instantly vanish: for as knights of Dietrich they would also owe allegiance to Ermanric, who was Dietrich's over-lord, and in the case of Dietrich's defection from his master, their first duty would still be to support Ermanric.

In saga literature Witig is usually represented as the son of the smith Weland (G. Wieland): this union is
probably of Low German origin. In Saxo and in the older *Edda*, Witig and Heime do not appear at all.

Witig has been identified with a Gothic hero, Vidigoia, who is twice mentioned by Jordanes. In *Get.*, Ch. v, Vidigoia is spoken of as one of the heroes whose deeds are celebrated by the people in song: in Ch. xxxiv as a great Gothic warrior who was treacherously slain by the Sarmatians*.

It is possible that in the sagas, the Sarmatians may have been replaced by the Huns, whose name was a more familiar one, but in any case we need not hesitate to identify the Wudga (mhg. Witege) represented as fighting for Ermanric against the Huns in *Widsith* and *Rab.* with the historical Vidigoia, the celebrated figure of Gothic tradition†.

(c) **Brosinga Mene.**

There still remains for consideration the obscure allusion in *Beowulf* ll. 1197–1201, where we are told that Hama stole and escaped with *Brosingamene*, which apparently was the property of Ermanric. Discussion has raged round this passage without arriving at any very definite conclusion. We may shortly examine the evidence of other authorities which throw any light on the story.

The *Thās.* (Ch. 435 ff.) contains a long account of a theft perpetrated by Heime in a monastery belonging to Ermanric, where he had formerly been in orders. Heime

* "Vidigoia Gothorum fortissimus Sarmatum dolo occubuit."
† In *Dfl.*, *Rab.* and *H. B. Anhang*, Witege is named side by side with Witegouwe, "his brother," both being represented as the sons of Wieland. This is clearly a case in which two forms of the same name have been mistaken for two distinct names.
stole a great deal of treasure in gold and silver on behalf of his master Dietrich, to whom he had returned after quitting Ermanric's service. A curious parallel to this story occurs in the end of the prose Völss. where Heimir, the foster-father of Brunhild, is forced to fly from his country with Aslaug, the daughter of Sigurd and Brunhild. Heimir made a great harp which was hollow, into which he put the child Aslaug with much gold and silver; he then set out on his journey in the disguise of a wandering minstrel.

Although the occurrence of this story in the Völss. may be a mere coincidence, yet the evidence of the Thät. alone is sufficient to prove that there was in the original saga some well substantiated story of a theft committed by Heime on the property of Ermanric. The question as to what the Brosingamene which Beo. reports Hama to have stolen actually was, is however more difficult. In Norse mythology the necklace of the Goddess Freyja was called the Brisingamen. At the instigation of Odin, Loki stole the necklace from Freyja: it was however restored to her by Heimdall.

It need not be doubted that Brosingamene is either a corruption or another form of Brisingamen; but we do not know what the meaning and significance of the word is, whether there was only one or more than one Brisingamen, whether, in short, it is a generic term or the name of some specific object. It cannot therefore be proved that the Brisingamen of Norse mythology is identical with the Brosingamene which Heime (Hama) is reported to have stolen from Ermanric. This is, however, assumed by Müllerhoff in his interpretation*, the chief points of

which are (1) that by connecting Brisinga with Breisach, the traditional home of the Harlunge, the *Brosingamene* which was stolen by Heime is identified with the treasure, for the sake of which the Harlunge were murdered by Ermanric*; and (2) that the Harlunge themselves are interpreted as mythical beings, to wit, the twin Dioskuri or Aryan Aqvina, the gods of the morning.

As matters stand, the identification of the treasure of the Harlunge with the *Brosingamene* is mere conjecture, nor is there, in the story, anything to indicate that the figures of the two brothers are of less historical value than that of Ermanric himself: it is difficult to see what ground there is for the idea that they should be regarded as mythical characters.

The chief objection to Müllenhoff's explanation is, however, that it is based on an assumption which we have seen to be unwarranted, viz. that the *Brosingamene* of Ermanric was the same as the *Brisingamen* of the Goddess Freyja.

It is of course possible that the *Brosingamene* was originally an attribute of the gods, which was afterwards transferred to mortals, a process for which parallel cases might be cited: but even if this were known to be the case, there would be no justification whatsoever for connecting myth and historical tradition any further.

* According to the *Thías*, the father of the Harlunge was called Áki Örlungatrausti—this character is to be identified with the Getreue Eckehart of German legend who is everywhere referred to as the guardian of the Harlunge brothers (*Dfl.*, *Rab.*, *Alp.*, &c.). It is in this connection perhaps worth while noting that according to the story of *Völlss.* cited above, Heimir in the course of his wanderings fell in with a peasant named Aki, by whom he was murdered for the sake of the treasure which he carried.
A document of St Gallen of the year 786* contains an entry according to which a certain Heimo and his daughter Suanilta made gifts of money to several monasteries.

The fact that these names Heimo (Heime) and Suanilta (Swanhild) are here linked together has given rise to the suggestion by Müllenhoff, that Heime, who, according to Beo. ll. 1197 ff. stole the Brosgingamene—apparently consisting of treasure—from Ermanric, was the husband of Swanhild, referred to by Jordanes in the words pro mariti frandulento discessu. There is not at present enough evidence to confirm this hypothesis; except for this allusion, we have no ground for connecting Heime and Swanhild. The one feature common to Heime and to the husband of Swanhild is that they both robbed Ermanric (this appears to be the best interpretation of frandulento discessu), and it is highly probable that Ermanric in the course of his reign was robbed by many others besides Heime.

IV. HISTORICAL BACKGROUND UNDERLYING THE ERMANRIC SAGA.

The Ermanric saga regarded from an historical standpoint is valuable in its possibilities rather than in its certainties. We cannot affirm with absolute assurance more than what is already well-known historical fact, namely, that a powerful king named Ermanric ruled over

* Urkundenbuch der Abtei St Gallen, ed. Wartmann, 1863, Vol. i, 110: "Ego Heimone et filia ejus Svanailta aque tradamus et transfundimus ad monasterium sancti Gallone, qui est constructus in pago Harbonensi, ubi ejus sacrus corpus requiescit."
the Goths during the middle years of the fourth century, and that his death took place simultaneously with the first attack made on his dominions by the Hunnish forces.

All references in literature to Ermanric go to confirm the evidence of history that he was a monarch of great power and vast dominions. His jurisdiction appears to have extended as far as the shores of the Baltic* at the part where the Vistula enters it, and he was known and feared amongst Northern peoples as the mighty king Jörmunrek. Ermanric is a familiar figure in Scandinavian literature from the earliest times, and the fact that he was known by the Widsith poet is perhaps better proof than any other of his widespread fame at a very early date.

There is no reason to doubt that the stories of Swanhild, of Heime’s theft, and of the Harlunge brothers are founded on historical fact, though we are not in a position to say definitely that such is the case. The only parts of these stories which may be regarded as spurious are those in which the number of characters occurring in any given connection in an early authority has been added to in the later accounts of the same story. Thus it is very improbable that Swanhild had in reality more than two brothers, that the Harlunge were originally more than two in number, or that Ermanric had more than one son.

* This is implied rather than actually stated. Jordanes says that Ermanric ruled over the Aisti, who lived according to King Alfred eastwards from the mouth of the Vistula to Ermanric’s court. The visit of Ealhild, a princess of the Myrgringas, of which an account is given in Wids. ii. 5 ff., may have been a case of the giving of hostages, in which case Ermanric’s jurisdiction extended perhaps as far north as a tribe whose territory bordered on Angel.
With regard to the catalogue of Ermanric's *innweorud* which is given by the *Widsith* poet, it is difficult to say anything definitely.

It is, however, more probable than not that all the names are those of historical characters, whom the poet had heard spoken of in connection with Ermanric, although they do not all appear to have been contemporaries of that king.

**Note to Chapter VIII.**

The following are the most important of the extracts from Jordanes, Ammianus and the *Quedlinburg Annals* bearing on the Ermanric saga.

Ammianus (Ch. xxxi, 3, 1). "Igitur Hunni pervasis Alanorum regionibus, quos Gruthungis confines Tanaitas consuetudo nominavit, interfectisque multis et spoliatis, reliquos sibi concordandi fide pacta junxerunt eisque adjunctis confidentius Ermanrichi late patentes et uberes pagos repente impetu perruperunt bellicosissimi Regis et per multa variaque fortiter facta vicinis nationibus formidati."

Jordanes (*Get. Ch. xiv, 82*). "Nunc autem ad id, unde digressum primus, redeamus doceamusque, quomodo ordo gentis, unde agimus, cursus sui metam explevit. Ablabius enim storicus refert, quia ibi super limbum Ponti, ubi eos diximus in Scythia commanere, ibi pars eorum qui orientalem plagam tenebat, eisque praerat Ostrogotha, utrum ab ipsius nomine, an a loco, id est orientales dicti sunt Ostrogothae, residui vero Vesegothae, id est a parte occidua."

(*Get. Ch. xxiii ff.*) "Nam Gothorum rege Geberich rebus excedente humanis post temporis aliquod Hermanaricus nobilissimus Amalorum, multas et bellicosissimas arctoas gentes perdomuit suisque parere legibus fecit. Quem merito nonnulli Alexandro magno comparavere maiores...et gentem Herulorum quibus praerat Alaricus, magna ex parte trucidatam reliquam suae subigeret ditioni."
(Ch. xxiv) “Post autem non longi temporis intervallum, ut refert Orosius, Hunnorum gens omni ferocitate atrocior exarsit in Gothos. Hermanaricus, rex Gothorum licet, multarum gentium extiterat triumphator, de Hunnorum tamen adventu dum cogitat Rosomonorum gens infida, quae tunc inter alias illi famulatum exhibebat, tali eum nanciscitur occasione decipere. Dum enim quandam mulierem Sunilda (Sunielh, Sunihil) nomine ex gente memorata pro mariti fraudulento discessu rex furorem commotus equis ferocibus illigatam incitatisque cursibus per diversa divelli praecipisset, fratres ejus Sarus et Ammius, germanae obitum vindicantes, Hermanarici latus ferro petierunt: quo vulnere saucius aegram vitam corporis imbecillitate contraxit. Quam adversam ejus valitudinem captans Balamber rex Hunnorum in Ostrogothorum partem movit procinctum, a quorum societate iam Vesegothae quadam inter se intentione sejuncti habebantur. Inter haec Hermanaricus tam vulneris dolorem, quam etiam incursiones Hunnorum non ferens grandaevus et plenus dierum centesimo decimo anno vitae suae defunctus est.”

CONCLUSION

An attempt has been made in the foregoing chapters to estimate the amount of historical truth contained in the O.E. heroic poems. Emphasis has been laid on the reliability of the evidence of the poems, derived from their great antiquity, i.e. it is of the nature of contemporary testimony and therefore was less likely to be corrupted than later accounts of the same events. When, in addition, we find this evidence confirmed by independent authorities of later date and different nationality, we are further justified in the belief that the tradition in question is based on historical truth. This we have found almost uniformly to be the case: there is scarcely a single episode of the poems which is not confirmed by the testimony of later Scandinavian or German records. Only in the case of one or two isolated references, and of some personal and tribal names contained in the catalogue of the Widsith poet, does all other evidence fail us.

Of course, the coincidence of English and Scandinavian evidence with regard to any tradition is not a conclusive proof of its historical value but must be followed up by a thorough investigation of the nature of the tradition in question and the possible influence that mythology and folk-lore may have had in its development. A general
survey of the poems conducted on these lines has shown that with the exceptions of the Sceaf myth, some names in the Widsith catalogue, and the further possible exception of the Weland saga, we have found good ground for believing that the traditions contained in the O.E. epic poems are all based on historical fact. In some cases the weight of evidence in favour of the historicity of tradition has been so overwhelming as to exclude the possibility of doubt, as for example Offa's duel by the river Eider, and the successful campaign which Eadgils-Adils, with the assistance of Beowulf-Boðvar, waged against Onela. In other cases it has been found necessary to have recourse to a more indirect method of reasoning. In the absence of actual proof, the accumulation of evidence often tends strongly towards the probability that a tradition is historical. Where, at the same time, the possibility of a mythical background can be eliminated it may be assumed with comparative certainty that the events related are based on actual occurrences.

With regard to Widsith, which is little more than a catalogue of names and facts, no one denies that this is so. The same cannot be said of the view generally held with regard to the Beowulf. There seems, however, little doubt that much more stress should be laid on the historical importance of this poem also than has usually been the case. The supposed mythical elements which it contains have received undue prominence. Such elements may, probably do, exist, but they are accretions which with care may be separated from the main thread of the events. Surely the coincidence of evidence in different authorities, both in the case of Beowulf and in that of the other narratives considered, is too remarkable for any
sober-minded critic to regard it merely as a series of fortuitous coincidences.

Respect for the O.E. heroic poems considered in the light of historical documents increases, the more fully they are studied without preconceived determination to find in them mere myth or allegorical types. They are storehouses of valuable information concerning the doings, customs, and beliefs of ancient Teutonic peoples*. Notwithstanding the obscurity arising in certain instances from the fragmentary form in which some of the poems have been preserved, we may go a step further and say that the primary interest of these poems, which were originally designed for the amusement and entertainment of our warlike ancestors, now lies in their relation to the history of the far-away times which gave them birth.

* A consideration of the last two points would fall under the head of sociology rather than that of history, unless the latter term received its Spencerian interpretation.
APPENDIX I

NATIONS AND KINGS VISITED BY WIDSITH IN HIS WANDERINGS.

Myrgingas. Cf. Ch. iv on Offa Episode.

Hrêthcyning, i.e. Ermanric. Cf. Ch. viii on Ermanric saga. A.S. hrêť = glory or renown.

Hwala. The only other known occurrence of the name Hwala is in MSS. B and C of the A.S. Chr. under the year 855, where very far back in the genealogies we find the names Itermon Hathraing, Hathra Hwalaing, Hwala Bedwiging, Bedwig Sceafing, id est filius Noe.

It has been suggested that Eaforum Ecgwelan (cf. Beo. 1. 1710) may contain a reference to the same person.

Alexandreas = Alexander the Great of Greece.

Aetla weold Hunum. The Huns were an Asiatic race. They appeared in Europe in the middle of the fourth century, moving westwards from the Ural Mountains with irresistible strength and great ferocity. The Huns were of Tartar origin, and came originally from a home in N.E. Asia. About the year 374 A.D. they crossed the rivers Volga and Don, and fell upon the kingdom of the Goths. During the first half of the fifth century the Huns were led by the great Attila, under whom they swept across Europe, penetrating even to Gaul, and inspiring universal terror through the cruelty of their deeds.
Between 445 and 450, Attila ravaged the Eastern Empire between the Euxine and the Adriatic, and in 450 he invaded Gaul. His forces were estimated at about half a million. Attila was defeated by the combined forces of Romans and Franks, after which he turned southwards with a view to marching on Rome. But he died in 453, and, deprived of their great leader, the Hunnish armies quickly became disorganized. The Huns dispersed and disappeared as suddenly as they had come, having, in their whirlwind course, changed the whole face of Europe. The probability is that they were to some extent assimilated by the Teutons among whom they settled, and that they became to all intents and purposes Teutonic.


Burgendum (weold) Gifica. Cf. Ch. vii on the Burgundians.

Casere weold Creacum. Casere is of course merely the word "Caesar," i.e. Emperor. The representation of the Emperor as ruling the Greeks and not the Romans points to the conception in the poet's mind of the Empire as centred in Constantinople. From the year 476–800 A.D. there was only one Emperor in the Roman Empire and his seat of government was in Constantinople.

Caelic (weold) Finnum. Caelic is otherwise unknown. Finnas, like O.N. Finnar, may be a generic name embracing both Lapps and Finns. In O.E. references it usually denotes the Lapps, as for example in Orosius, Voyages of Ohthere and Wulfstan. By the Scriderfinnas of Procopius (Goth. ii, 15), who are mentioned in Wids. l. 29, the Lapps are also evidently meant.
Hagena (weold) Holmrygum. Cf. Ch. vi on Deor.
Heoden (weold) Glommum. Cf. Ch. vi on Deor.
Witta weold Swaefum. In Bede’s genealogy (Hist. Eccles. i, 15), Vitta is the name of the grandfather of Hengest, who in 449 invaded Kent along with Horsa. According to Sweet’s genealogy, dating from circa 872, Vitta is the father of Hengest.

Wids., 1. 44, mentions the Swaehe as conterminous with the Engli. They were probably a northern band of the Suevi, who in early times were known as the people of the Elbe. We find mention of a people called the North Suevi in continental documents (cf. also Ch. iv).

Wada (weold) Haelsingum. In the Thés. Vaé is a giant, the son of King Wilkinus. He is married to a mermaid and their son is the famous smith Wéland.

In the Gudrun Wate is a fierce sea-king who reigns in Sturmen or Sturmland. His figure recalls to some extent that of the God Neptune.

The stem Haelsing- is found helping to form compounds in a number of northern names, e.g. Helsingaland, Helsingfors, &c. These names might quite well be originally due to the existence of a tribe, Helsingas or Haelsingas, although nothing is known directly of such a tribe.

Meaca (weold) Myrgingum. Meaca is otherwise unknown.

Marchealf (weold) Hundingum. Marchealf is otherwise unknown.

Nothing is known of a tribe called the Hundings. In the Völungsasaga and in Saxo we hear of a King Hunding, who was slain by Helgi Hundingsbani: according to the Edda, Helgi Hundingsbani was the son of Sigmund the Völungs, but Saxo has confused him with Helgi the son of Halfdan, king of Denmark, who lived much later and was quite a different person.
As the authority of *Widsith* is much older than that of Saxo, we seem to have here a case of the contraction of a tribe or nation into a single man. The same thing has apparently taken place in the case of Heathobeardan and Hothbroddus (cf. Ch. ii, p. 105).

**Theodric weold Froncum.**

Theuderic was the eldest of the four sons of Chlodovech (Clovis), the great king of the Franks, who first gave shape to the Frankish Empire. When Chlodovech died, his realms were divided according to an ancient Teutonic custom, amongst his four sons, Theuderic, Chlodomer, Childebert, and Chlotar. Theuderic, who reigned from 511–533, received as his portion the former kingdom of the Ripparian Franks, which lay along the river Rhine from Köln (Cologne) as far south as Basel (Bâle), as well as some territory lying to the east in the valley of the Main. It was in the early years of Theuderic’s reign that Chochilaicus, a “Danish” pirate king, made a raid on the Lower Rhine. He was defeated and slain by Theuderic’s son Theudebert. In 531 Theuderic, with the help of his brother Chlotar, conquered Thuringia and made it a tributary state. He died in 533.

In the *Quedlinburg Annals*, under the year 532 A.D., we find the following entry, in the reign of Hugo Theodoricus under the Emperor Justinian (527–65):

"Eodem anno Hugo Theodericus rex Chlodovec regis filius ex concubina natus cum patri successisset in regnum ad electionem suam Irminfridum regem Thuringorum honorifice invitavit. Hugo Theodericus iste dicitur, id est Francus, quia olim omnes Franci Hugones vocabantur a suo quodam duce Hugone."

This passage is interesting for two reasons. In the first place, we learn from it that the Franks were originally called “Hugones,” which forms an instructive parallel to *Beowulf*,
l. 2913, where in speaking of Hygelac’s fatal expedition, the poet says:

"Waes sió wrôht scepen
heard with Hugas, sythtan Hygelâc cwom
faran flot-herge on Fresna land,
thaer hyne Hetware hilde gehnaegdon,
elnge e-codon mid ofer-maegene,
thaet se byrn-wiga búgan sceolde,
feóll on féthan*."

Secondly, it appears from this passage that King Theuderic was commonly known as Hugo Theodericus. Theuderic was an illegitimate son of King Chlodovech, and after his father’s death he quarrelled with his three brothers about the partition of the kingdom. These historical events seem to find an echo in the Hug- and Wolfdietrichsaga, and attempts have been made to identify episodes in Theuderic’s career with parts of this saga. The figure of Theuderic corresponds, however, not to that of Hugdietrich, but to that of his son Wolfdietrich.

**Thyle (weold) Rondingum.** Both names are otherwise unknown.

**Breoca (weold) Brondingum.** The only other reference to Breoca is *Beo*. ll. 505 ff. (where the name occurs as Breca) and especially in l. 520, where the country which he governed is referred to as the land of the Brondings. Beowulf was reported to have had a nine days’ swimming match with Breca on the open sea.

**Billing (weold) Wernum.** Billing is otherwise unknown.

* "The strife with the Hugas became sharp, after Hygelac came with his fleet to the land of the Frisians, where the Hetware vanquished him in battle, (and) bravely achieved by their superior numbers that the armed warrior should yield, should fall amongst his warriors."
The Werni are clearly the same as the Varini mentioned by Tacitus (Germ. Ch. 40), who unfortunately gives no clear indication as to their position, though we may infer from him that they lived near the sea and south of the Elbe.

Ptolemy places the tribes Ouirouinoi and Teutonoaroi approximately in Holstein-Lauenburg, and the Auerpoi and Teutones approximately in Mecklenburg*. These two pairs of names seem to be doublets. It is possible that the Warni are mentioned in a corrupt form by Pliny (Nat. Hist. iv, 99†) where they, together with the Goths and Burgundians, are classed as the Vandili, who constituted the north-easterly division of the five divisions into which Pliny divides the Germani†. "On the whole," says Mr Chadwick (op. cit. p. 200), "the evidence such as it is distinctly favours the idea that the Varini belonged to the eastern or Baltic half of Germany." Mention is also found of the Warni in later times. According to Procopius (i.e. 550 A.D.) Goth. ii, 14 (cf. Ch. ii, p. 62), the Heruli passed through the territory of the Warni on their way north, before reaching the Danoi, and he says in another passage (v, 14) that the Warni were separated from the Franks only by the Rhine. Their territory lay apparently near the coast, for they were attacked by the Angloi from over the sea (Goth. iv, 20). Perhaps a branch of the Warni had settled in Holland, but since Procopius' knowledge of the geography of Northern Europe was but vague, some mistake may have taken place here. We read in Fredegarius (Chron. Ch. 15) that the Warni rebelled against the Franks in 596, but where they lived at this time is not stated. Detached bands of Warni are found in Italy and Spain at different times during the sixth century, and the last time they are mentioned is in the heading of a Code called "Lex Angliorum et Werinorum, hoc est Thuringorum," which dates from early in the ninth century. The

* Ptolemy, Geographia, ii, 11. 9.
† Cf. Ch. vii, p. 211.
most probable view is that this code belongs to part of the old Thuringian kingdom, which was situated in Central Germany round the basin of the Elbe, and hence it is likely that some part of the Warni had settled there. It may be noted that from the time of Tacitus downwards, the names of Angli and Warni have frequently been found in close interconnection.*

Oswine (weold) Eowum. Oswine is otherwise unknown.

Eowum seems to be the same word as Auiones, a tribe mentioned by Tacitus (Germ. xl), and included among the seven which worshipped the Goddess Nerthus. The name may mean "Islanders": we do not find it used elsewhere as a tribal name. The island of Öland, it may also be noticed, is called by King Alfred Eowland.

Ytum (weold) Gefwulf. Gefwulf is otherwise unknown.

Ytum may be the same word as A.S. Eotan, O.N. Jótar, Eng. Jutes, which is the name used by Danish historians for the inhabitants of Jutland. Two forms appear in English corresponding to O.N. Jótar, viz. (a) Eota or Eotena, (b) Yte or Ytena. Y of Yte would correspond to Ie and give Jete, a W.S. form with Umlaut (cf. also p. 183).

Fin Folewalding (weold) Fresna cynne. Cf. Ch. v on Finn saga.

Sigehere lengest Sae-denum weold. For Sigehere and the Danes cf. Ch. ii.

Hnaef (weold) Hocingum. Cf. Ch. v on Finn saga.

Helm (weold) Wulfingum. Helm is otherwise unknown. In Beowulf, and in various Scandinavian poems, references to his tribe occur.

In *Beowulf* they are called Wylfingas, in Scandinavian references Ylvingar; in Scandinavian literature they are sometimes identified with the Völssungar.

**Wald (weold) Woingum.** Both names are otherwise unknown.

**Wod (weold) Thyringum.** Wod is otherwise unknown.

The Thuringas were an important nation up to the beginning of the sixth century, and occupied territories around and northwards of the basin of the Saale, and bordering on the Franks. Their kingdom was destroyed by the Frankish king Theuderic in 531 A.D.

**Saeferth (weold) Sycgum.** These names recall the *Sigeferhth Secgena leod* mentioned in Finn (cf. Ch. v on Finn saga).

Saeferth's name occurs in a genealogy of East Saxon kings contained in Sweet, *O. E. T.* p. 179, while much higher up in the same genealogy we find the name Gesecg. Now one of the ancestors of the West Saxon kings, as contained in the genealogy of the A.S. Chronicle under the year 855, is named Gewis, and Bede (*Hist. Eccles.* III, 7, &c.) repeatedly speaks of the West Saxons as Gewissae or Gewissi, by which name, as he says, they were formerly called. On the analogy of the forms Gewissae and Gewis it seems permissible to reason that *Secgena* (Sycgan) may have been formed in a similar way from Gesecg, and may thus have been at one time no other than a dynastic name for the East Saxons.

**Sweom (weold) Ongentheow.** Cf. Ch. III on Swedish traditions.

**Sceafthere (weold) Ymbrum.** Sceafthere is otherwise unknown.

The forms *Ymbrum* and *Sycgum* suggest the possibility that *y* may have taken the place of an earlier *e*. If this is so, the Embrum may have been the inhabitants either of the
Pagus Ambria—now Ammerland—on the borders of Oldenburg and West Hanover, or of the island Amrum (formerly Amberum), and there may be some historical connection between these two places. In Nennius' account of the Northumbrian kings, the Northumbrians are twice spoken of as *Saxones Ambronum*, which is glossed "i.e. Eald Saxonum*.

As the Northumbrian English could not possibly be identified with the Ambrones it is clear that some mistake has taken place here; the existence of the gloss is, however, evidence that the Old Saxons, or some part of them, were called Ambrones.

Possibly the word Ambrones in Nennius was originally merely a scribal error for Umbrones = Northumbrians.

**Sceafa (weold) Longbeardum.** History knows no Lombardian prince of this name. For Longbeardas see below.

**Hun (weold) Haetwerum.** Hun is otherwise unknown. The Haetweri are mentioned in *Beo*. l. 2363 and l. 2916, as Hetwari. In alliance with the Franks and Frisians they defeated Hygelac. This tribe is clearly the same as the Chattuarii who are mentioned in connection with the same events in the *Gesta Francorum*, Ch. xix (cf. p. 43).

The name survives in the Pagus Hattuariensis between the Zuyder Zee and the Rhine, the first mention of which dates from the beginning of the Christian era (Velleius).

**Offa weold Ongle.** Cf. Ch. iv on the Offa Episode.

**Alewiweold Denum.** Cf. Ch. ii, especially pp. 118 ff. *Widsith*, ll. 70–75:

"I have also been in Italy with Aelfwine—so far as my knowledge goes he, the son of Eadwine, had of all mankind the readiest hand for the acquisition of praise, the most ungrudging heart for distributing rings, i.e. shining bracelets."

Aelfwine (Alboin) the son of Eadwine (Audoin) was an historical king of the Lombards. In the first half of the

* Nennius, Sec. 63, MS. K.
sixth century the Lombards, whose territory lay on the middle Danube, were neighbours and bitter enemies of the Gepidae, who had remained in the Hungarian plains when the rest of the Gothic people moved west to Spain and Italy. In 567, the Lombards, assisted by the Tartars and Avars, put an end to the struggle by almost exterminating the Gepidae: this took place under the leadership of Alboin the son of Audoin. The next year, 568, Alboin put into effect a long-cherished scheme for the invasion of Italy. In 552, while the old king Audoin was still alive, the Lombards had provided horses with a contingent of 5,000 men for his invasion of Italy. This perhaps first gave rise in Alboin's mind to the idea of himself invading Italy, an idea which received fresh impetus after he had seen the beauties and the fertility of that land. At that time an independent Lombard invasion was out of the question, as the Lombards were still fully occupied with the Gepidae, and their king Audoin was an old man. In 568 the way at length seemed open, and Alboin, leaving his kingdom, under certain conditions, to his allies, the Avars, crossed the Alps in the summer, with the whole Lombard nation, and took possession of the plains of North Italy, which, owing to previous wars and pestilence, were then in a state of desertion. Very little opposition was offered to Alboin's advance: only in places such as Padua, Verona, Pavia, which contained an imperial garrison, was there organised resistance.

The Lombards spread themselves over the whole valley of the Po. They became divided into West and East Lombards. Many scattered portions of other tribes joined Alboin, such as Saxons, Suabians, Bulgarians, and Slavs. At last Pavia, the last stronghold, fell, and was chosen by Alboin as the capital of Lombardy.

Alboin was murdered in 572 at the instigation of his wife, the daughter of Cunimund, king of the Gepidae, whom Alboin had slain.
APPENDIX II

LIST OF GENEALOGIES.

English Genealogies.

West Saxon Genealogy.

(This genealogy makes no claim to completeness, being designed to deal only with names which have occurred in the consideration of the O.E. poems.)

W.S. Genealogy in A. S. Chronicle under year 855.

Sceaf. id est filius Noe.
Bedwig.
Hwala.
Hrathra.
Itermon.
Heremod.
Sceldwea.
Beaw.
Taetwa.
Geat.
Godwulf (Nennius, Folcbald or Folcpald).
Finn.
Frithewulf.
Frealaf.
Woden.
Baldaeg.
Brand.
Frithogar.
Freawine.
Wig.
etc. etc.
The genealogy as given by William of Malmesbury and Aethelweard varies slightly from that in the A. S. Chr.

William of Malmesbury.

Noae.
Strephius.
Bedwegius.
Gwala.
Hadra.
Stermonius.
Heremodius.

Aethelweard.
Sceaf.
Sceldius.
Beowius.
Tetius.
etc. etc.

The non-W.S. genealogies, i.e. a group consisting of a text printed by Sweet—Cotton MS. Vespasian B. 6 fol. 108 ff. (811—814 A.D.)—*Historia Britonum*, a chronicle under year 547, probably of northern source, and several later texts (Corpus, 183), all place Geat at the head of the genealogy. Only in the A. S. Chr. under year 855, in Aethelweard and in the texts derived from these, are the genealogies carried back to Sceaf. The last mentioned authorities date from about the end of the tenth century.

Mercian Genealogy in A. S. Chr. under year 755.

Woden.
Wihtlaeg.
Waermund.
Offa.
Angeltheow.
Eomaer.
Icel.
Woden.
Wihtlaeg.
Waermund.
Offa.
Angeltheow.
Eomaer.
Icel.
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Cnebba.  
Cynewald.  
Creoda.  
Pybba.  
Eawa.  
Osmod.  
Eanwulf.  
Thingferth.  
Offa.  

Danish Genealogies.

Sven Aagesen.  
Skiqlld.  
Frothi.  
Haldan.  
Helge.  
Rolf Kraki.  
Røkil.  
Frothi hinn frøkni.  
Wermundus.  
Uffi.  
Dan Elatus.  
Frothi senex.  
Fridlevus.  
Frothi largus.  

Ingild.  
Olavus.  

Cnebba.  
Cynewald.  
Creoda.  
Pybba.  
Penda.  

Saxo.  
Humblus I.  
Dan I.  
Humblus II.  
Lothar.  
Sciold.  
Gram.  
Hading.  
Frotho I.  
Haldanus I.  Roe I.  
Helgo.  Roe II.  
Hrolvus crace.  
Hotherus.  
Roricus Slyngebond  
(ringslinger).  
Wiglecus.  
Waermundus.  
Uffo.  
Dan timidus.  
Huglecus.  
Frotho vegetus II.  
Dan III.  
Fridlevus celer I.
Frotho legislator III.
Fridlevus II.
Frotho largus IV.
Ingellus.
Olavus.
Frotho V.
Haldan II.

Langfēdgatal.
Oden.
Skífldr his son.
Fridleifr his son.
Fridefrode his son.
Havarr his son.
Froðe his son.
Varmundr his son.
Olafr his son.
Danr.
Froðe his son.
Fridleifr.
Froðe his son.
Ingjaldr his son.
Halfdan—brother.
Helgi oc Hroar, sons.
Rolfr Kraki Helgi's son.
Hraerekr Ingiald's son.

Gothic Genealogy.

Genealogy of Gothic kings given by Jordanes, Get. Ch. xiv.

Gapt.
Ulmul.
Augis.
Amal.
Isarna.
Ostrogotha.
Hunuin.
Athal.
Athiulf.
(H)ermenaricus.
Wultuulf brother of Hermenaricus.
Valaravans.
Vinitharius.
Vandalarius.
Theudemer.
Theodericus.

Icelandic Genealogy.

*Flateyjarbók*, I, p. 27.

**Genealogy A.**

Fródi.
Vermundr enn vitri.
Ólafr enn lítillati.
Danr enn mikillati.
Fródi enn frithsami.

**Genealogy B.**

Fródi.
Hans son Vémundr enn vitri.
Hans dóttir Ólof.
Hon var módir Fróða ens frithsama.
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